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IIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| asc. | ascendens |
| :---: | :---: |
| Br | Brevis |
| Bra | Brevis altera |
| Bri | Brevis imperfecta |
| Brp | Brevis perfecta |
| Can | Cantus |
| Co | Contratenor |
| cop | cum opposita proprietate |
| cp | cum perfectione |
| cpr | cum proprietate |
| desc. | descendens |
| H | Hoquetus (voice) |
| imp. | imperfectus, imperfectum |
| $I_{1}$ | Longa |
| Li | Longa imperfecta |
| lig | Iigatura |
| Lp | Longa perfecta |
| m | measure, measures |
| ma. | maior |
| Mi | Minima |
| Mia | Minima altera |
| min. | minor |
| Mo | Motetus |
| mod. | modus |
| pa | punctus additionis |
| pabr | pausa brevis |
| pal | pausa longa |
| pali | pausa longa imperfecta |
| palp | pausa longa perfecta |
| pam | pausa minima |
| pasb | pausa semibrevis |
| pa | punctus divisionis |
| perf. | perfectus, perfectum |
| pp | punctus perfeotionis |
| prol. | prolatio |
| ref. | refrain |
| rep. | repetition |
| Sb | Semibrevis |
| Sba | Semibrevis altera |
| sp | sine perfectione |
| spr | sine proprietate |
| T | Tenor |
| tp. | tempus |
| Tr | Triplum |
| V | voice, voices |
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## INTRODUCTION TO THE EDITION

In recent years the musical works of Guillaume de Machaut have attracted more and more the attention historians. Ever since the exemplary achievement of Friedrich Iudwis, numerous studies have been devoted to Machaut: to particular aspects of his music (H. Besseler, A. Machabey 0. Gombosi, G. Perle, G. Zwick, G. Reaney, S. Ievarie), to new editions of individual works (J. Chailley, A. Machabey, G. de Van, H. Hubsch), even to a comprehensive interpretation of Machaut's total work (S.J. Williams, The Music of Guillaume de Machaut, Ph.D. dissertation, Yale University 1952; A. Machabey, Guillaume de Machault, 2 vols., Paris 1955).

In a complete edition of 14th-century polyphony the music of Machaut occupies not only a place of prominence; it also has the lion's share. All l4th-century musicians have suffered from the misfortunes of history. Nany, if not most of their works, especially those of French composers, have disappeared; they are lost, possibly forever, destroyed or simply untraceable. Occasional discoveries of fragments, fairly frequent in recent years, painfully drive home the gravity of the losses, at times also an indication of the very size of the loss. It seems as though Machaut's work escaped all these misfortunes. His music is comprehensively preserved, and what is extant surely embraces all the activities of Machaut as a composer who contributed his work to seven different categories of composition, sacred and secular. One reason, perhaps the most influential, for such an exceptional proservation of his music certainly rests on the fact that Machaut was his own redactor of his compositions. He understood his literary and musical work as one unit, and those manuscripts that originated under his supervision most carefully preserve the entity. Though usually compiled in sections of their own, the musical compositions are part and parcel of the poetical work as a whole. Machaut must have insisted on systematic redactions that kept pace with the gradual growth of his work. Is it this insistence of the redactor that in the end saved his work from being scattered and finally destroyed? Like Machaut, Philippe de Vitry also was poet and musician. Would is work also have been spared destruction, had he acted a Machaut did? But it is futile to raise questions of this kind.

Despite the careful collection of the composition together with the poetical works, even Machaut did not entirely escape the usual fate of medieval musicianship. We cannot assess what is lost in so-called repertory manuscripts. But we know of at least three Machaut manuscripts which have
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disappeared. They were all part of the possessions of the Burgundian dukes, and one of them most certainly included Machaut's music: for the Burgundian inventories of 1420,1467 , 1487 Iist a "livre de maistre Guill'e de Machaut" that ended with the "David Hoquetus," apparently placed at the end of the musical fascicles. This manuscript has not been rediscovered.

The edition of the compositions must follow the principles Machaut himself adopted for the redaction of his collection. This involves primarily the succession of the compositions. The musical fascicles all begin with the lais; motets and mass follow, with the Hoquetus David best placed directly after the mass according to the order in $A$; ballades, rondeaux and virelais complete the musical fascicles. This is the order we have maintained for the edition. The question remains where to place the compositions that appeared outside the musical fascicles. Machaut himself answered the question with regard to the musical insertions in Voir Dit; they are combined with the compositions in the musical fascicles according to the categories where they belong, E being the only exception. The case is different with the insertions in Remede de Fortune. All Mss bring
them exclusively in the poetical work; the insertions do not them exclusively in the poetical work; the insertions do reason to keep them as a unit also in a modern edition. We gave preference to adding each composition to the group of its kind, $i$.e. at the end of the group, as the insertions in Voir Dit have also been placed at the end or near the end of the groups. If the compositions of the Remede de Fortune are kept as an entity, there is equal justification to separate the Lay de plour from the body of the lais, as indeed $B(V g)$ and $E$ place it where it belongs. Since the Mss are not in agreement as to the proper place of the music for the Lay de plour, since furthermore the Voir Dit established a precedent, the insertions of the Remede de Fortune have been re-grouped without doing violence to principles of the original redaction: the two ballades are Nos. 41 and 42 in our edition, the rondeau is No. 22, the virelai No. 33, the lai No. 19, which-for reasons of the monophonic medium--is followed by the Complainte and the Chanson royale.

In our edition only the compositions for which there is actually music have been consecutively numbered; all the texts without music have been omitted even though they appear amidst the musical compositions. But since the Louange dos Dames also includes poems (see below) which reappear with their music in the musical fascicles, an omission of all texts without music seemed to be justified. We are aware of one inconsistency: rondeau "Dame, qui wet" (No. 16) which we maintained in the list of rondeaux as the
only one that has no music. It does not appear in the Louange des Dames, although this is by no means reason to $\frac{\text { Louange }}{\text { assume that }} \frac{\text { dames, }}{\text { it was intended for music. Including the }}$ insertions of the Remede our edition presents: 19 lais, 1 Complainte, I Chanson royale, 23 motets (I dubious motet), 1 Mass, 1 Hoquet, 42 ballades, 22 rondeaux (but only 21 with music), and 33 virelais.

We have taken all known manuscripts into account, i.e. collated all the "Machaut" manuscripts as well as the repertory manuscripts. To single out one manuscript as the basis of an authentic musical text did not seem advisable. F. Iudwig has given Vg the distinction of authenticity, The value of Vg is undoubtedly great and such an authority as Ludwig had very good reasons for choosing one text as the guide or point of reference for all other Mss. We have, nevertheless, come to the conclusion that there are all too many cases where Vg cannot be regarded as the best or most authentic version.

In the modern Machaut literature Ms Vg is mentioned as having "disappeared." Indeed, the whereabouts of this precious Ms has been unknown for many years. Whether it disappeared soon after the death of the Marquis de Vogtte in Paris (d. 1916), or later, and when, could not at first be ascertained. At all events, $V g$ is now in the possession of the Gallery Wildenstein, New York. Mr. F. Wildenstein did me the great kindness of allowing me to study the Ms. He also informed me that, to his knowledge, $V g$ came into the possession of his father probably directly after the death of Marquis de Vogué; at all events, the Ms has been in his father's library as long as he can remember. For his kindness and generous aid to scholarship I wish to express my profound gratitude.

For the purpose of our edition, however, an indirect knowledge of $\mathrm{V} g$ would have been entirely adequate. In the first place, Iudwig's edition represents Vg of which the Marquis de Vogté permitted him to make a complete and accurate copy. In the second place, $a$ thorough study of $B$, the exact replica of Vg , closed the gap in the knowledge of the sources. Almost every error, variant, or peculiarity Ludwig had noticed in $V g$ proved to hold true for $B$ as well.

Ms A is for various reasons preferable to Vg. In matters of completeness it surpasses Vg considerably; for the ballades and rondeaux only $G$ and $E$ go beyond $A$, for the laid only E although the group of lais in E has gaps. In the choice of an authentic version, it seemed advisable to weigh the relative merits of all manuscripts in each individual case. For the majority of cases, the choice
was limited to an evaluation of $A$ and $B(V g)$. For $F, G, E$ undoubtedly contain the least reliable versions. Disregarding obvious errors in Mss, the variants among the manuscripts are not too considerable; that is to say: we can speak of variants or deviations, but rarely of truly different versions. The situation is not the same with compositions that have been taken into repertory manuscripts. There, indeed, different "versions" do occur, to say nothing of the composition of new contratenores. Certain details, however, such as the use of plica, accidentals, ligatures vary widely in all manuscripts whether they belong to the Machaut group proper or the repertory sources.

Our edition differs a great deal from that of Iudwig and we believe that apart from the elimination of errors, the difference amounts to an improvement of the critical text. Nevertheless, we are deeply indebted to Iudwig, whose noer ${ }^{\text {n }}$ scholarship must always be recognized with profound gratitude and humble modesty. If for the sake of appraising the true merits of his work we imagine the research of medieval music without his prodigious achievement, a.lı medievalists must feel themselves suddenl deprived of firm ground on which to stand. His contribution to the research of Machaut is, as all his other studies, basic, which does not imply that it can be neither amplified now improved. Ludwig's edition of Machaut's works is not free from erron? some we have taken note of, others we have not specifically mentioned, particularly when humanly comprehensible errors, misprints and the like seemed to be obvious. It is, however, worthy of note that the errors in the fourth (the last) volume of his edition are so numerous as well as substantial that human erring no longer furnishes apology. Here, we thought it best merely to put our edition by the side of his publication.

In some ways our edition differs from that of Indwig also on principal grounds. This involves, to a certain degree, the usefulness of a strictly scholarly edition for performance, two purposes which we believe to have combined. But there are other principles involved, and they require explanation. First of all, the interpretation of the Nodus in the rhythmic organization of the composition. Iudwig's transcriptions show almost throughout the acceptance of the modus in consequence of which they have a most surprising frequency of change in the meter. The manuscripts do not support such an interpretation. If the modus had really been an element of rhythmic organization in the composer's mind, it should be reflected in the notation. In most cases, the original notation does not bear out the assumption of a modus. The change of modus measure by measure is not in agreement with the composer's understanding of rhythmic
organization; but this is what Iudwig's transcription actually suggests: a frequent change of modus, from perfect to imperfect, from the presence of the modus to its absence The transcription, therefore, displays an irregularity which the original notation does not justify; it actually violates the rhythmic conception of the composition. Surely there are cases which are subject to debate. But we found that in most compositions the tones are grouped by Breves not by Longae. Of course, there are other indications of the presence or absence of the modus, the pausae, the Longae yet, one Longa does not make the modus. We have pointed out the problem of the modus for the individual composition in our notes. In general, rhythmic signatures (for modus, tempus) do not occur in the Mss, except for the lais where they are inserted to indicate a rhythmic change for the music or certain strophes. They will be found in our edition where they occur.

We do not imply that Iudwig was totally unaware of the problems of the modus; he himself has mentioned his decisions in the matter (II, 46*). But he presented his decision that applied to his transcription as though the metrical order of the composition according to the modus were a matter of choice. Iudwig observed the modus where we found none; yet he leaves the transcription according to modus entirely to free choice. "Whoever believes that /the frequent metrical changes/ insert too much of a subjective judgment in the transcription might adhere to tempus-measures." (II, 46*) We do not believe that the modus wherever present had so little significance for the rhythmic organization as a whole that it would be just as well to disregard it.

The musical notation in general does not present any particular difficulty. As in volume I of The Polyphonic Music of the 14 th Century, we also have here referred the peculiarities of notation to the notes. Wherever any particular problem of notation calls for discussion, it will be found in the notes. There are a few general features, however, of which no special mention has been made. The Longa is often written with the cauda upwards (in the Machaut Mss) wherever it appears low in the staff; this is done, of course, in order to avoid interference with the text. Also the Brevis has occasionally a peculiar form: it is written with a cauda to the left downwards and often also with a small dash downwards to the right. At first sight, the resemblance with the writing of the plica is indeed suggestive of a plica. But comparison of the Mss with one another reveals this manner merely to be a special form of writing the Brevis. Since the writing of both the Longa and Brevis in these special forms is so frequent, we made no note of it.
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Our transcription includes the indication of ligatures. ludwig did not incorporate them in the transcription proper (although he listed them for most cases in his notes) because he assumed them to be of no importance. It is true, the ligatures vary with the manuscripts, and no absolute uniformity in the use of ligatures can be noticed. We found, however, the variants in the writing of ligatures none too numerous with regard to the Machaut Mss proper; they are remarkably extensive in the reportory manuscripts. It goes without saying that the variants are most numerous in the accompanying parts, tenor and contratenor. Even with respect to these parts, we arenot convinced that the use of Ligatures has neither significance nor importance. Since we are as yet unable always to present the reason for the use of ligatures, we did not want to prejudice the decision; hence our transcription includes the ligatures. Of course, it is difficult or even impossible to reduce the variants of it is difficult or even impossible to reduce the variants choice of the Iigatures, the Machaut Mss, especially A, have been the main guide.

If ligatures appear in the vocal parts, they should be marked under all circumstances. Their appearance in the voices has bearing upon the melismatic and syllabic style of melody, whatever else they may imply. Iudwig has been very inconsistent in the matter. Melismata are indicated by slurs in his transcription only for the virelais and lais, and even there the slurs are applied to any group of tones whether or not they are written in ligatures or notae simplices. There is a figure, a group of four descending tones, so stereotyped and frequently recurring that it must be taken as a characteristic of Machaut's melody (for example: $\frac{g}{}^{\prime} f^{\prime} f^{\prime} e^{\prime}$, or $\frac{a}{}^{\prime} \underline{E}^{\prime} f^{\prime} e^{\prime}$; but any tone can be the starting point) ; the group is nearly always written in form of two Iigatures cop, rarely in single semibreves. If the group has the two middle tones in unison, Iudwig tied the two tones together, with the rhythm of $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Sb}$ being the result. There is not the slightest justification for tying notes of the same pitch together. Not only in this case but always throughout his transcription, Iudwig has tied (usually by a dotted slur) notes of the same pitch together. Such an interpretation cannot be accepted. Despite the frequent placing of the punctus divisionis between the two tones of the same pitch Iudwig disregarded the clear indication by the punctus that the tone must be really sung twice. Iudwig's transcription suggnsts what must be taken as an erroneous rhythm. As a matter of fact, the rhythm involves a technique of singing at the time of Machaut (and, for that matter, in fourteenthcentury Italy as well) of which the repetition of tones of the same pitch must have been characteristic; no document proves that it was not.

Similar to the use of Iffgatures is the writing of the plica. There is no agreement among the manuscripts. Some manuscripts, even those of the Machaut group, omit all plicae on a basis of primciple, as for example E. Other Mss omit plicae occasionally, but not always, and such Mss omit plicae occasionally, but not always, and such omissions might have resulted from the negligence of the
scribe. Still other Miss are fairly careful and complete scribe, Still other Miss are fairly careful and complete
in the notation of the plica. We have usually accepted the latter for the transcription. But it was impossible for reasons of available space to give a complete list of variants in the writing of the plica.

The notation of the Notae finales, either at the "ouvert" and "clos" or the very end of the composition or of sections differs greatly. The difference (Longa, Brevis) have no rhythmic significance; the scribes themselves have been inconsistent in the matter; they may use a Brevis in one part, a Longa in another at the same place. Without taking note of these differences, we have used uniform Notae finales. The Nota finalis, especially at the "ouvert" and "clos", is often followed by a sign that looks like a pausa brevis or longa, without having the significance of a pausa The same sign occurs as an indication of the end of a section, or verse, and almost regularly in the song forms before the refrain. In no case does this sign really mean a pausa, unless it is actually written (for example) as a. pausa semibrevis. Iudwig's interpretation of this sign is both arbitrary and inconsistent: sometimes he inserts the pausa, sometimes he omits it without giving a reason for his choice. We have taken the pausa only in the rare cases Where the notation clearly requires a pausa. There are, however, some disturbing instances in the lais, and we have expressly made reference to them in the notes.

The use of accidentals is perhaps the most difficult part of the transcription. The manuscripts, which are by no means uniform in the placement of accidentals, are revealing by way of the very variants they contain. Bu they do not supply any firm rules which would enable us definitively to decide when to place and when to omit accidentals. Our knowledge of such rules is still accidentals. our knowledge of such rules is still extremely limited; the unsolved problems are still legion, and the chapter of the "Musica ficta" is still as large as it is obscure. We do not pretend always to have presented solutions that are free from doubt. The accidentals that occur in the Machaut Mss have generally been incorporated in the transcription, and where the repertory Mss clared the case, their variants have also been used. But all accidentals appearing in the transcription above the staff are editorial additions.

We have naturally taken full advantage of the critical editions of the poetical texts by the Machaut scholars, first of all by V. Chichmaref; but also the corrections and improvements by E. Hoepffner, A. Jeanroy, G. Raynaud have been taken into account. It is noteworthy, however, that Ludwig's version of the texts, a remarkable contribution to medieval literature, is almost invariably preferable to Chichmaref; this observation resulted from a minute comparison of the various manuscripts with each other. Deviations from Ludwig's text version are, therefore, few and minor.

In conclusion, I wish to express my gratitude for the assistance Mr. Daniel Oppenheim (Yale University, Graduate School) has given me in preparing the manuscript of the introduction.

Machaut's total work consists of the following twentyseven component parts:

1. Prologue: a. the short and b. the long version (1371?
2. Dit dou vergier
3. Judgement du bon Roy de Behaingne (before 1346)
4. Judgement du Roy de Navarre (after 1349)
5. Lay de plour (occasionally included in the group of Lais;
6. Chanson desesperee (usually included in Louange des Dames)
7. Remede de Fortune (1342 at the latest? - before I357?
8. Dit dou lyon (1342)
9. Dit le l'alerion (before 1349?)
10. Confort d'ami (1357)
11. Dit de la fonteinne amoureuse (1360-61)
12. Dit de la harpe
13. Dit de la marguerite
14. La Louange des Dames
15. Les complaintes (in an earlier phase included in Louange 16. Iivre d Dames)
16. Dit de la rose
17. Les biens que ma dame me fait pour amender moy m'onneur et mon fait
18. La Prise d'Alexandrie (1369 at the earliest)
20... Dit de la fleur de lis et de la marguerite
19. Les lays
20. Les Motes
21. Messe
22. Hoquetus David (occasionally placed after Virelais)
23. Les balades notees
24. Ii rondeaulz
25. Les chansons baladees (Virelais)

The degree of completeness and the order of the individual works permit the establishment of an approximate chronology of the sources. This applies, however, only to those
manuscripts which originated under the direct or indirect supervision of Machaut. Indeed, the total of the sources must be classified in two categories: those supervised by Machaut himself, the "Machaut manuscripts" properly speaking, and the so-called "repertory manuscripts" which include individual compositions of Machaut alon side the work of other composers. Also the mere text manuscripts fall in with these two categories, showing on the one side manuscripts with the work of Machaut alone, on the other side manuscripts which combine the poetry of Niachaut with
that of others
The Nachaut manuscripts are laid out according to a certain plan which at least in part reveals the gradual growth of Machaut's work, thus an actual chronological order. Although the chronology deduced from the plan of the manuscripts affects the work by way of more or less large groups, rather than of individual compositions, it nevertheless often yields sufficiently strong evidence of the time of origin for certain compositions within a group; above all, it yields the means by which to gauge the relative value of the various sources. If a manuscript excludes a work from the group where it belongs, be the group that of motets or ballades or other categories of composition, certain conclusions might be drawn as regards the age of the work. In other words, the stage in which a certain manuscript presents a certain group of works reflects upon the age of the collection.

The "repertory manuscripts", on the other hand, are more or less accurate indications of the fame of the individual composition. The frequency with which such a composition appears outside the Machaut manuscripts proper is, indeed, a measure of its popularity. The ballades and rondeaux undoubtedly enjoyed the greatest favor; for some of them were included in no less than ten (ballades and nine (rondeaux) repertory manuscripts. In view of double the amount of ballades ( 40 ballades against 20 rondeaux, apart from those included in the Remede de Fortune), but with only one more manuscript for the ballades, it would seer that on this basis the rondeau of Machaut, in the appreciation of the times, was even more favored than the ballade. With a representation in only three different repertory manuscripts, the motets are far behind ballades or rondeaux. Of the mass cycle only one part, the Ite missa est, appears in one of the repertory manuscripts and the Hoquetus David did not leave the Machaut manuscripts at all. The indifference of Machaut's musical contemporaries towards his virelais and lais is, however, surprising, Collective text manuscripts did not wholly neglect either the virelai or the lais, but the musical collections show that neither the virelai nor the lai of Machaut ever gained a foothold in the repertory of the time Not one virelai is represented in any of the repertory manuscripts; and as for the lais there is but one exception: the "Lay mortel" which appears outside the Machaut manuscripts only once, and even there in a form that does not fit the usual character of a repertory manuscript. It is the rotulus Maggs (Iondon) where the lai was copied, and it appears that the "Lay mortel" was
the only composition that had been entered on the rotulus.
the only composition that had been entered on the rotulus.
Although we do not know. the purpose for which the rotulus w Although we do not know the purpose for which the rotulus
commissioned, we can safely assume that it was not planned commissioned, we can safely assume that it was not planned to present a musical repertory, not even the segment of a repertory. Consequently, despite the appearance of the "Lay mortel" in the rotulus Maggs, we still may be justified in saying that neither the lais nor the virelais became part of the general musical repertory.

The reason for this neglect of two categories of composition which occupy a distinguished place in Machaut's total works is not clear. Were lai nd virelai not in vogue? Were they outmoded? Or were they in lesser favor because of their being largely monophonic? Of all the lais only two ame polyphonic, and even with these two the polyphony results from the canon. Out of 32 virelais actually composed (always without counting the seven compositions included in the Remede de Fortune), 24 virelais are monophonic. Hence both categories are mainly
representative of monophonic music. It is true, the art of monophonic composition had considerably declined, if not died out, by the time Machaut created his work. But apart from 14 th-century copies of the songs of the Trouveres, the Roman de Fauvel was still a major source of monophonic music, the lai included. As a matter of fact, Machaut shaped his own Lay de Nostre Dame "Contre ce doulz mois de may" in direct dependence on lais of the Roman de Fauvel. "En ce dous temps d'este tout droit au mois de may" in particular being the immediate model. (Cf. the author's essay, "Guillaume de Machaut and the Roman de Fauvel," in Journal of the American Miusicological Society; this paper was read at the national meeting of the Society, held at Princeton University, Decomber 1955.) But since after the Roman de Fauvel Machaut was one of the few composers, il not the only one, who continued to work in the medium of monophonic music, we might be correct in assuming that monophonic music was not particularly favored, and therefore neglected by all those who were charged with rendering an account of the musical repertory. Apart from the
"Chansonniers" of the Trouveres there were not Ionger any major sources of monophonic music; they are either lost, or else they were in disfavor. AIl major sources of the I4th century are polyphonic. But the surprisingly close relation between Machaut's lais and those of the Roman de Fauvel of 1316 calls for cautious judgement on our part as regards the position of monophonic music in the general repertory. Perhaps there was not such a complete decline of monophonic composition as we have been led to believe.

The Nachaut manascripts are all arranged as collections of the complete works. The musical compositions are nearly
always gathered as separate entities at the end of the manuscripts. Most of the Machaut manuscripts follow this plan. The musical insertions in the Remede de Fortune, however, appear in the poetical work itself, i.e. outside the fascicles of music. None of the Machaut manuscripts include any of the seven compositions of the Remede in the fascicles at the end. Also Le Voir Dit has musical composition inserted. But in contrast to the insertions in Remede those of Le Voir Dit are not included in the literary work, but incorporated in the musical fascicles; only one of the Machaut manuscripts (E) presents them together with the text of Le Voir Dit. As a rule, therefore, literary and musical works are clearly separated from each other

The lyrical poetry is collected under the title of Ia Louange des Dames. The Louange, however, comprises all the lyrics not intended for masical composition; this is at least generally the case. The volume of the Louange, i.e. the number of poems, varies greatly in the different manuscripts. The complaintes are included in the Louange in an errly phase of the collections: or in a still earlier phase they are entirely missing. Only with the advance towards greater completeness were they removed from the Louange and presented as a separate entity. Since the Iyrical poetry not intended for music consists of exactly the same categories (Ballades, chansons royales, rondeaux etc.) as the poetry used for composition, the Louange des Dames might well have been placed in some of the manuscripts directly before the masical fascicles in order to indicate the close relationship between the two sections of lyrical poetry. But we cannot be certain of such an indication since the place before the musical portion is not regularly kept for the Louange.

The Louange des Dames includes the texts of twentyfive poems, nineteen ballades, five rondeaux, and one virelai, which were actually composed and appear in their proper place in the masical fascicles. On the other hand there are seven texts, one rondeaux and six virelais, which are entered in the musical fascicles, although they are without music. They should be exclusively part of the Louange. Among the lais which always form a section of their own, six are without music despite their appearance in the musical part of the manuscripts. There is no evidence that Nachaut actually intended them for music but failed to compose the music for unknown reasons. What applies to the appearance of composed
ballades, rondeaux, and virelais in the Louange must also hold for the inclusion of mere texts in the musical section. It is difficult to believe that Machaut consistently overlooked the omission of music in manuscripts which he himself supervised; but his reason for maintaining the poems without music where they are can in no way be ascertained.

The musical section at the end of the manuscripts is divided in seven groups of musical categories 1. lais; 2. motets; 3. mass; 4. Hoquetus Davidi 5. ballades; 6. rondeaux; 7. virelais. The position of each of these groups in the Machaut manuscripts is not always the same, As amle, the musical fascicles begin with the lais and end with the virelais; but the placing of the mass and hoquet varies in the sources. The mass follows usually the motets; but in one of the main manuscripts (E) the mass concludes the musical section The hoquet, best placed directly after motets and mass is occasionally separated from the pass and appeais at the very end; in one case, in $V g$ and consequently also in B, it even is inserted before the last virelai. The succession of the groups, listed above, is that of the manuscript $A$; being the best and toost logical it is adopted for the edition.

## I. The Machaut Mamuscripts

F.G. Paris, Bibliotheque Nationale, Ms.f.frcs. 22545, 22546. 2 volumes, parchment, F 200 folios, G 164 folios, 36 by $26 \mathrm{cm;}$ l4th century; numerous illuminations. Previous owners: Library of the "Conventus Parisiensis Carmelitarum Discalciatorum:" I.J. Geignat (d. 1768); Duc de la Valliere (d.1780).

F: f. 40: Remede de Fortune, with the seven compositions included.

G: f. 74: Les lays; No. 1-15 (Nos, always refer to our edition composed, and 6 texts not composed; No.16-18 omitted.
f. 102': Les motes: No. 1-23
f. 125': La messe (wi.thout title).
f. 134: les balades notees; 39 ballades; No. 30 omitted.
f. 150: Ii rondeaulz; 20 rondeaux, and 1 text not composed
f. $154^{\prime}:$ les chansons baladees; 32 virelais composed, and 6 texts not composed.
f. 163': Hoquetus David (without title).

Iiterature: G.F. de Bure, Bibliographie Instructive (vol.8.) Supplement a la Bibliographie Instructive ou cabinet de feu M. L.J. Gaignat, I (1769), 45lf. G.F. de Bure, Cātalogue des livres de feu M. Ie Duc de la Valliere, I, $2(1783)$, 262 ff. - Bibliotheque Nationale, Departement des Mamuscrits,
Catalogue general des manuscrits fres., Anciens Petits fonds frç. 1 V. Chichmaref, Poesies I, CXIff. (Ms.K) - J. Wolf, GM I 157 ff. - F. Indwig II, 8 f. - The manuscripts $F G$ were first mentioned by Abbe Lebeuf in 1746 (Memoires de
 by Count Caylus in 1747 (Memoires): by Abbe La Rive in Dela Borde, Essai sux la Nusique ancienne et moderne, IV (1780), 1-27.
A. Paris, Bibliotheque Nationale, Ms.f.frcs. 1584 Ms on parchment, 6 preliminary folios and 494 folios 31 by $22 \mathrm{~cm} ; 14$ th century, 2nd half; with previous illuminations, with the two in the Prologue attributed to Maitre aux Boquetaux by H. Martin, I miniatare francaise du XIIIe au XVe siecle (Paris 1923), 44f. The Ms might have been copied for the royal court (suggestion of Ludwig).

On the preliminary folios (not numbered): "Vesci I'ordenance que G. de Machaut wet qu'il ait en son livre," followed by an old index in which, of the musical compositions, only the Hoquetus David is not listed.
f. 49': Remede de Fortune (with the seven compositions included).
f. 367: Ies lays (without title); No. I-16 composed, and 6 texts not composed.
f. $414^{\prime}$ : 'Ies motes (without title); No. I-23
f. 438': La messe (Missa) (without title)
f. $451^{\prime}$ : Hoquetus David (without title).
f. 454: les balades (without title); 38 ballades; No. 39 and 40 omitted.
f. 475: les rondeaux (without title); 19 rondeaux; No. 9: Tant doucement twice on f .475 and $477^{\prime}$; No. 21 omitted; and I text not composed
f. 482: Chansons baladees (without title); 32 virelais composed, and 6 texts not composed.

Literature: Bibliotheque Nationale, Department des mamuscrits, Catalogue general des manuscrits francais, serie in 40 (1868), 259. - E. Hoepffner, Oeuvres, I, XLVI. - V. Chichmaref, Poesies T, CXIff. (Ms.C) - J. Wolf, GM I, 163. - F. Liadwig 11, $\mathrm{O}^{*}$

Vg. Mis formerly owned by Marquis de Vogthe - Paris (d. 1916), now owned by Gallery Wildenstein - New York. Ms on parchment, originally 392, now 390 folios, with f. 321 and 383 missing ; 14th-century; numerous illuminations.

On $f$. (2) of the preliminary folios: "J'ay belle dame assouvie" (in 15th-century script). According to the information $F$. Ludwig obtained from Count Durrieu (cf. F Irdwig II, 10* and in E. Hoepffner, Oeuvres II, 407, n), the verse "J'ay belle dame" (without "assouvie") was the device of Jean de Grajlli, Count of Foix (d. 1436); it appears as inscription at the Chateau Mauvesin, as quotation of Miquel del Bemis and Alain Chartier, and in several Parisian manuscripts. Iudwig leaves undecided whether or not this 15 th-century entry might be regarded as a reference to the counts of Foix as original owners of the Ms.
f. $87^{\prime}$ : Lay de plour (with music).
f. 90 : Remede de Fortune (with the seven compositions
. 219. included)

1. 219: les lays; No. 1-13 composed, and 5 texts not f. composed
2. 260': les motez; No. I-23.
f. $283^{\prime}:$ La Messe de Nostre Dame.
f. 296': les baladez; 36 ballades, No. 37-40 omitted.
3. $316^{\prime}$ : les rondeaux (without title); 14 rondeaux composed, No. $1-13,17$; No. 14 and 15 and I text (No, 16; not composed in any of the Mss) missing because of the missing f. 321.
f. $322^{\prime}-334$, 335': les chansons baladees (without title): 30 virelais composed, No. 31 and 32 omitted; and 6 texts not composed.
f. $334^{\prime}$, 335: Double hoquet David.

Literature: I. de Mas Latrie, La prise d'Alexandrie etc. in Publication de la Societe de lorient latin, serie historique I, XXVIIIf.- E, Hoepffiner, Deuvres $I$, XIIIn (Ms. V). - V. Chichmaref, Poesies I, CIVf. (Ms. N). F. Iudwig II, $9^{*} f$. and in E. Hoeppfner, Oeuvres II, 407 f .
B. Paris, Bibliotheque Nationale, Ms.f.frcs. 1585. Ms on paper, 395 folios, 28 by $20 \mathrm{~cm} ; 15$ th century.
F. Ludwig II, 10 * observed for the first time that B is direct and exact copy of Vg , with the maintenance of the same foliation, even the lines on the page (save for the Prise d Alexandrie); he compared the foliation in the 2 Niss: f. I38 being vacant in Vg has no corresponding folio in $B$, hence f. $138-217$ in $B$ equal f. $139-218$ in Vg. The first folio of the fascicle of the lais is missing in $B$ ( $f$. folio of the fascicle of the lais is missing in B ( $f$.
219 in $V g$ ); hence $f .218-308$ in $B$ correspond with $f .220-1$. 219 in Vg ; hence $f .218-308$ in $B$ correspond with $f .2$
310 in Vg , and f . $310-319$ in $B$ with f . $311-320$ in Vg since the content of the lost $f .321$ of Vg is misplaced on f . 309 in $B$; $f$. 320-329 in B correspond with f. 322-331 in Vg . The corresponding f .332 and 335 of Vg are missing in $B$, hence $f$. 330-331 in $B$ equal $f$. 333-334 in Vg. In addition to the old foliation which is identical with that of $\mathrm{Vg}, \mathrm{B}$ has now a modern foliation which is used here. In the copy of the music $B$ includes all errors of $V$ gi in the copy of the text, however, B uses different spellings which Hoepfiner attributed to the difference of orthography in the 15th century.
f. 104': Lay de plour (with music).
f. 107: Remede de Fortune (with the seven compositions included).
f. 220: Les Lays (without title); beginning with lai No. 2; No. 2-13 composed and 5 texts not composed.
f. 258': les motes (without title); No. 1-23.
f. 281': la messe (without title).
f. 294': les balades; 36 ballades composed; No. 37-40 omitted: between No. 27 (f. 308') and No. 28 ( f .310 ), rondeaux $14-16$ (f. 309-3091) inserted.
f. 315': les rondiauz; 14 rondeaux, No. 1-13, f. 315'319', No. 17, f. 320 composed; 2 rondeaux (No. 14, 15) misplaced in the section of the balledes (f. 309, 309') and 1 text (No. 16) likewise misplaced on f. 309'.
f. 320': les chansons balades (!) c'on claimme virelais; 27 virelais composed and 6 texts not composed; No. 1-26 consecutively (f. 3201-329'); No. 27 and 28 omitted; No. 29 ( $£$. 330) which is followed by 3 texts not composed (f. 330-331): No, 30 missing.
f. 331': Double hoquet.

Literature: Bibliotheque Nationale, Departement des manuscrits, Catalogue general des manuscrits francais, serie in 40 I (1868), 259. - Ho epffner Ou evres I, XLVIf. - V. Chichmaref, Poesies I, CIVf. (Ms D). F. Irawig II, $10^{*}$ and in E. Hoepffner, Oeuvres II, 409.
C. Paris, Bibliotheque Nationale, Ms.f.frcs. 1586 Ws on parchment, 225 folios, 30 by $22 \mathrm{~cm} ; 15 \mathrm{th}$ century; numerous illuminations. Though a 15 th-century manuscript, it probably represents the oldest phase of a collection of Macheut's total works. The order of the compositions in the musical fascicles is irregular and deviates considerably from the other Mss.
f. 23: Remede de Fortune (with the seven compositions included).
f. $148^{1}-15^{\prime}$ : 20 virelais, No. $1-15$ (f. 148'-154), No, 17-21 (f. 154' $-155^{\prime}$ ), and 3 texts not composed.
P. $157^{\prime}-164^{\prime}:$ ballades No. $1-16$.
f. 165-186': 8 lais, No. I, staves only for the first strophe but vacant; the remaining strophes text only; No. 2 (f. 168').-6 (f. 181.), and 1 text not composed; No. 7, 8 (f. 181'-186').
. 186!: 1 ballade No. 19.
f. 187-18ç: I Lay de plour ("qui bien"); No. 16.
f. 189-197: 1 lai No. 9 and 4 lai texts not composed.
f. 189-197: 1 lai No. 9 and 4 lai
f. 198: 5 vallades No. $17,18,20,23,21$.
f. 201': 1 rondeau No. 2 .
f. 201': I bellade No. 24.
f. 202: 5 rondeaux NO. $7,5,9,1,6$.
f. 203': 1 virelai No. 16.
f. 204: 1 bsllade No. 22 (with staves for $T$ and Co left - vacant
f. 204': 2 ronaeaux No. 3, 4.
f. 205: 2 virelais No. 27, 26.
f. 206: 1 rondeau $\mathbb{N O}$. 10 (with 2 Co).
f. 206'-225: 19 motets; No. 1-3, 5-20.

A total of 10 lais, 19 motets, 24 ballades, 9 rondeaux, 25 virelais; the mass and hoquet are missing.
Iiterature: Bibliotheque Nationale, Departement des manuscrits, Catalogue general des manuscrits français, seri in $4^{\circ}$ I (1868), 259. - E. Hoepffner, Oeuvres I, XIVIIf. - V. Chichmaref, Poesies I, CVIIIff. (Ms. E). - F. Ludwig II, 10*
E. Paris, Bibliotheque Nationale, Ms.f.frçs. 9221. Mis on parchment, 5 preliminary folios and 238 folios, 40.6 by 30 cm , with 3 columns for the texts, l4th century; numerous illuminations; one of the most luxurious Machaut manuscripts. Despite the beautiful appearance of the manuscript, the musical and literary texts are not recognise as the most authentic versions.

Original owner of the Ms: Jean Duc de Berry (d. 1416). On the preliminary folio: "Ce livre de Machaut est de Jehan filz de roy de France," signed "FIAMEL", librarian of the Duke. On f. $238^{\circ}$ entry by the Duke himself: "Ce livre est au duc de Berry et d'Auvergne, conte de Poitou et d'Auvergne signed "JEHAN". The Ms is listed in the Duke's inventory of 1402 as L. Delisle, Recherches sur la bibliotheque de Guiffer -, II (1907), 268, has shown. (cI. also . and I. Délislentaires de Jean duc de Bery, IT (1881), 318; f. Iudwig (II, $1 I^{*} \frac{\left.\text { and } 40^{*}\right)}{\frac{\text { aes }}{\text { ide }} \frac{\text { Manuscrits }}{} \text {, III (1881), } 193 .}$ Machout manuscrints and $40^{*}$ ) identified E 25 as one of the Machout mamuscripts in possession of the Burgundian dukes wh acquired the Mis between 1420 and 1467 ; in the latter year th Mis is listed for the first time in the inventory of Bruges. The inventories of Brussels list it between 1487 and 1794 when the Ms was returned to Paris. (The bibliographical literature is quoted by Iudwig II, 11* and 40*.) On the preliminary folios: an old index for the Louange and the musical compositions.

Ms Paris Arsenal 3297 is an 18th-century copy of E, made for Lacurne de Ste.-Palaye. (Cf. Catalogue des Manuscrits d'Arsenal, III (1887), 312).

The succession of the compositions in the masical fascicles of $E$ differs from that of other Mss.

土. 22: Remede de Fortune; title: L'ecu bleu; (with the seven compositions included).
f. 57: Lay de plour (with the music).

1. 107: Les lays; No. 1, three texts not composed, No. $4,6,3,7,8,9,10,12,11,5,17$, two texts not composed, No. 18; 14 lais
composed and 5 texts not composed.
2. 131: "Cy commencent les motes et rondeaux de

Guillaume de Machaut." In order to
make full use of the large pages, not filled by the motets alone, the scribe has entered the rondeaux on all free places of the pages.
f. 131: motet. No. 20 .
f. 131, 132: motet No. 1; rondeaux No. 10, 12.
f. 132', 133: motets No. 2, 8 .
f. 133', 134: motet No. 3; rondeaux No. 9, 7.
f. 134', $135:$ motet No. 4; rondeaux No. 15, 3, and text
f. 1351 136. (No. 16) not composed.
f. 136:' 136: motet No. 5 ; rondeaux No. 14, 5.
f. 1371, 138: motet No. 16; rondeau No. 8.
f. 1381, 139 : motet No: 7; rondeaux No: 1, 2, 18 .
f. 139', 140: motets No. 9, 11.
f. 1401, 141: motet No. 10: rondeaux No. 13, 21.
f. 141', 142 : motet No: 12 ; rondeaux No: $11,6$.
f. 142', 143: motets No. 13, 14.

f. 145', 146: motet No. 21; rondeau No. 4.

Total: 22 motets; 18 rondeaux and 1 text not composed.
f. 147: les balades; 35 ballades, but ballade No. 19 is copied twice (No. 18, 29 of E): the succession is: No. $1,18,13,14,27$, $\frac{11}{25}, 19,28,22,17,39,29,31,23,2,21$, $25,19,20,4,40,35,5,6,16,7,8$, $9,19,26,30,15,24,10,38$.
f. 159: "Cy commancent les virelays balades et la messe Machaut.
f. 159: 26 virelais; the succession is: No. $1,4,5,6$ (with the staves left vacant), 7, 15, $16,17,18$ (with the staves left vacant), $19,20,25,26,27,2,3,23,24,22$ (with a staff provided for "tenor" left vacant), 29, 21; f. 162': "Cis a bien fole," virelai not composed, here one staff is left vacant; No. 10, 11, 12, 8, 9: two virelai texts not composed; the first "Dame, le doulz souvenir" has one staff left vacant.
26 virelais, of which the music for two, No. 6, 18, is missing in E, but preserved in other Mss, and 3 texts not composed.
f. 164': la messe (without any title; see the title on $f$. 159 for the virelais)
f. 171: Le Voir Dit; with 8 compositions, 1 lai, 4
ballades, 3 rondeaux here included:
f. 173: ballade No. 32.

1. 176: rondeau No. 13: it appears also in the rondeaux (motet) fascicle.
f. 178: ballade No. 33.
f. 182: rondeau No: 4; it appears also in the rondeaux (motet) fascicle.
f. 188: lai No. 13.
f. 1981: rondeau No. 17.
f. 199': ballade No. 34.
f. 203': ballade No. 36.

Literature: Bibliothèque Nationale, Departément des manuscrits, $\frac{\text { Catalogue }}{\mathrm{I}(7805)} \frac{\text { général }}{326}$ des manuscrits francais, Anc. suppl. frçs. I (l895), 326 . E. Hoepffner, oeuvres I, XLVII. $\bar{\prime}$ V. Chichmar
Ludwig II, $10^{*}-12^{*}$.

## II. Secondary Machaut Manuscripts.

In this group we list those manuscripts which contain still a more or less substantial part of Machaut's work, but were not written under Machaut's direct or indirect influence; they also are connected with the literary work of other authors. In all of them only a fraction of Morgan Library, Ms. M 396 . Morg. New York, Piexpont

Manuscript on parchment, $2+242+2$ folios, 32.5 by $24.7 \mathrm{~cm} ;$ in 2 columns for the texts; richly illuminated (126 colored wash drawings); 15th century, 2nd quarter. Although the modern foliation is consecutive, several folios seem to be missing; there are, indeed, omissions in the Confort d'Ami, Fontaine Amoureuse, Voir Dit. Are there 9 folios missing in the Machaut section? According to the 9 librarian's description, Morg was in possession of Garson de Boiaval during the 17 th century; the Pierpont Morgan Library acquired the Ms from Th. Belin (I910), "who had bought it in northern Prance." the history of the Ms has obvious gaps.

1. The works of Nachaut ( $1,1-214^{\prime}$ ): 2. Jacques Bruyant, Ia Voie de Pauvrete et de Richese (f. 215-227): 3. Alain Chartier, La Belle Dame sañs Merci (incomplete. written by Chartier in 14 24 ) ( $\overline{1} \cdot 22 \overline{-229}$ ) French version of the Consolatio Philosophiae (incomplas, (f. 230-241). All the parts are written by (incomplete) The mamuscript has peculiar characteristics all its somibe gives the impression that it mi aht haverics all its own. I collection of the complete works, copied from planned as a chief Machaut mamuscripts. (But apil the point, according to the librari South Burguandian to the best Machan scribe. The order of the poems conforms appearing before the Cone The Dit de l'Alerion, usually appearing before the Confort d'Ami, is here placed after Hes biens que ma dame me fait, and Le Voir Dit is placed Detween we Dit de la Marguerite and Le Dit dela Rose. strangely enough, le lay de plour which has its proper place directly after the Ju gement du Roy de Ns propen entirely missing. The masic For the seven Navarre is in the Reinede de Fortune is also missing. But aions traditional order and the plan of completeness a distinctly maintained only up to Le Dit de 1 ' Al rion (es are
From then on, the character of the $\frac{\text { Alerion }}{\mathrm{M}}$ ( $f .183^{\prime}-211^{\prime}$ ). La Prise d'Alexandrie is missing. wis is rather puzzling. $(\mathrm{Vg}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{E}) \frac{\text { Lexandrie }}{\mathrm{La} \text { Prise appears at the but since in some Mss }}$ work might be explained by an intene end, the omission of this place La prise also nea by an intention of the scribe to place La prise also here at the end. But we assume that
the scribe changed the original plan of a complete collection after the copying of Ie Dit d'Alerion. For it is strange that Ia Louange des Dames is completely omitted Yet the following works, the complaintes ( $f .211^{\prime}-213$ ), refer indirectly to the Louange. That they are presented separately is in keeping with a more advanced stage of the work order: for in the earlier phase, the complaintes were included in the Louange. The group of complaintes (3, one of which belongs to Le Voir Dit) is, however, a torso. Perhaps this fragmentary state is an indication of a change of plan on the part of the scribe. All the rest of the Machaut section is in similar fragmentary state. In some of the Machaut Mss the Louange, Complaintes, i.e. in G, M (where the complaintes appear within the Iouange), $C$
(where the complaintes do not appear as yet), are followed by the lyrical poems that were actually composed. In conformity with this order, the fragmentary group of complaintes is followed by a fragmentary group of the musical fascicles, but of the music there are no more than 2 ballades and 2 rondeaux. The succession itself, complaintes and musical compositions, still harmonizes with an original plan of a total edition, but the selection of a few examples is rather indicative of dropping the plan.
Up to the Dit de l'Alerion the work of the scribe is cerried out faithfully and consistently; from then on all but a skeleton remains; and the change is surprisingly abrupt. The scribe copies the first complaintes on the same page where the Dit de I'Alerion ends (f. 211'). Were it not for the character of the torso at the end the Ms Morg could well be counted among the primary Machaut Mss.
f. $213^{\prime}$ : ballade No. $31,3 \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co})$.
f. 214: ballade No. 23, $2 \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{T})$.
f. 214': rondeau No. 7, 2 V (Ca, T)
2. 214': rondeau No. 9, 2 V ( Ca, T); it is to be noted that all. Mss (A B Vg C G E Pep) have this rondeau in the 4 v version, and only $A$ has, in addition to the 4 v version a second version for 2 v , identical with Morg.

Literature: A. Guesnon, Le moyen âge XVI (1912), 94f。F. Ludwig II, I3* and IV, 82 (Besseler). - H. Besseler, in MGG s.v. "Ars nova," with reproduction of $f .213$ '.
K. Bern, Burgerbibliothek, Ms 218.

Manuscript on parchment, 140 folios, 29.5 by 20.2 cm . On the basis of the old foliation, 37 folios (so Herm. Hagen, Catalogus Codicum Bernensium (1874-75), 263ff.), 34 or 37 folios (according to F. Ludwig II, l3*) missing: with illuminations, but torn out. French provenance (Ile-deFrance,).

The Ms has the "Explicit. Ou mois d'avril, / Qui est gay, cointe et gentil, / I'an mil. ccc. lx, et, xi, Qui est la sepmaine seconde, Acheva ̀̀. I. vendredi/ Guiot de Sens

Also $K$ is arranged as a more or less complete collection of Machaut's works. But apart from bein mutilated, the $M$ shows some independent omissions list of the works is not complete. The omissions. The probably not intended to be include The musical section was the lais arc represented, and of these at all events, only fragmentary) texts are , and of these only 4 (in part (f. $127,128,130-132$ ) copied, without their music missing) is copied without music (hay de plour (beginning Poésies I, CI, states that $K$ (Chichmaref: Chichmarer, contain "les pièces notées." While this: Ms R) does not the lais, it is not quite correct two of the seven inserted compositions, are copied in $K$ with the musicositions, i. e. the two ballades foreign materials are included ( $f$. At the end of the Ms excerpts from Machaut's Voir Dit $132-138$ ), with brief
f. $\quad$ 43-47, 50-60: Remade de Fortune (incomplete).
f. 60': ballade No. 41 ; 3 V (Can, T, Co).

No. 42: 2 V (Can, T)
(1874-75), $263 f \mathrm{f}$. Hagen, Catalogus Codicum Bernensium V. Chichmaref, Poésies I, Hopfiner, oeuvres I, XIVII. II, $13 * f$. - Otto Homburger, "Ueber die kuns. R). - F. Iudwig Bedeutung der Handschriften der Bur die kunstgeschichtiche Schatze der Burgerbibliothek Berm reproduction of f. $46^{\mathrm{T}}-$ Reme $\frac{\text { Rem }}{\text { ofe }}$ on pl. 30 ), 124f. (with
J. Paris, Bibliothèque de I'Arsenal, is 5203.
illuminated initials; 14th century, 29 by 21 cm , with

## century

especially at the end copy of $K$, $J$ has minor differences, materials not attributa the manuscript; also in J the the excerpts from Voir Dit to Machaut appear at tho verfig instead, the Dit de la rose is arer, are not inclaredrd materials.

The Remede de Fortune, $f .47$, has no music DE but the scribe copying from K left has no music at all: of ballade No. 41, $f .66$, and ballade No to insert the music even the word "tenor" indicated ballade No. 42, f. 67 ' where inserted, probably afterwards, by the musical sas to be

Literature: H. Martin, Catalogue général des manuscrits des bibliothoques publiques de France, Bibliotheque de I'Arsenal, V (1889), $143 f \mathrm{f}$. - E. Hoepfiner, ocuvres I, XLVIT. - V. Chichmaref, Poésies I, CIff. (Ms. M). - Iudwig II, 14*. Pep. Cambridge, Magdalene College, Bibliotheca Pepysiana, Ms 1594. Manuscript on parchment, 44 folios, 21 by 15.2 cm , one illumination on f . $12^{\prime}$ : "the execution is not very good," and a 16 th-century (?) pencil sketch ori $f: 44^{\prime}$ otherwise vacant; 15 th century.
M.R. James notes in his catalogue of the library: "There is an erasure at top of $f$. l: perhaps Matthaei C.a.r.d..." The toxt is written in 2 columns, the music on staves across the page. French origin; but provenance of the Ms is not known; nor is it known where samuel pepys acquired this $\mathbb{N} s$, or, for that matter, any of the other Mss.
£. 1-36': Remede de Fortune, here with the unique title: Remede d'Amour. The musical insertions are included
f. 37-43: "Tract on love" (Catalogue of M.R. James): "Hugue de saint Victor dit ou livre que len appelle Arraste proce que nulz ne poet rime senz amour."
The inserted compositions are:
f. 4: Lai No. 19.
f. 8: Complainte.
f. 17: Chanson roial.
f. 23': Ballade No. 4l, 4 v .
f. 25 , : Ballade No: $42,2 \mathrm{~V}$.
f. 29: Virelai No. 33,1 v.
f. 35 : Rondeau No. 9: Tant doucement, 4 v .

The rondeau that is regularly inserted as the last composition in Remede de Fortune is rondeau No. 22 (Dame, mon cuer).
No reason can be given for this unusual selection of rondeau No 9; neither is there any indication in the Ms that the regular rondeau No. 22 should follow No. 9.

Literature: M.R. James, Bibliotheca Pepysiana. A Descriptive Catalogue of the Library of Samuel Pepys, III, Mediaeval Manuscripts (Iondon, 1923), 24ff.- F. Iudwig II, 12*.

## III. Machaut Text Manuscripts.

In this group we list those manuscripts that contain the lyrical poetry without including any of its music; also those text manuscripts in which the work of Machaut is combined with that of other authors.
$\frac{M}{M}$. Paris, Bibliotheque Nationale, Ms.f.frçs. 843 Manuscript on parchment, 255 folios, 32 by 22 cm ; 15 th century.

Among the pure text Mss, one of the most comprehensive collections, comparable to Ms A. The order of the works corresponds to the Machaut Miss proper. The section of the lyrical poetry intended for musical composition appears at the end. Although none of the poems are copied with their music, the scribe specifically designates the groups "y chant," "il a chant."

Literature: Bibliotheque Nationale, Departement des manuscrits, Catalogue general des manuscrits francais, serie in Chichmaref, Poesies I, CXf. (Ms. $\frac{A}{\text { A }}$. - F. Ludwig II, $12 *$.
D. Paris, Bibliotheque Nationale, Ms.f.frçs. 1587. Manuscript on parchment, 108 folios, 26 by 19 cm ; illuminations 14th or 15th century. Previous owner: Chatre de Cange.

A small manuscript which has copies of the Louange, the Dit dou Vergier, and the two Jugement.

Literature: Bibliotheque Nationale, Departement des manuscrits, Catalogue general des manuscrits francais, serie in Chichmaref, 259 - E. Hoepffner, Oeuvres I, XLVI. - V. Chichmaref, Poesies I, CIVf. (Ms. F). - Ludwig II, 14*f.
H. Paris, Bibliotheque Nationale, Ms.f.fres. 881. Manuscript on parchment, 112 folios (originally more), 30.8 by 23.5 cm ; illuminations; 15th century.

The manuscript includes: l. Vetula (Richard de
Fournival?); 2. Le livre de l'Art d'Amours, French translation of Ovid's Ars Amandi; 3 . Machaut, Prologue (short version), and Louange des Dames.

Literature: Bibliotheque Nationale, Department des manuscrits, Catalogue general des mamuscrits francais, serie in i (1868), 147f.-E. Hoepffner, Oeuvres I, XIVIIf. -

Ars. Paris, Bibliotheque de l'Arsenal, Ms 683.
Manuscript on parchment, 214 folios, 33.5 by 25 cm . 13 th century, 15th century; folios 213, 214 are written by a 15 th century scribe; the main portion of 212 folios belongs to the 13th century.

On f. 213-214 ("XII," "XIII") are the (incomplete) texts of two lais which Machaut composed, No. 7 and 8 , and one (complete) text of alai, "Se quanque Dieus," which Machaut did not compose.

Literature: Catalogue des manuscrits de I'Arsenal, II (1886), 36. - V. Chichmaref, poesies I, IXXIV (Ms. I). - F. Iudwig II, 15*。

Pen. Philadelphia, $I_{i}$ brary of the University of Pennsylvania, Ms. Fr. 15. Manuscript on perchment, 94 folios, 30 by 24.2 cm; 15th century (ca. 1400?).

This text Mis was owned by Leo S. Olschki, Florence, in the '30's. G. Bertoni, "Liriche di Oton de Grandson, Guillaum de Machaut e di altri poeti in un nuovo canzoniere," in Archivum Romanicum XVI (1932), l-20, gave a first description of the Ms and a list of the lyrical texts which in many ways is incorrect and incomplete. In 1952 the Ms was sold to Laurence Witten, New Haven, Connecticut, who kindly gave me permission to study it; subsequently the Library of the University of Pennsylvania, the present owner, purchased the Ms in 1954. Due to the kindness of Mr. Witten, who prepared a complete list of contents, and of the Library of the University of Pennsylvania, I have been able to record the lyrical poems composed by Machaut.

310 lyrical texts, all anonymous, a few heving the signature "Ch." No consistent order by categories of authors can be recognized, although the poems appear in groups of ballades, rondeaux, virelais, with the lais occasionally inserted. At times ballades, rondeaux follow each other in alternation. There are lol lyrical poems of Machaut, one third of the whole manuscript, and 49 of them are the texts used for composition. The poems belong partly to the Louange des Dames (from No. 36 on) in the first section of the $\overline{M s}$, with occasional insertions of musical texts. From f. 38' on there is a large section of the musical fascicles, and only occasionally poems of the Louange are inserted. The succession of the numbers as well as surprising omissions should clarify, we believe, the question from which of the Machaut Mss the selection has been copied. - An interesting feature of the Ms appears with the rubrics which designate the categories. I noticed with the ballade No. 40 the designation "demy lay;" indeed, the form
of this composition has always been regarded as unusual, since on the basis of the structure of text and music the with the ball not a ballade although it appears associated Pen casts light in the Machaut Mss. The designation of
(Amiong the texts I found as No. 62 (f. 23) the ballade No. 63 (f. 23 grec gaule appellee" of Philippe de Vitry and No. 63 (f. 23') the response of Jean de Le Mote: "0 the 14 th Century under Philippe de The Polyphonic Music of Bibliotheque Nationale, Ms.f.lat. 3343 .) and in Paris,
I. Paris, Bibliotheque Nationale, Ms.f.frcs.nouv. acq. 6221. Manuscript on paper, 35 folios, 28.5 by 22.5 cm ; 15 th
Bibliotheque Nationa 523; finally returned to Bibliotheque Nationale, fonds Barrois

155 anonymous
to E. Deschamps; subsequently about half of which were attributed contested.

Among the texts are 8 ballades which Machaut composed (No. 34, 2nd text, 32, 39, 29, first text, 18, 25, 34, firs text, 42, from Remede), and I ballade which Machaut did not
compose.

Literature: Bibliotheque Nationale, Departement des manuscrits, $\frac{\text { Catalogue }}{\text { sitions francaises }} \frac{\text { ges }}{}$ II muscrits francais, Nouvelles acqui-St.-Hilaire, in XVIIff. - G. Raynaud, in $\frac{\text { completes }}{\text { Oeuvres }} \frac{\text { de }}{}$ E. Deschemps, II (1880), X (1901), Vff. - V. Chichmaref pompletes de E. Deschamps, F. Iudwig II, 15*. Chichnaref, poesies I, LXXIII (Ms I). -

Westm. London, Westminster Abbey 21.
Manuscript on paper, now 80 folios, 28 by 20 cm . Damaged, particularly at the beginning. I5th century. French origin

68 anynymous texts; including the texts of 15 ballades of Machaut, 6 of which are from the musical fascicles of the Machaut Mss, 9 from the Louange. The 6 texts of the ballade that were composed are: No. $35,21,7,25,27,4$.
Literature: J. A. Robinson and M.R. Jarnes, The Manuscripts of Sestminstex 1 bbey (1909), 77. - P. Meyer, in Buanuscripts of Hociete des anciens textes francais I (18775) Bulletin de la Hoepffner, oeuvres I, XLV. - F. Iudwig II, 15*f.

Stockh. Stockholm, Kongl. Bibliotheket, Ms Vu 22. Manuscript on paper, 272 folios, 20.5 by 15 cri; 15 th century. Former owner: Feuchet (d.1.601).

114 texts. In addition to "Guillame de Machault: Le jugement de roy Behaigne" (incomplete), f. 160 , there are the texts: "Tresble Guillaume de Marchant: Qui es promesses de fortune se fie etc." (f. $138^{1}$ ). "Motet: Hay fortune trop suis mis loing de port" (f. l38'). "Rondel de Machaut: Doulce dame tant que vivray etc." (f. 141'). The 3 different spellings of the name for the 3 items may be noticed. The texts of $\operatorname{Tr}$ and Mo are thons of Machaut's motet No. 8. These are the only texts of a notet of Machaut which, separate from their music, are known to have been recorded in a pure text Ms. The text of the "Rondel" is that of rondeau No. 20.

Iiterature: G. Stephens, FHrteckning Hfver de furndmsta brittiska och fransyska handskrifterna, utュ Kongl.
bibliotheket i Stockholm (1847), 155 ff. - V. Chichmaref, Poesies I, LXXIV (MS T). - F. Irudwig II, 16*.
Jard. de Plais. Le Jardin de Plaisance et Fleur de Rethoricque

This famous collection of 672 texts, which appeared between 1501 and 1527 in seven further editions, printed a selection of seven lyrical poems of Machaut: ballade No. 39 (f. 65) , 23 (f. $65^{\prime}$ ), 28 (f. 65'), 18 (f. 66), 42 (f. 68 from the Remede) ; rondeau No. 15 (f. 69), 7 (f. 69').
racsimile emition: E. Picot, in Societe des anciens textes Facsimile edition: E. Picot, in Societe des anciens textes francais (1910); and crititcal comentaries in E. Droz and A. Piaget, Le Tardin de Plaisance, ib. vol. II (1925). - Cf. F. Iudwig II, I6*

Chartier. Ies faiz maistre Alain Charetier, ca. 1484. Chartier included the text of the Lay des dames, No. 7, of Machaut in his work. Cf. F. Iudwig II, I7*.
IV. Musical Repertory Manuscripts.

The following sources differ characteristically from the primary Machaut manuscripts. Each of them represents a musical repertory or rather a segment of the repertory of the 14th century, in which some of the compositions of Machaut make their appearance. The frequency of appearance in such repertory manuscripts is recognized as an indication of the renown which individual compositions have acquired. It is appropriate to adopt the degree of renown as one of the principles by which to group the manuscripts; naturally, this principle can be only one among others. The chronological and geographical distribution of the sources arnishes at least equally important principles. Taking all aspects together as guides for a proper order of the sources, the French sources must be grouped: Trém, Iv, CaB, Ch, (Fr), Maggs). In this order, Ch stands on the border, it is a Ms copied in Italy, but mainly with a French epertory. Fr can hardly be regarded as a source for Machaut, apparently is not atrean of a motet to Machaut. Maggs apparently is not really a "reportory" manuscript, since con of the Rotuluss it contains but one theosition of Machaut, the lai No. 8. Maggs differs from Br and porm of a rotulus Bre a least a small group of various compositions represents the character of a fragmentary repertory. ( Br and Pic have no compositions of Machaut.)

Trém. Iibrary of La Duchesse de La Trếmolllle, Château Gerrant. Manuscript on parchment, originally 48 folios, 49 by $32.5 \mathrm{~cm} ;$ preserved only 1 double folio, $f .1$ and 8 apparently completed 1376.

Literature: E. Droz and G. Thibault, "Un chansonnier de Philippe le Bon, ${ }^{\text {in }}$ in Revue de Musicologie VII (1926), Iff. $18 *$ Besseler, in AfMw VIII (192б), 235ff. - F. Iudwig II, I, $1537^{-}$L Schrade, The Polyphonic Music of the 14 th Century,

The Ifs belonged to the Burgundian Iibrary, apparently mentioned in the inventory of the estate of Philippe the Bold 1404: listed in the inventory of the library of Philippe the Good, 1420 ; mentioned in the inventory of the estate of Charles the Bold, I477, which was given to Georges de I Trémoflle, Governor of Burgundy after the death of Charles the Bold ( $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 1477). When the Mss of La Trémoille passed into the possession of Guy de Rochefort, President of the Burgundian Parliament (I482), Ms Prém was retained in the Bibliotheque de La Tremollle.
f. l has the inscription: "Iste liber motetorum pertinet capelle illustrissimi principis Philippi ducis Burgondie et comitis Flandrie." The original index (f. 1) classified the compositions by groups of "Motets ordenez et escriz ci apres," and "balades et rondeaus ci apres escriz par le nombre, " with some additions listed at the end of the groups by a different scribe. To judge on the basis of the folio numbers in the index, the motets were first copied; the ballades, rondeaux, however, were possibly entered afterwards in the vacant spaces left over on the various pages; but this is not clear for all cases.

115 compositions, 1 composition apparently twice (see Machaut ballades); a total of 72 motets (the index quotes only the motetus), 35 ballades and rondeaux (an exact division is not possible in view of compositions not known in other Niss, but the ballades are by far in the majority), 2 chaces, 5 mass movements, I hymn.

The work of Machaut is remarkably well represented: 8 motets, not 9 ; 8 ballades, one of which twice, and only 1 rondeau.
f. 4, No. 7: motet No. 10: "Holas ou sera pris IIII" f. 4, No. 8: motet No. 14: "De ma dolour IIII"
f. 5, No. 9: motet No. 16: "Se j'aim mon loyal V"
f. 8, No. I3: motet No. 8: "Ha Fortune VIII." Music of Tr Qui es promesses, T Et non est qui adjuvet, on . 8: see facsimile in Revue de Musicologie VII (1926)
f. 8, No. 14: motet No. 15: "Faux Samblans VIII." Music of Mo Faux Samblans, $T$ Vidi dominum on $f$. 8 ; see facsimile in Revue de Nusicologie VII (1926)
f. 12, No. 23: motet No. 20: "Biaute parce XII"
f. 24, No. 51: motet No. 19: "Diligenter XXIIII"
f. 34, No. 80: motet No. 9: "0 Iivoris XXXIIII"

1. 32, No. 75: "Inviolant XXXII." Iudwig and Besseler have suggested that this is Machaut's motet No. 23 Inviolata Felix virgo. By a rather involved way of calculating whether or not the motet could have been written in the available space, we come to the conclusion that Machaut's motet No. 23 could not possibly have been included in Trem. The reading "Inviolant" of Trem must be accepted as correct; this Mo text, therefore, belongs to a motet not known in another source. If we take from the list of Trem two works in succession, known in other sources, for example the 4 v motet No. 47 (Flos virginum) and the 3 v ballade No. 48 (De Narcisus), we find them in Irem on f.XXII (i.e.f.21 and 22). The motet Flos virginum occupies in Iv $11+71 / 2$ staves, the ballade De Narcisus in $R 7$ staves ( 8 on the page), a total of about 27 staves. According to $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ and 8, Trem had 15
staves to the page. In all likelihood, some staves on $f$. 21', 22 in Trém might have remained vacant, but not enough to copy another composition. For f. XXXII (i.e.f. 31'-32) of Trem 3 compositions are listed:

Inviolant, Tant doucement, J'ai grant desespoir. The 3 v ballade J'ai grant desespoir is known in R; it is written there on $51 / 2$ staves. The 4 v rondeau Tant doucement (Machaut) takes up 6 staves in E ( $E$ has the notes always very close together). It is not likely that Trém included the 2 V version of this rondeau which appears only in $A$ (the 2 v version in $A$ takes 4 staves; the 4 V version in $A$ $8 \mathrm{l} / 4$ staves). Among all the Machaut Mss E comes closest to the unusually large size of Trém; it has 12 staves to the page. Comparing the Tr Qui es promesses of Machaut motet No. 8 of E with Trem, we find the Tr in $E$ on $6 \mathrm{I} / 3$ staves, in Trem on 9 staves; moceover, the spacing of the notes on the staff in Trem is considerably wider than in E. Unfortunately, motet 23 is not copied in $E$ g but motet 21 , almost equal in size, is adequate for comparisor. In E, motet 21 occupies 18 staves on 2 pages, but is extremely narrow in the writing of the notes (the Introitus in the Tr, for example, is written on only one staff with no less than 95 notes; the average of notes of the Tr in Trem is ca. 30). On tho basis of these calculations, the motet No. 23 would take in Trem about 15 staves for the Tr, a minimum of 9 for the Mo, and at least 5 staves for $T$ and Co. Tant doucement would take in Trem at least 8 or 9 staves, and J'ai grant desespoir 5 or 6. This adds up to a minimum of 42 staves; there were only 30 staves on 2 pages in Trem. This rules out Inviolata for Trem, even if we would accept the unlikely case that Tant doucement in Trem was the 2 v version of the rondeau. hhere is no need to suggest an error of the scribe mistaking "Inviolata" for "Inviolant." Inviolant must be kept in the lists of 14 th-century motets as an independent composition whose music is not known.
f. 29, No. 40: ballade No. 38: "Phiton le merveilleux XIX"
f. 21, No. 45: ballade No. 18: "De petit peu XXI"
f. 21, No. 46: ballade No. 23: "De Fortune XXI"
f. 13, No. 27: ballade No. 31: "De toutes flours XIII"
f. 16, No. 33: ballade No. 41: "En amer XVI"
f. 27, No. 62: ballade No. 42: "Dame de qui XXVII"
f. 29, No. 68: ballade No. 38: "Phiton le merveilleux XXIX."

Apparently the same ballade (No. 40, 68) of Machaut has been copied twice.
f．30，No．70：ballade No．25：＂Honte paour XXX＂ f．41，No．97：ballade No．4：＂Biaute qui toutes XLI＂土． 32 ，No．76：rondeau No．9：＂Tant doucement XXXII＂

With regard to the motet No．63，f．28：De tous les $\frac{b i e n s}{63,}-\operatorname{Li} \frac{e n s e i g n e m e n t,}{4}$ attributed to Machaut in Fr，（Trém $63,=\operatorname{Iv} \frac{1}{4} 1,=$ Fr 2）cf．Fr．

Iv．Ivrea，Biblioteca capitolare，（no call number）． Manuscript on parchment，now 64 folios， 32 by 22.5 cm ．Com－ pilation of the repertory around 1350 ，written probably ca． 1370 at the latest．

Literature：Gino Borghezio，＂Poesie musicale latine e francesi in un codice ignorato della Biblioteca capitolare d＇Ivrea（Torino），＂in Archivum Romanicum V（1921），173－186； ＂Un prezioso codice musicale ignorato della Biblioteca capitolare d＇Ivrea ed il suo repertorio sacro profano，＂in Bollettino Storico－Bibliografico Subalpino XXIV（1922），190－205 －F．Ludwig，AfMw V（1923），281ff．－H．Besseler，AfMw VII （1925），185－194．－F．Iudwig，Machaut II，17f：；6i．－I． Schrade，The Polyphonic Music of the l4th Century，I． Commentary Notes，vol．I，5li．

A total of 81 compositions；Machaut is represented with 3 motets，all written by the main scribe，and 1 rondeau written afterwards by a different scribc as one of the addenda in the space left vacant after the motets have been copied．
f．10＇，No．16：motet No． 19
f．20＇，No．32：motet No． 15
f． $24^{\prime}$ ，No．38：motet No． 8
f．3＇（not 4），No．5：rondeau No． 17 （not 14）
CaB．Cambrai，Bibliothèque Communale，Ms． 1328. A collection of a variety of musical fragments，bound to－ gether； 22 folios，some of which are greatly damaged since they were covers used for binding．

Iiterature：Bibliothèque Nationale，Département des manuscripts，Catalogré génćral des manuscrits français， Departement 17 （1891），486．－E de Coussemaker，＂Notice sur les Collections musicales de la Bibliotheque de Cambrai，＂
achaut appears with 3 compositions：
f．12＇：motet No．8：except for the top line， without damage，clearly and beautifuily written。

土．13＇：rondeau No．7，with an otherwise unknown， but fragmentaxy Tr，of which we were able to decipher 2 additional measures and a reading rhythmically somewhat different from that of Iudwig；greatly damaged．
f．15：ballade No． 18 ； 4 v （but not decipherable in large sections）；the 4 v version is only in Mod，not in Machaut Mss．

Ch．Chantilly，Musée Condé，Ms 1047.
Manuscript on parchment，now 64 folios，modern foliation 60 folios $13-72$ preliminary folios 9－12，old foliation for in Florence（Reaney）by 28.6 cm ．Copied in Italy possibly on the basis of a French at the beginning of the 15 th century， date between 1370 French original which various scholars old index 1370 and 1390 （but only 1390 is possible）． old index，$f .10,11$（for compositions of $f$ ． $13-72$ ）：the two works of Baude Cordier（ 1.11 ＇，l2）were entered later

From the time of its completion，certainly in the l5th century（see the notc of ownership on f．9；1461）and without interruption until 1861，Ch has always been in Italian ownership．In 1861，the Duke of Aumale became the new owner．

Literature：Institut de France Ie Lebinet Musée Condé，Chantilly， （1909） 19 ，Livres，Manuscrits，IT（1900）， 277 ff ，and Chartes 62 （ - Di Delisle，Bibliotheque de l＇Ecole des du XIVe siecle（1869），12．．．de Cousscmaker，Les harmonistes $\frac{d u}{26 f(1 V e} \frac{\text { siecle }}{37,42 f}(1869)$, 12．－F．Ludwig，SIMG $\overline{I V}\left(\frac{\text { Larmoniste }}{1902-03) \text { ，}}\right.$ GM I， 328 ff ．（and II／III VI（1904－05），6llff．－J．Wolf， GM I， 328 ff ．（and II／III edition of No．64，65）－－P．Aubry， $\frac{\text { Les }}{\text { pl．}} \frac{\text { plus }}{22 .}-\frac{\text { anciens }}{J}$ ．Wonuments $\frac{\text { de }}{\text { Hob．Not }}$ la musique francais $(1905)$ ， Musikalische Schriffttafeln ${ }^{\circ}(1923)$ ．Wolf－
G．Macon，＂La Bibliotheque de Chantill．30，31，100．
Bibliophile et du Bibliothécaire，Nouvelle Série Viletin du 86f．－H．Besseler AfMw VTT（1905）Nouvelle Série V（1926）， 233ff．－F．Iudwig，AfMw VII（1925），207，and VIII（1926）， Musik des Mittelalters und der $22 * f f$ ，－H．Besseler，Die W．Apel，The Notation und der Renaissance（1931），144 $\overline{\mathrm{ff}}$ ．
 （1841），193ff．separately $1843,137 f f^{-}-\frac{\text { F．Iudwig，}}{\text { s．}}$ AfMw V（1923），284，especially 285 n．I．－H．Besseler， AfMw VII（1925），197番．－F．Iudwig，Machaut II，20＊．－ L．Schrade，The Polyphonic Music of the 14th Century，I， Notes，I， 52 ．
N. Pirrotta, "Il codice estense lat. 568 e la musica francese in Italia al principio del ${ }^{\prime} 400$," in Atti della R. Accademia di Scienze, Hettere e Arti di Palermo, serie 4, vol: 5, parte 2,1945 , and separately 1946 - $-G_{0}$ de Van, "La pédagogie musicale à la fin du moyen äge," in Musica Disciplina II (1948), 75ff. - The latest and most detailed description with a complete list of the compositions and concordances by G. Reaney, "The Manuscript Chantilly, Nusée Condé 1047," in Musica Disciplina VIII (1954), 59ff.

Among the 113 compositions, 3 ballades are of Machaut:
f. 18': ballade No. $18 ; 3 \mathrm{v}$ : "G. de Machaut."
f. 49: ballade No. 23: 4 v.
f. 54: ballade No. 34 ; 4 V : "Machaut."

Fr. Fribourg: Bibliothèque Cantonale et Universitaire de Fribourg en Suisse
Manuscript on parchment; 1 folio, with the old foliation 86, 37 by $26.7 \mathrm{~cm} ;$ cover of Incunabuíum $Z 260$, now detached.

Iiterature: Wilhelm Joseph Meyer, "Catalogue des incunables de la Bibliothèque Cantonale et Universitaire de Fribourg (Suisse)," in Archives de la Société d'histoire du Canton de Fribourg XI (1917), 91. Although mentioned by W. J. Meyer, with reference to Philippe de Vitry and Machaut, Fr has actually been made known in the literature of the history of music by Gabriel Zwick, "Deux motets inedits de Philippe de Vitry et de Guillaume de Machaut," in "Revue de Musicologie XXVII (1948), 28-57. - I. Schrade, The Polyphonic Music of the 14 th Century, I, Notes, I, 52. - -H. Besselex in F. Iudwig $\frac{\text { Ma }}{\text { Machaut }} I \overline{\mathrm{~V}}$, 82: "Die Zuweisung des Werkes an Machaut im Fragment Frib. erscheint daher unglaubhaft." - H. Besseler, Fragment Frib. erscheint daher unglaubhaft." - H. Besseler,
in Article "Ars nova, " MGG I, 704: "Folio-Fragment in Fribour in Article "Ars nova," MGG I, 704: "Folio-Fragment in Fribourg/
Schweiz, das einst 'fol. 86 ' einer grossen Motettenhs. bildete. (I can see no indication whatever that Fr was a "motet Ms.": it may have contained ballades, rondeaux, virelais, masses as well.) - A. Machabey, Guillaume de Machault (Paris 1955), II, 112. recommends "prudence" with regard to the attribution. "I,'ecriture, ni la construction...ne permettent une décision."
f. 86 which has suffered most from the attachment to the codex; it is damaged, but is still readable; f. $86^{\prime}$ is, however, clear and well preserved; (see facsimile of f. $86^{\prime}$ in the essay of $G_{\text {. }}$ Zwick; the words in the upper margin which I read as "Jesus ma"(ria) were perhaps margin which I read a
written around 1800 ).
f. 86: in the middle of the right margin in red letters "Guillermus de Mascardio" and the same a little higher in small letters and ordinary writing. In the lef column Tr "Li enseignement," in the right column Mo "De touz les biens;" the 2 columns maintained for 8 staves, the 4 additional staves are drawn across the page; on staves 9 and 10 (middle) the Tr is continued and on staff 10 (2nd half) the $T$ "Ecce tu pulchra" is entered. On staves il and l2 (rest of the page), the end of a Tr (or Mo?) is 11 and 12 (rest of the page), the end of a Tr (or Mo?) is written, beginning with the words "speculum ob nubilans. It certainly cannot be the beginning of a composition; "speculum" has no majuscel; all initials on $f .86$ and $86^{\prime}$ belonged to a motet on $f .5^{\prime}$. I have not yet succeeded in identifying the piece.

For the motet of Philippe de Vitry see our discussion in Vol. I.

The motet "De touz biens" is also in Iv, No. 41, f. 26 (Tr in Iv: "Si enseignament"), and (lost) in Trem, No. 63, f. 27'-28. - Trem lists "De tous les biens" twice, once in the group of the motets, the second time in the group of ballades and rondeaux ( $f . X, 9^{\prime}-10 ;$ No. 19). Was the motet entered twice in Trem, just as the ballade No. 38 of Machaut was copied twice? Besseler suggests (AfMw VIII, 236) - with a question mark - the ballade with the same incipit in 0246. out it is not very likely that Trem No. 19 was identical with De tous les biens dont nature est ouvriere in 0, f. 107..
 lost also in Str, hence we do not knowits size: the rondeau Amis dont ton vis (in Iv No. 3 "Amis tout dous vis," on f. 3 but with co on $\frac{\text { ans }}{\text { f. }} 2^{\prime}$ ) known in a number of versions-it is a very short composition for which hardly more than $4-5$ staves very short composition for which hardly more than $4-5$ stave would be needed in Trém; De tous les biens is not known in the 14 th-century repertory if it was a ballade or rondeau, its size could not be particularly large. Unless the motet organizanter was unusually long, there must have been ample space on $1.91-10$ for these compositions. I am not at all ertain that Irem No. 19 was a ballade or rondeau; it could have been the motet De tous les biens (63) - The motet De tous les biens attributed to Machaut in Fr does not occur in any of the Machaut Mss; even the latest Mss, copied during Machaut's lifetime, do not include the work. This is a strong reason to suspect the authenticity of the attribution But the internal musical characteristics of the motet speak decidedly against the authorship of Machaut; above all the handing of the isorhythmic structure and the type of rhythm provide stylistic criteria that cannot possibly be applied to Machaut. There is not a single authentic motet in which
the isorhythmic structure appears as such an obvious scheme (with complete coincidence of phrases) as in the motet De tous les biens; nor is the extremely regular, almost patternlike rhythm of De tous anywhere comparable to the rhythm of Machaut. The attribution of De tous to Machaut cannot be regarded as correct.

Maggs.
Parchment rotulus; 59 by 27 cm .64 lines of text and 19 $1 / 2$ Iines of music. Written in France ca. 1450. Discovered in a binding. The bookdealers Maggs Bros., Iondon, offered the rotulus in their Catalogue 476 , No. $45 \hat{A}$ ( 1926 ) for sale. The offer was repeated in Maggs' Catalogue, No. 512, Iondon 1928. The catalogue contains a photographic reproduction (plate III) of the rotulus, with five lines of music on the photograph, but $19 \mathrm{l} / 2$ lines on the whole rotulus. In a short note published in Revue de Musicologie VIII (1927), 44 E. Droz identified the composition on the rotulus as Machaut's lai No. 8 (Ie lay mortel)。Cf. Ludwig II, 15*. The present whereabouts of the rotulus is not known.

The link of Maggs with the other sources is difficult to establish. In view of the lai as the only composition it contains, Maggs is closer to the Machaut Mss. The form of rotulus places it with Br and Pic; but the content not being that of a repertory puts it in a class of its own; we have, therefore, placed Maggs at the end of the French group.

Ch stands midway between the French and Italian sources of Machaut's work. Though copied in Italy, Ch represents a purely French original. Six Italian manuscripts indicate the effect of Machaut upon the masical repertory in Italy. they are R, Fl, Mod, P, PadA, Faenza (the latter being a keyboard tabulature). This succession is merely in accordance with the number of Machaut compositions: $7,5,4,3$, 1 , (but Faenza has 2). In a geographical order the succession of Mss is different. F. Ludwig was first in distinguishing the northern Italian sources from those of midale Italy (Florence); since these two geographically distinct groups display a marked difference of reaction towards the French music, Iudwig's differentiation has been most valuable for the proper interpretation of the sources; it has been accepted by most historians of the Trecento music. N. Pirrotta has expanded the groups: Florentine, Northem (north of the Appenines), Central, Southern regions. With this differentiation in view the Italian Mss which incorporate the work of Machaut must be grouped, $a$. $R$, incorporate the work of Machaut must be grouped, a. R,
placed before Mod on chronological grounds. A purely chronological approach, with disregard of geographical distribution, would assign the first place to Fl, after Codex Rossi the oldest and finest compilation of Italian Trecento music. But since the French addenda in Flare set apart from the compilation French addenda in Fl are the measure of incompin and since repertory is incorporating French music into an Italian position in a matter of greatest importance for Machaut's position in Italy, it is clear that the geographical order as prevail upon a purely chronological manner of groupin $t$ is interesting to observe that none of the sources included any of Machaut's motets min an indication of the fact that the motet had neter be root in Italy, and. where it appeared somewhat asen excepuion, it made the impression of beine at an until towards the end of the oentur to change. At all events, it began song forms, ballad collections of Italians have among them it is and appeal to virelai apparently wes entirely rondeau or virelai. The virelar apparently was entirely naglected, although it e noted unat also among wrench sources the gi being kept in the Nachaut Miss proper did not enter any the typical repertory Niss. But the neglect of the any of in Italy is still more surprising: for the simelai the French virelai conforms to that of the structure of For that reason we might expect a by Italians toward the virelai virelai is not total Now, the neglect of the of composers other than Mre are Virelais in Italian sources of Machaut appeared in Machaut. Since none of the virelais that none of the Machavt repertory Mss, do we have to assume directly copied frochaut compositions (ballades etc.) were to believe that the any of the Machaut Mss? We are inclined repertory manuscripts the Itians made contact only with wepertory manuscripts, not with the Machaut sources, which were probably unknown.

Machaut's compositions appear twenty-one times, requency of appearance, positions): the list shows and the rondeau three times; thus the ballade stands far in front (also the two transcriptions in Faenza are ballades)
R. (Iudwig R, Besseler PR). Paris, Bibliotheque Nationale Ms. Manuscript on paper, 131 folios, with $f$. $120-124 \mathrm{missing}$ and several folios vacant, 27 by $21 \mathrm{~cm} ; 15$ th century. Copied in Northern Italy. An old index on $1.126^{\prime}, 127$.
$R$ belonged to the Italian Reina, Milan, then to Bottee de Toulmon (since 1834).
Literature: Bibliotheque Nationale, Departement des manuscrits, Catalogue general des mamscrits français, Nouvelles acquisitions francaises III (1900), 38. - F. Iudwig, SIMG IV (1902-03), 43ff.; SIMG VI (1904-05), 608, 616. J. Wolf. GM I, 260ff. - H. Besseler, AfMw VII (1925) 207, 227, 233. - F. Iudwig, Machaut II, 24*f. - H. Besseler, Article "Ars nova," MGG I, 705.

In $R$ three main portions must be distinguished by compilations and scribes: the Italian Trecento portion, the simultaneously collected French repertory of the 14 th century, and the 15 th century supplement. The French section begins with $f .53$; seven ballades of Machaut are included:
f. 54': ballade No. 34 ( 4 v , like the original)
f. 63: ballade No. 41 ( 4 v , like the original)
f. 64': ballade No. 23 ( 4 v like the original: Iudwig notes that the Co in $R$ has a considerably different version; Co in $R$ is, however, largely identical with Co in E; see the notes to ballade No. 23)
f. 65: ballade No. 35 ( 3 v , like the original)
f. $68^{\prime}$ : ballade No. 42 ( 4 v , like the uriginal)
f. 69': ballade No. 22 ( 4 v , like the original in number of parts; but the co is different from the original)
f. 72: ballade No, 31 ( 4 v , like the version in $E$; A B (Vg) G Morg 3 v ; among all the Italian sources, only $R$ has the 4 V version. Is the ballade directly copied from E, or was there another French repertory Ms which contained the 4 v version? Tren contained ballade No. 31, certainly not for 4 parts; see the copying of 2 motets, 2 ballades on $f$. XIII, i.e. $12^{\prime}-13$. Str, No. 168 (now lost) had the 4 v version.)
$R$ omits all the 2 nd and 3 rd strophes of the texts (except for ballade No. 41 and 35 ); but all the French texts in $R$ are cormupt.

PadA. Padova, Biblioteca della Universita, Ms. 1475 and 684; Oxford, Bodleian Library, Ms Canonici Pat. Lat. 229

This group of Pragments (together with PadB, PadC, Dom and PadD, the last recently discovered and discussed by Dragan Plamenac in a paper read at the Congress of the International Society of Nusical Research at Oxford 1955, now published: "Another Paduan Fragment of Irecento Nusic," Journal of the American Musicologicel Society, vol. VIII, 1955, 165-181.) ar sanctae iustinae a parger manuscript, "liber est monasterii Sanctae iustinae de padua, " which combined a repertory of Italian and French sacred and secular zusic.

PadA consists of Ms 1475,6 folios, with old foliation for f. $47,48,50$ and f. $41,43,44$ to be supplied for the 3 romaining folios; and Mis 684, 3 folios, with only I having the old foliation 51, both Mss now in Padua, and the Oxford Ms, 4 folios, with an old foliation for $f .33,34,37,38$. - The Padua frigments measure ca. 28 by 14.5 cm , the oxford fragnent ca. 32 by 24.5 cm . - The Ms was written on parchment in Northern Italy at the begiming of the 15 th century.

Literature: I. Frati, "Frammento di un codice musicale del secolo in Giomale storico della letteratura italiana (7854) I (190i), 138. - W. Frere, Bibliotheca rusico-Iiturgica, I (1901), $138 .-$. Tudwig SIMG IV $(1902-03)$, 54; SIMG VI
 VII (1925), 228 f . AfMw VIII (1926) 233 ff . - F. Iudwig, AfMw

Machaut compositions are in
Ms 1475 , f. 4 (44): Ite missa est; since the right margin is gut off the parts are all incomplete.
Ms Oxford 229, 1. 30, No. 10, rondeau No. 14, on the last 3 staves, written upside down, as is the usual notation of rondeau No. 14; below the last staff, also written upside down, "Tenor de Ma fin" three tines, with large initials "T"; (the number of voices is 3, not 2 as Besseler indicates).

Mod. Modena, Biblioteca Estense, Ms Iat. 568, now M 5. 24. Manuscript on parchuent, 52 folios, nodern foliation 1-52, old foliation (1) $+1-50+(1), 28$ by $19.8 \mathrm{~cm} ; 15$ th century.

Literature: J. Camus, Notices et extraits des mamuscrits francais de Modene anterieurs au XVIe ziecle, " in Revue des Langues Romanes XXXV (1891), 249. - F. Iudwig, SIMG IV (I902-03) G. Bertoni, "PPoesie mus , 616ff. -J. Wolf, GM I, 335ff. G. Bertoni, "Poesie musicali francesi nel cod. estense lat. no 568, " in Archivur Romanicum I (1917), 2lff. - P. Lodi, "Codd. MisceII. dei Sec. XIV-XVI" in Boliettino dell" Associazione dei IMsicologi ivaliani, Catalogo delle opere
 (1925), 423. - H. Besseler, APMviVII (1925), 230f. - F. Ludwig, IMachaut II, $30 \%$. - N. Pierotta, "II codice estense lat. 568 e la fusica francese in Italia al principio del
${ }^{1} 400,1$ in Atti della R. Accademia di Scienze, Lettere e Arti di Palermo, serīe 4 , vol. 5, parte 2, 19 45 - H. Besseler, Article "Ars nova." in MGG I, 706.

Divided in 2 groups of fascicles, 1, 3, 5 and 2, 4, Mod represents at least two basically different repertories. fascicles 1, 3, 5 contain masses, Latin, French, Italian compositions, with an apparently close relationship to Natteo de Perugia at least in the 5th fascicle; fascicles 2, 4, more elaborately written and illuminated, contain ballades, with Latin and Italian compositions mixed in with a close relationship to the repertories of Ch and R .

4 works of Machaut are included, 3 of which (ballades) appear in the 3rd fascicle, one (rondeau) in the 4th; the latter has a new Co, an addendum separately entered in the first fascicle and probably also composed in the l5th century.
f. 26: ballade No. 31: 3 v, without Ir.
f. 27: ballade No. $18: 4 \mathrm{v}$
f. 30: ballade No. 3 V , like the version in the 5: rondeau No. 7; 2 V , like the V .
f. 6': (on the last staves of the page): "Contratenor Se vous nestes por mon guerre donnee / dame mar vi etc.;" stylistically the Co belongs to the 15th century.

Fl. (Iudwig FI; Besseler, Pirrotta FP). Florence,
Biblioteca Nazionale, Ms Panciatichi 26. (Codice Palatino Panciatichiano 26
Manuscript on paper, 5 preliminary (1-5) and 110 (I-cX) folios, 29.5 by $22 \mathrm{~cm} ;$ l5th century, beginning. Florentine origin not certain, but probable.

Literature: I Manoscritti della R. Biblioteca Nazionale Centrale di Firenze: A. Bartoli, I Codice Panciatichian etc., in Indici e Cataloghi VII, $\bar{v} 01.1$, fasc. I (1887), $44 f$. (apparently the $\bar{d}$ escription and list of FI is by S. Morpurgo, not by A. Bartoli). - F. Iudwig, SIMG IV (1902-03), 121 . SIMG VI (1904-05), 614. - J. Wolf, GMI I, 244ff. - J. Wolf, Hab. Not. I, 297ff. - H. Besseler, AfNiw VII (1925), 226. F. Iudwig, Machaut II, 28*f土. - I. Ellinwood, The Works of Francesco Landini (Cambridge, Liass. 1939). - W. Thomas Marrocco, The Nhsic of Jacopo da Bologna, in University of California Public ations in $\frac{\text { Nus sic, vol. } 5 \text { (1954), 6. }}{\text { Fut }}$ $\frac{0 f}{H .} \frac{\text { California }}{\text { Besseler, } A r t i c l e ~ " A r s ~ n o v a, ~ " ~ i n ~ M G G ~} \dot{I}, 705$. - NT.
Pirrotta, Article "Florenz. C. Codex Palatino Panciatichiono 26," in MGG IV, 401ff. The thesis of Iudwig that FI is a copy of an older original hes been rejected by Pirrotta Kurt von Fischer, Studien zur italienischen Musik des
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Trecento uind Fruthen Quattrocento, in Publikationen der $\frac{\text { Schweizerischen Musikfors }}{\text { vol. } 5, \text { Bem } 1956,88 \mathrm{ff}}$

The main portion of the Ms written by the firstsribe is dedicated especially to Landini, but to cther Italian Mren Chronologically clcse to the mome scribe, is the oldest group (13 compositions) of French addenda among which there are the 5 works of Machaut (in fascicles 7-10).
. f. 60: rondeau No. 7, 3 v : nf the text cnly refrain
2. f. 76. $75^{1}$ : ballade No. $25 ; 3 \mathrm{~V}$ : only strophe 1 of the text.
. f. 97: ballade $\mathbb{N o} .41: 37 \%$ only strophe 1 of the
4. f. 99': ballade No. $31 ; 3 \mathrm{v}$ : only strophe 1 of the
4. I. 99: ballade
5. f. 100 : ballade No. $18 ; 3 \cdot v$ : on the text only incipit in the cantus.

Further French addends in FI sre younger and belong to the period after Machaut; other century and even the Dufay period
P. Paris, Bibliotheque Nationale, Ms, f.ital. 568, Manuscript on parchment, 9 preliminnry (old index) and 141 folios, 25.5 by 17.5 cm ; early 15 th century.

Literature: F. Iudwig, SIMG IV (1902-03), 55f.; SIMG VI (1904-05). 615. - J. Wolf, GM I, 250ff. - H. Besseler, AfMw VII (1925), 208, 226. - F. Iudwig, AfMw VII (1925) 421: Machaut II, $27 * f$. - I. Ellinwood, The Works of Francesco Landini (Cambridge, Mass., 1939):-W. Thomas Marrocco, The Music of Jacopn da Bologna, in University of California Publications in Music, vol. 5 (1954), 6. Kurt von Fischer, loc. oit., 921 .
$P$ is mainly a source of Italian works (Tuscany). The 199 compositions of $P$ are chiefly copied by two scribes, with a lesser share for the first scribe in the first (16) were inserted by the inst scribe in thers (7I) fascicles. The third scribe added French 2 compositions at the end of the Mis (fascicle 13), with 2 compositions of Machaut. The second scribe added still later (nccording to the old index) Machaut's bnllade No. 31. - P is important for the Italian cycle of the Ordinarium Missne which appears towards the end of the Ms. - The Machaut compositions are:
f. 120': ballade No. 31; 3 v : only incipit of
f. 122:

- text in Contus.
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f. 124': ballade No. 18; 3 v ; only incipit of text in Cantus.
For Faenza, which will be described in the volume of instrumental music, we prefer to: Dragon Plamenac, "Keyboard Music of the 14th Century in Codex Faenza 117," in JAMS IV (1951), l79ff.: "New Light on Codex Faenza 117," in Konzress-Bericht, Internationale Gesellschaft fur Musikwissenschaft, Utrecht 1952, (1953), 310ff.; Article "Faenza Codex 117," in MGG III, 1709 ff .

Faenza has keyboard transcriptions of Machaut's ballades No. 25, 31:
f. 58 , No. 7 : ballade No. 25 .
f. 58i, No. 8: ballade No. 3i.

Among all the compositions of Machaut represented in Italian manuscripts, it is the ballade No. 31 (De toutes flours) that had the greatest appeal to Italians; at least it was best known, since it appears in all Mss. but PadA. Through the ballade No. 31, R, Mod, Fl, P Faenza are linked together. ext in line is the ballade No. 41 the first in the Remede de Fortune; but No. 18 was equally favored. No. 41 establishes a link between $R$, FI, and P, No. 18 between Mod, FI, and P. The rondeau No. 7 puts Mod and FI together, and the ballade No. 25 Faenza at the side of FI. PadA, with the rondeau No. 14, stands alone and has no relation to any other manuscript; but it must be kept in mind that PadA is a fragment, with the portion of lost music unknown. - Is there any meaning in this association of manuscripts established by the compositions they have in common, Generally speaking, these associations very often cast considerable light upon the relationship of manuscripts to each other. But in view of the fragmentary nature of the sources which hardly ever allows us to draw up a "stemma" of manuscripts, the greatest caution should guide any conclusion. Certainly with regard to Machaut's works, the simultaneous appearance of certain compositions in various sources does not permit us to conclude definite relationships of the manuscripts to each other. We believe that this simultaneous appearance is rather indicative of the fame certain compositions of Machaut enjoyed in Italy, but not evidence of a dependence of one manuscript on the other. This is further supported by the appearance of Machaut compositions in manuscript other than Italian.

Pr. Prague, Public and University Library, Ms XI E 9 . Manuscript on paper, 14 th-15th centuries, large collection of sundry nature, 340 folios, average 21 by 14.5 cm . The musical content appear with f. 243 and the fascicle 13: originally comprising 24 folios, the fascicle has now only 21 folios, 3 being cut off.
f. 243-247: "Tractatus de cantu perfecto et imperfecto. Gaudent musicorum discipuli quod H. de Zeelandia aliqua brevia tractat de musica;" f. 247-251': music; f. 252-256': on 3 inserted double folios the tract "Papalista summorum pontificum;" $f$. 257: "Quit sit notarius; f. 257-261: music continued. The musical fascicle has a total of 41 compositions. - The famous tonarius of Jakob Twinger of KUnigshofen is on f. 229-242.

Pr belonged to St. Thomas in Strasbourg. "Intitulacio dominorum s. Thome ad cantoriam secundum introitum eorum ad prebendas suas a 1415," where also Twinger is listed. The Ms. left Strasbourg already in the l5th century; two owner entries point to Schaffhausen (1462) and to Regensburg. Nothing nore is known about additional owners or about the further journey of the Ms to Prague.

Iiterature: J. Truhlar, Catalogus codicum manu scriptorum Datinoram qui in C.R. Biblioteca Publica atque Universitatis Pragensis $\frac{\text { asserventur }, \frac{11}{}(1906), \frac{1571 .}{10} \text { - W. DoIch, }}{\text { In }}$

Ambros, Geschichte der Misik, II (1864), 342. - R. Eitner, in Monatschette fixr M/u ikgeschichte IX (1877); 171. J. Wolf, "Ein Mamuskript der Prager Universitatsbibliothek," in Kirchenmusikalisches Jahrbuch XIV (1899), Iff. - J. Wolf, GM I, I88f. - F.X. Mathias, Der Strassburger Chronist KUnigshoften als Choralist (1903), $28 f \bar{f} .-\mathbb{M}$. Bogeleis, Quellen und Bausteine zu einer Geschichte der Musik und des (1925) $\frac{18}{18}$ Inss (1925), 218 n.4-F. Iudwig, Machaut II, $36 * f$. - Friedrich Kammerer, Die Misikstucke des Prager Kodex XI E 9, in Verbffentlichungen des Musikwissenschaftlichen Institutes der Deutschen Universit면 in Prag (Augsburg/Brunn 1931).

The musical fascicle contains French, Italian, German, Flemish compositions. Among the French which are all reduced to 2 v , 2 works are of Machaut:
f. 257', No. 25: rondeau No. 7; 2 v ; only incipit in
f. 259', No. 32: ballade No. 37 ; 2 v; only incipit in Centus

Apart from the Italian sources, only two more manuscripts include compositions of Machaut, and these two are of Strasbourg provenance.

Pr has no less than 11 compositions in common with the 2nd Strasbourg Mis.

Str. Strasbourg, Bibliotheque de la Ville, Ms M. 222 C. $22 .$, destroyed by fire in 1870.
Mamuscript on paper $11+143$ folios, with 2 foliations l-11 and 1-143, 29 by 21 cm ; 15 th century.

Literature: A.Jung, in P. Tarbe, Les oeuvres de Philippe de Vitry (1850), XV and I56i. - A. Lippmann, "Essai sur un manuscrit du XV ${ }^{e}$ siecle decourvert dans ia Bibliotheque de la ville de Strasbourg," in Bulletins de la Societe pour $\frac{\text { la }}{\text { II }} \frac{\text { conservation }}{\text { serie, vol. }} \frac{\text { VII }}{\text { monuments }}(1869)$ historiques $\frac{\text { d' AI sace }}{2 E}$ partie $(1870) 73$
(description by E. de Coussemaker). - E. de Coussemaker, Scriptores III, XVI and XXXVII; Les harmonistes du $14^{e}$ siecle (1869), 15. - J. Wolf, GM I, 384. - F. Iudwig, SIMGVI. (1904-05), 618; AfMw V (1923), 284. - H. Besseler AfNw VII (1925), 218. - F. Ludwig, Machaut II, 37 *ff. The most extensive study of Str is by Ch. van den Borren, Ie Manuscrit Musical M. 222 C. 22 de la Bibliotheque de
 d'apres une copie partielle d, $\frac{\text { Edmond }}{\text { de }} \frac{\text { Coussemaker }}{\text { Coussem }}$ d'apres une copie partielle d' Edmond de Coussemaker (Anvers 1924).

Coussemaker made a complete list of contents of the Ms and a copy of 52 compositions, $a$ fourth of the total of 213 compositions. Following an old index, the Ms begins with a sexies of small musical tracts, the first of which is the Liber musicalium of Philippe de Vitry (in the initial fascicle, f. l-10); at the end of the Mis, f. 120143, there is another musical treatise. - For the complete list of compositions and concordances, cf. Ch. van den Borren, loc. cit., 46ff.

3 compositions are attributed to Machaut in Str:
"Wilhelmi de Maschandio," but do not belong to him: f. 36', No. $52 ; 1,48$, No. $72 ;$. $65^{\prime}$, No. 101. 3 compositions, actually of Machaut, have no attribution in the Ms they are:

$$
8
$$

f. 66', No. 102: ballade No, 23; 3 v, with text
contrafactum "Rubus ardens"
f. 73, No. 119: rondeau No. 7, 3 V
f. $95^{1}$, No. 168: ballade No. $31: 4 \mathrm{~V}$

## NOTES AND COMNENTS

## Ci commencent les lays. ( $G, V \mathrm{Vg}, \mathrm{A}$ )

1. Ioyaute, que point ne delay
I. V.

Sources: $A(f, 367)$; $B$ (missing) : $V g$ (f. 219; C (f. 165 staves remained vacant); $G(f .74) ; E(f .107)$. Text only: $\mathbb{N}$, No. 1.
Rhythm: 52 measures in mod. perf. ( $I, B r, S b$ ).
Notes: A $G V g$ (also $C$, for the staves remained vacant only 1or 1a; strophe lb has no staves do not repeat the melody for strophe Ib; only E has the melody twice (Ia, Ib) All variants listed by Iudwig for Ib in $G$ must, therefore, be misprints. - The different endings in Ib, needed because of the different numbers of syllables, for example m 4, 6 etc. are taken from $\mathbb{E}$. - $m 9$ : E has after first note: $e^{\prime} d^{\prime} c^{\prime} b$ ( 2 Iig cop); so also in $m$ 22; but this version occurs in both Ia and
 cop), but in 1 b as in $A$ and $G .-m$ 18: for la and 1 b - E has $d^{\prime}(B r) e^{\prime}-f l a t d^{\prime} d^{\prime} c^{\prime}(2$ lig ccp).-m 30: for la and lb $\mathbb{E}$ has Br L. - m 43: Iudwig's note is not correct; also E has
 pp; the note should be I.

All 12 strophes are to be sung to melody la, $b ;$ our edition has only strophe $2 a, b ;$ see the text in $V$. Chichmaref Poésies II. 279 ff .

Edition: Iudwig IV, 24f. - Facsimile of f. 74 (G) in H. Lavoix La Musique francaise (1891), 59.
2. I'aim 1a flour de valour

1 V.
Sources: A (f. 3'70); B (f. 220); Vg (f. 222); C (f. 1.68'); G (f. 76) ; ᄅ (f. 110, No. 5). Text only: M, No. 2.

Rhythm: 147 measures in mod. perf. ( $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Sb}$ ).
 in $E$ I and pab．－m 26：after first note in $E$ b $a \underline{b}$ g（2 lig cop）and m 27 missing．－m 29：for $2 b$ 2nd note plicata in $A$ ． m 30：for 2 b first note plicata in $G$ B．－m 42：for 2a last 2 notes g f，for 2 b a f in E ．－m 45：for clos of 2 a after first note b a a $g(\overline{2}$ Iig cop）in $E$ ．－m 54：for 3a first note missing in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{m}$ 63：note is Br in $G$（error）．－m 77：for 4a and $b$ after first note pab in $G$（error）．－m 108：last 3 notes $c^{\prime} c^{\prime} b(B r, 2 \mathrm{Sb})$ ；Ludwig transcribes first $c^{\prime}$ with pa； but notation Br 2 Sb is here exactly like in $m$ IlI．－m 132： 2nd note no plica in A．－E omits all plicae．
Edition：Iudwig IV，25f．：Bottée de Toulmon，＂De la chanson musicale en France au Moyen Age，＂in Annuaire historique pour I＇année 1837，publié par la Societe de l＇histoire de France，Appendix No，2：R．G．Kiesewetter，in Allgemeinc Musikalische Zeitung 40，1838，No． 15 and in Schicksello und Beschaffenheit des weltiichen Gesanges（1841），No．8；A．W．Ambros， Geschichte der Musik，II（1864），230；Archives curieuses de la musique，Publications de la Revue et Gazette musicale， 2 e partie，
 Ia fin du XIVe siccle，（1936），369；A．Machabey，in Ia Revue Musicale XII（1931）， 332.

3．Pour ce qu＇on puist
1 V ．
Sources：A（f．371）；B（f．221＇）；Vg（f．223＇）；C（f．170）； Pen，No G（土．77）；E（f． $\left.113^{\prime}, \mathrm{N} \cap .8\right)$ ．Text only：M，No． 3 Pen，No． 120.
Rhythm： 256 measures in mod，perf．，prol．min．（I Br Sb Mi； I Br Sb ）．
Notes：For strophes $1-5$ and 12 the rhythm includes the Mi．－ $m$ 15：no plica in $A$ E C has c＇－sharp with plica asc．， followed（m 16）by b－flat．－m 17－18：tones including first notc of m I8 a 3rd too low in E；m 18：no plica in A E．－ m 23：no plica in $B \operatorname{Vg}$ E．－m 26：for 2b first note plicata in A．－m 33：for $2 a$ and b note $L_{\text {plicate desc in } G \text { ．}-m \text { 34：}}$ last note plicata for 2 b in $\Lambda \mathrm{B} \mathrm{G}$ ．－m 35：2nd note plicata for $2 b$ in $A B G .-m 49$ ：first no plicata（3a）in $A G C$ ，
 $\frac{a}{b}$（lig cop）all Mss．have for 4 b a Br；E has a Br for 4 a and b．－m 58：E has（4a）a lig ternaria（cop sp）with $f$ ge：
$\frac{f}{g} 2 b$ superfluous；in $4 b$ also $E$ is correct，$-m$ 61：for $4 b$ no plica in $A$ ．$-m 62-63$ In $m 62$ last four notes and in $m 63$ note one tone Iower in $A$ for 40 ．$-m 65$ ：2nd note $e^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$ in E；the other variant Ludwig notes for E is not correct；6th tone is d＇in $\mathbb{E}_{0}-m 75$ and 77 ：I without pausae in A（not in $G$ as Ludwig indicates）．－m 156：no plica in any of the Miss．－II 197：for 9a pab missing in B Vg．－m 199：first note plicata in A for 9b．－m 249：－2nd note plicata in A CG．－ In 250：first note plicata in A C G．

Edition：Iudwig IV，26－28；Th．Gérold，La Musique au moyen âge． （1932）， 3261.

4．Nuls ne doit avoir
I． V 。
Sources：A（f， $374^{\prime}$, No．5）；B（f．225，No．5）；Vg（f． 227.
 No．6）．Text only：M，No． 5.

Rhythm： 238 measures in mod，perf．（I Br Sb）．
Notes：m 65：last note plicata for $4 b$ in $G$ ．－m 69：first note $B C E$ plicata only for $4 b$ in $A B(V g) G$ ．$-m$ 124：Br and pab in B C E（but in $E$ pausa longa）．－m 133 ：note is $I$ in $G$（not $B x$ as Indwig indicates）．－m 179：pausa missing in A．－m 180：the 2 notes written as lig cop in B Vg orror．－m 182：after first note pp in $G \cdot-m$ 184：after first note lig cpr instead of cop in $G$ ．－m 195：first note no plica in A．－m 212：first note missing in B．

Edition：Iudwig IV，29－30．

5．Dax trois raisons
1 V 。
Sources：$A\left(f, 377\right.$, No．6）；$B\left(f .227^{\prime}, N o, 6\right) ; V g\left(f .229^{\prime}\right.$ No，15）．Text only：N．No． 6.

Rhythms 200 measures．Strophes 7－7：mod．perf．（I Br Sb Mi）． Strophes 8－10 have tp．perf．signature： 3 vertical
dashes, with or without dots at both sides; strophe 11 has 2 dashes as signature for tp. imp. In both tempora the highest value is the Br, with the I eliminated. The reduction is debatable: we have taken $3 / 8$ for tp. perf., $2 / 8$ for tp.imp. In the first strophes the mod. perf. ( $\dot{L}$ Br) is transcribed as $3 / 4$; according.ty tp. perf. is taken as $3 / 8$.

Notes: Baudet Herenc, Ie Doctrinal de la seconde rhétorique Paris (E. Langlois, Recueil drts de seconde rhetorique, 1902, 167) quotes the first strophe of the lai. m 17: 3rd note plicata for 2 b in $A$. -m 31: all notes after the first a third inigher in $G_{0}$ - m 47: first note no plica (4a, b) in $B V g E_{0}-m 49$ first note plicata for 4 in $A$ G. $-m$ 50: after 4 th note a (Sb with pa) g g ( 2 Mi ) $\frac{f}{a}(\mathrm{Sb})$, $\mathrm{Mi}(\mathrm{Mi})-m$ 58: after first note b-flat (Sb), b-flat $\frac{\bar{a}}{m}$ (2 Mi) in all Mss. not b-flat Sb with pa as Ludwig reads. $m$ 60: 2nd note no plica for $\operatorname{Sb}$ in $A B(V g) G$ E. $m 61$ a after first note ' (Mi) ct (Sb) b (Mi) b (Mi) a (Sb) g (Mi) : Irudwig ties the $2 \mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{b}}$ to a Sb . -m 84: L followed by $\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{Mi}$ in $\mathrm{A} G \mathrm{C}$ (error): B has after first note b (Sb with pa) and $c^{\prime}$ (Mi) $d^{\prime}$ (I with pp) d, (Br) and (Sb) d'e (2 Mí) d'c. (Iig cop) transcription $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br})$ and pausa.-m 89ff.: though Ludwig's branscription of strophe 7 is incorrect, there also seems to be an error in the Mss. Iudwig misinterpreted pausae and as indicated verses; he begins with an upbeat although none as indicated in Miss.; (in the case of an upbeat, rests are always written before the first note). - m 92: after d' (Br) Aollows pab in A B C GE. - m 94: after $g^{\prime}$ (Br) a pasb in A B C (but not for repetition) G; E has $\frac{S_{0}}{S b}$ and pasb (also i repetition). - m 96: at the end after d' (Br) there is a pab in $A B C G$, $S b$ and pasb in $E$; in our transcription we have eliminated the pausa, but have given an indication by an apostrophe above the staff. - m IOO: first pausa a pasb in all Mss.; emendation to pab. - -m : 01 : after disa a pasb in in all Mss. - m 115 : finalis Br with pp, - m $\overline{13} 39$ : after a ${ }^{\prime}$ a pasb in $B G$, but correct ( 2 pasb) in A C E. - m 169: E has Br and pab; all other Mss. Sb and pasb. - m 170-171: 2nd note (170) is Mi in C, but correct (Sb) for llb; first note (177) Sb , 2 nd and 3 rd notes Mi in C , but correct for $17 b$. $E$ has $S b$ and pasb, all other Mss. have $B r$ and pab. - m 189: first note plicata (desc.) in $A$ (but not for l2b) C (only for 12a) G (only for 12a), none in B and $E$
sdition: Indwig IV, 31-33
6. Amours doucement

I V.
Sources: A (f. 379, No. 7); B (f. 230, No. 7) ; Vg (f. 232 No. 7) : C (f. 179, No. 7) ; G (土. 82, No. 7) ; E (f.112', No. 7). Text only: M, No. 7.

Rhythm: 171 measures: mod. perf. ( I Br Sb Mi) , (3/4). Strophes 5-8 in tp. perf., prol. min., with tp. signature ( 3 dashes) for strophe 5 (3/8); strophe 9: tp. imp., prol. min. with tp. signature (2 dashes): strophes 10 , 12 mod. perf. (3/4). Strophes 3, 11 have no indication of tp., but the values used are merely Sb and Mi.

Notes: Baudet Herenc, Le Doctrinal de la seconde rhétorique (E. Langlois, Recueil d' Arts de seconde rhetorique Paris 1902, 167) quotes the first stropho
m. 4: last note $L$ in $B \mathrm{Vg}$ E. - m IO: no rest in $A C$ : $G(?),-$ m 45: last note plicata in $A$ only for $4 b$, in $C G$ for $4 a, b$; none in $B E_{\cdot}-m$ 58: first note no plica in $G 5 a$ - -6 : 2 nd note $f^{\prime}$ in $E$ for $5 a$, but $e^{\prime}$ for $5 b$. -m 63: after d (m 62) pab in $A \bar{B} C$ G; but $\mathbb{I}$ give the correct version without pausa (in 5 a, b); pp follows $a^{\prime}$ which belongs therefore to $m 63$; in $m 65$ the second $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ must be Sb ; all Mss, except $C$ have pd, in B E only for 5b. Iudwig's transcription is to be corrected accordingly. . m 81: no plica for $6 b$ in $B$ Vg $\mathbb{E}$, $-m$ 82: no plica for $6 a$ in $B \mathrm{Vg} \mathrm{E}$, for 6 b in $\mathrm{C} .-\mathrm{m} 91$ : a punctus before last note in $G$, obviously an error. - m 102: in all Mss. bमflat b'a'g (Sb Mi Sb Mi), not b'-flat with pa as Ludwig reads. - m In $\overline{1} 9$ : in all Mss. $a^{\prime}$ (Sb), $\underline{a}^{\top} g^{\prime} \underline{a}^{\prime} \underline{f}^{\prime}(4 \mathrm{Mi})$, not first Sb with pa as Iudwig reads, - In 120: no pā after first note as Iudwig reads. $m$ 143: for 10b A has b' (Mi) a ${ }^{\prime}$ (Sb) g' (Mi), fi-sharp (Br) no pausa. - m 158: 2nす note for l2b has plica desc. in A. m 166: after last note pd in A. - m 167: last note Br altera and no pausa for l2a b in A G C E; B has - in l2b - a pd after last note (Br): this version has been accepted for the transcription, despite deviation from strophe 1.

Edition: Ludwig IV, 33f.
7. Amis t'amour
"Le lay des dames."

1. V ,

Sources：A（土．384，No．10）；B（土．235，No．IO）；Vg（f．237， E（f．115＇，No．9），Text only：N．N）；G（f．85，No．10）；


Rhythm： 229 measures in tp．perf．，prol．min．（3／8）．Iudwig＇s notation．

Notes：m I：$B \mathrm{Vg}$ has cauda desc．at the left side of Br and 7．a pasb afterward，but neither cauda nor pasb for $1 b$ ． m 7：last note $\frac{g}{m}$（Mi）erroneously twice for la，correctly once lb）in $G$（correct in $A$ last notes a 3rd lower for la（not for Ib）in $G$（correct in $A B C E$ ．$-m$ 24：after first note $E$ has $d^{\prime}$（Mi）$c^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$ b－flat（Mi）$-m 25$ ；instead of 2 Mi Sb at the beginning a Br for 2 b in $\mathrm{B} V g \mathrm{C} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{g}}$（no repetition of melody for 2 b in $\bar{E})$ ；A has the same for 2 a and b ．-m 42 ：for 3 b Br imp．and pasb in A B C GE（for 3 a b ）．－m 54：b Sb and 2 pasb in $E$ ；the scribe of $E$ confuses the reptitions．－$m$ 64：no pausa in $G$ ．－$m$ 75：last note Sb for 5a，Mi for $5 b$ in $G$ ． m 96： $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$ for $6 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$（Sb）for 6i in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{m}$ 145：first not $e^{\prime}$ for 8 a in $A \mathrm{~B} C \mathrm{G} \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ d for 8 b in all Miss．but E ．-m 192： Iast note $e^{\prime}$ in $G_{0}-m^{\prime} 1 \overline{93}$ ：$e^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br})$ in $G_{0}-m$ 213：first Br plicata（asc．）for 12a，without plica for 12 b in $\mathrm{A} .-\mathrm{m} 218$ last note $S b$ for $12 b$ in $G$ ．

Edition：Iudwig IV，35－37．

8．Un mortel lay weil commencier
＂Le lay mortel．＂
1 V ．
 No．10）．No．12）：C（f．184，No．9）；G（f．87＇，No．12）：E（i．1 Ars．，$f$ ．Maggs rotulus（fragmentary）．Text only：M，No． 10 ：

Rhythrn： 250 measures in mod．perf．（ I Br Sb ）；also 3 Sb are Mss．as a

IIJtes：Ludwig transcribes pausae or divisions of verses rather arbitrarily；see the notes to follow on such cases．The
pausac are pausae modi and take up a full measure；but Ludwig erroneously constructs a modus major imperfectus by combining 2 Longae perf．，hence his transcription has 6／4 instead of $3 / 4$ ．There is no justification of a modus major in the notation．
m 5：after $f$ I there is a line of division，in the form of palp in $A$ Cor la $b ; B$ has $f B r$ and palp for la，I and palp for $I b ; G$ has $I I$ and palpfor $I a$, but $f B r$ and palp for lb；E for la，$b^{-1} I$ and pali．The palp is often（at the ＂Ouvert＂or＂clos＂）üsed as finis punctorum and not as pausa． The division line is marked in our transcription by an apostrophe above the staff．$-\mathrm{m} \mathrm{12:} \mathrm{for} \mathrm{Ib} \mathrm{c:} \mathrm{Br} \mathrm{(not} \mathrm{Sb:}$ see Iudwig）Instead of $c^{\prime} b$（ 1 i g cop）in $A B^{\prime} V g$ G but $C$ and E have the same for $1 \bar{a} \mathrm{~b} .-\mathrm{m} 18-19$ ：though in the form of a palp，the line is no pausa，but finis punctorum（so indicated in F through 4 spatia）．－m 20：first note also for 2 b Br （not $I_{1}$ as Iudwig remarks）in $G$ ．－m 25：for $2 a$ （not for 2b）only $G$ has first note plicata．－m 27：C and E have Li and pab for $2 a, b$ ，$m$ 37：e Lp for $3 a$, Br with pali for $3 b$ in $A B G_{i} C$ has for $3 a b \operatorname{Li}$ and pab，sor $3 a$ Li and pali，for $3 b$ Li and pab．$-m 41$ ：the first 4 notes （2 lig cop）are for 3 a $\underline{f}^{\prime} e^{\prime} f^{\prime}$ e，for $3 b g^{\prime} f^{\prime} f^{\prime} e^{\prime}$ in $G_{0}$－
 plicata only in $G$ ．．．．m ll9：first note plicata only in B． $\nabla g$ and $G$ ，but for $7 b G$ has erroenously Br plicata．－m 154： the palp（in all Mss，except E）is not a pausa，but division line；if taken as pause the version of $\mathbb{E}$ is correct：$f \mathrm{Br}$ and pali．－$m$ 236：no pausa nfter $\mathrm{f}_{i}$ palp in all Mss．；onIy E has actual pausa for $7.2 a$ Ii and pab（for $12 b$ ，however，pali－ error）－$m$ 24．6：2nd note plicata only for l2b（for 12a also not in $G$ ；see Indwie），in $A B G ; G$ ． $\mathbb{B}$ have none．

Edition：Iudwig IV，38－40．－Th．Gérold，La musique au moyen ảge， origines（paris 1932），327，and Aistoire de ma musiaue des Nouvelle histo $\frac{1 n}{\text { de }} \frac{1}{\text { Ta masioue }}$（1934），I， 118 （A17 only strophe 5a）－Facsimile of Magg rotulus（begimning）in Catalogue 476 of Mages Bros．，Iuondon，1925，plate 3.

9． Ne say comment commencier
＂Le Iay de I＇ymage。＂
$I \mathrm{~V}$ 。

Sources：A（f．391＇，No．14）：B（f．243，No．I4）；Vg（f．245， No．14）；C（f．189，No．11）：G（f．90，No．14）： E（f． $118^{\prime}$, No．11）．Text only：M，No． 15 ，Pen，No． 167.
Rhythm： 226 measures in tp．perf．，prol．min．（Br Sb Mi）． Ludwig＇s transcription is based on mod．imp．，with many inconsistencies．

Notes：m 10：first note in Ludwig＇s transcription quarter note $(=B r)$ ；it should be eighth note $(=S b)$ ．$-m 13$ g is followed by pab in $A G E$ ；$B$ has none；$C$ has $B r$ with pasb and pp；only C allows interpretation as pausa，－m 32：for 2a G omits pa after first note and has last note Sb ；but for $2 b$ the version is the same as in other Mss．；C however omits pa for 2 b ．－m 33：G has erroneously a pasb，instead of pam for 2b．－m 49：first note e for 3 a ， d for 3 b in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{o}}$－ m 212： all Miss．have a pab（not pasb as Ludwig remarks for $\bar{V} g \mathrm{~A} G$ ）， without meaning of pausa．

Edition：Judwig IV，40－42．

## 10．Contre ce doulz mois de may

＂Le Iay de Nostre Dame，＂
1 V ．
Sources：A（土．393＇，No．15）；B（f．245＇，No．15）；Vg（土．247 ${ }^{\prime}$ ， No．15）；G（土．91＇，No．15）；E（f．119＇，No．12）．Text only： M，No． 18.

Rhythm： 293 measures in mod．perf．（ L Br Sb ）．Here again Ludwig＇s transcription is based on a modus major imp．，without being justified by the notation．There is only the modus minor perf．
Notes：$m$ 14 and 17：the plica Ludwig observed in $G$ at the Iirst note in m 14 and at $f$ in $m 17$ cannot be recognized； no plicae either in $G$ or other Mss－m 78．2nd note in $B \mathrm{Vg}$ ，correctly Bra in all other Miss．－$m 37$ ：only in $G$ first note plicata；probably an error；no plica for $2 b$ in $G$ ． $m$ 62：the last 3 notes are written in all Mss．Sb Br Sb（ $e^{\prime} d^{\prime} c^{\prime}$ ） Iudwig＇s transcription of such a phrase is related to 2 lig cop （ $\underline{e}^{\prime} \underline{d}^{\prime} \underline{d}^{\prime} \underline{c}^{\prime}$ ）whereby Iudwig ties the unison tones rhythmically
together：if the chythin of 8 th ， 4 th ， 8 th is required，it always is written as $S b$ Br Sb．－63：also in A（for $3 a$ and b）we notice the note to be Li（see Ludwig）．－m 70：a plica cannot be recognized either for 4a or $b$ in $G$（see Ludwig）－$m$ 72： see note to m 62．－m 73：pab missing in A for 4a，but not for $4 b,-m 75:$ in $G$ no plica can be recognized at the 2nd note．－m 81：2nd tone is followed oy pali for $4 a, b$ in $A B$ Vg G E．－m 97：2nd note plicata only in G．－m 104－106 （first note）：in $G$ a third lower：Iudwig remarks that all measures up to end of strophe 5 （m II2）are a third too low in $G$ ；this is not correct；with the turn to the new staff （ $b$ in $m$ 106）the pitch $1 s$ correct in $G .-m$ 113－114（first nōte）：a third higher（b I c！Br）in G．－m 716：no plica （2nd note）can be recognized in $G$（see Iudwig）．－m I32：last four notes vary for 7 b ；G has $e^{\perp}$ flat，$d^{\prime} e^{4} d^{\prime} ; A$ B E have
 7b b a．－m 143：first note I for 7a（error），Br for 7b．－ in 167：no plica at 2nd note can be recognized in $G$ ．$-m$ 173： see note to m 62，72．－m 180：see note to m 62，72，173．－ $m$ ．181：Br and pab for $9 a, \mathrm{Br}$ and pali for $9 b$ in $B$ ．－in 192： sec note to $m$ 62，72，173，I80．－m 198：2nd note plicata （desc．）in B（not noted by Indwis for $V g$ ）－$-m$ 201：first note plicata（desc，）in $\mathrm{B} V \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathrm{m}$ 207：see note to $\mathrm{m} 62,72$ ， 173 ， 180，192．－m 227：see note to m 62，72，173，180，192，207，－ m 246：last 2 notos erroncously written as lig cop（it shoula be lig cpr sp）in B（not noted by Ludwig for Vg）o－$m$ 247： $c^{\prime}$ I followed by palp in A G by pali in B；no pausa；only in $\mathbb{E}$ is interprotstion of pausa：e＇Li and pab：

Edition：Incwig IV， $42-45$.

## 11．Je ne cesse de prien

＂Le Iay de la Ionteinne．＇
I V， 3 V 。
Sources：A（f．396，No．16）；B（ $1.248^{\prime}$, No．16）；Vg（ $f .250^{\prime}$ ， No．16）${ }^{G}$（I．93．No．16）：E（I。122，NO．14，with the errolgous titio＂ue Lay de Nostre Dame＂）．Text only：M， No． 16.

Rhythm： 444 measures in tp，perfo，prol．min．（Br Sb Mi）． Iudwig＇s transcription is based on mod．imp．，not recognizable in the notation．

Notes: All odd strophes are for I $V$, all even strophes for 3 v . The polyphonic strophes are named "Chace," obviously because of the canonic structure; at the end of the part the indication "Iterum sine pausa". For strophe $2 G$ fails to name the voice "Chace," but indicates at the end "Iterum et sine pausa."
m 5, 11, 20, 23, 28 present problems of pausae; Ludwig transcribed them all as exact pausae; they are, however, largely division lines; the notation of the "pausae" is not uniform: A has for all, even for m 23, pab; $G$ has for 5, 20, 28 pab, for 11 pasb, for 23 pam, rather than pasb; B has for all but 23 pab; E has also pab for $5,20,28$, but pasb for 23 , and no pausa for 1l. In our transcription we have taken ali the division lines as pasb. - m 13: first note erroneously Mi in $G$. m 66-67: after last note in 66 pd, no pa after first note in $m$ 67, but $e^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb}) \mathrm{e}^{\prime} \underline{d}^{\prime} \underline{c}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Mi} \mathrm{Sb} M i)$ and pd in all Mss.; apparently Ludwig Fied the $2 e^{\prime}$ together. - m 97: first 4 notes for 3 b 4 Sb instead of $\frac{\mathrm{S}}{4} \mathrm{Mi}$ in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{o}}$ - m 136: first note (in part 1) Mi, instead of Sb in $G$. $-m$ 144: last note b in G, but not in A (see Irdwig). - $m$ 163, 167: A has for 5a pab, for 5 b pasb. - m 190: last note Sb in all Miss.; Ludwig transcribes last note Mi. - m 230: note plicata in A and $G$; no plica in $B$ and E. - II 23I: in $G$ last note b, not a as Iudwig remarks; but in E last note is a.- m 2 $\overline{3} 5$ : A has, after the last note, pasb; error. - In 238: after g follows pab in A. B G, in E even pali; pausa is actually a division line; we transcribed m 238 Bri and pasb. - m 263: no plica in A. $m$ 266: last note $a^{\prime}$ in $A$ B G E, not $g^{\prime}$ as in Iudwig's trans cription. - m 269: although the sharp sign is properly placed, the 2nd note of lig is e, instead of f-sharp in G. - m 277: (part 1) first note in $\bar{A}$ is $S b$, not $M \bar{i}$ as Iudwig remarks. m 296: 2nd note $\underline{b}$ in ., a in the other Mss. - m 332-334 (incl.): in $G$ a third lower; Ludwig notes incorrectly that pitch in $G$ is a third lower from $m$ 335-341; actually the pitch is correct from m 335 on. -m 334: first note Sb , 2nd note Mi in B. - m 343: in A no pam, but é (Sb with pa) $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ (Nij) $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \operatorname{sharp}(\mathrm{Sb})$. - m 377: e: is followed by pab (for lla, b) in A $B-G$; no pausa, E, however, has for lla é $e^{\prime} \mathrm{Sb}$ and pab , for Ilb Br and pab.

Edition: Ludwig IV, 45-53
12. S'onques douleureusement
"Le lay de confort."

Sources: A (f. 399, No. 17): B (f. 251', No. 17); Vg (f. 253', only: M, No. $17 \%^{\prime} \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{f}$. 141 ,', No. 2 (incomplete); K, f. 128 , No. 2 (incomplete); Pen, No. 182.
Rhythm: 616 measures; strophes $1-4$ tp. imp., prol. ma. (no tp sign) : strophes 5-8 tp. perf., prol. min.(tp.sign: 3 vertical dashes with dots at the left and right sides of the dashes): strophe 9: tp. imp., prol. ma. (with tp. sign: 2 dashe with dots); strophe 10: mod, imp., tp. imp., prol. ma.; strophes 11, 12: tp. imp., prol. ma.

Notes: Although also No. 12 is built as Canon, the Niss. do not have the indication "Chace" as for No. Il. Canon, however, indicated by the remark in $B \mathbb{V} G$ : "Statim et sine pausa dicitur secundus versus scilicet: Qu'en terre n'a element Et sic de omnibus aliis;" in $A:$ "Statim etc.;" no note in $E$. Strophe 10 is isorhythmic, with 3 taleae (the third incomplete).
m2: nota plicata in G. - m 10; (part l) note is b-flat in G with plica. - m ll: after last note b-flat Mi in G Terror) m 33: no plica in A. - m 50: plica which Ludwig indicates for not certain since the note is very close to the text. - m 5l: (part 1) in $A 2 c^{\prime} S b .-m 67$ : (part 1) plica desc. in $A G$, asc. in $B$, none in $E .-m$ 88: (part 1) $c(B r)$ in $A .-m 90$ (part I) no pam in $G \circ-m 92$ : (part I) plica desc. in A $G$, none in $B E,-m$ 110: (part 1) no plica in $A$ - m 120: (part 1) plica desc, in A G, none in B E. - m 127: (part I) 2nd note Mi in A. - m I29: 2nd note a (Mi) in all Mss., not g as in Ludwig. - m 147: plica desc. in A G, none in B E, -m 158: (part 1) after $f$ pab in $A B G$, but actual pausa only in E: f ( Sb ) and pasb- - m 167-168, 171-172, 175-176: (part 1) the pausae are written as pali; they might be indicative of mod. imp. - m 177: (part 1) 2nd note also in G clearly b, not a as Indwig indicates. - m 198: (part 1) plica desc. in $A$ G, none in B E. - m 229: (part 1) pasb not missing in A as Ludwig remarks. - m 278: (part 1) pasb not missing in A as Iudwig remarks. - m 380: pausa is erroneously pab in A. -m 449: pausa missing in $A$; in $B$ it is almost pali. - m 465-472: (part I) Iudwig remarks that in $G$ these 8 measures are a third too low; the remark is not correct; he overlooked that in $G$ the clef changes with $m$ 457. - m 500: (part l) plica desc. in $B \vee g$, none in $A G E$. $m$ 537: $f^{\prime}$ I with punctus in A, I in $G$, Br in B, Br with punctus in E. - m 550: (part 1) a has pp, hence a not $b$ should be $S b$ major. m 569-572: (part 1) the transcription of Judwig is incorrect; $m$ 569: $g(\mathrm{Br}) ; 570-571:$ e $(\mathrm{Sb}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{Br}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{Sb}) \underline{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{Br})$ : so in all Mss.; only $G$ has an error with $g$ Br (569) missing. $m 586$ : plica desc. in $A$ g, none in $\frac{0}{B} E$.

Edition: Iudwig IV, 54-66.
13. Ionguernent me sui
"Le lay de bonne esperance."
1 v .
Sources: A (f. 401', No. 18); B (f. 254', No. 18); Vg (f. 2561,
 a esperance"). Text only: M, No. 19.
Rhythm: 413 measures; tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: The pausae in various strophes are not satisfactory; if all were to be taken to be division lines, the long melodies would have no organization. Iudwig transcribed the pausae arbitrarily; he omitted many of them, and inserted others without noticeable reason. In view of the difficulties in finding the proper solution, we shall indicate the various forms and places of the pausae. Even if the exact value of the pausae will not be observed, the pausae should always be regarded as a structural factor.
m I: no plica in $G \mathbb{E}$ ( $E$ has no plicae either here or in other lais). -m 7: G has pam (error). -m 14: pab in all Mss. - In 47: after last note of $m 46$ a pasb in $A G B$, but pam in $E ; E$ might represent the better solution; see also m 50. - m 50: A B Vg have pasb, GE pam. - m 57: contrary to Iudwig's statement there is a plica asc. also in A. m 63: pab in A B G E. -m 66: no plica in $\mathrm{B}_{\text {. }}$ - m 67: after
 2nd $\frac{b}{m}$ pam in $A$ G, none in $B E .-m$. $73: A B E$ have pasb, $G$ pam.m 76: A B G E have pam after 2nd note. - m 84: pab in all Miss. m 86: plica desc. in $A G$, none in $B E$. - m 107: pab in all Mss. ${ }^{\text {all }}$ other pausae in strophe 4 are pasb. - m 136: pab in all Mss. - In 137-138: both notes have plicae in $A$ G, none in $B$. $m$ 140: first note $C^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$ in $A$. - m 141: pausa missing in $A$, pam in $G$, pasb in $\bar{B} E$. - $m$ 144: pab in ali Mss. - $m$ 149, 156, 163: pasb in ali Mss. - m 152, 159: pab in all Mss. - m 170: ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{G}$ has for 6 b plica desc. - Im 178: Iudwig's statement is not correct; the last note is also for $6 \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{~g}^{\prime}$ in A. - m 182: pasb in all Mss. $m$ 196: Br has a punctus in $B$ and $G$ the reason of which cannot be recognized. -m 201, 207, 213, 219, 240, 247, 252, 257, 262: always pab in all Mss, -m 203: plica desc, in G. -m 230-233: $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ is I and pali in all Mss, -m 245 : the 2 last notes are Mi Sb In A. - m 253: first note $c^{i}(\mathrm{Sb})$ missing in $A$. - m 296: I noted
also for A a plica asc. -m 298: plica desc. in G. -m 313 pab in all Mss, - m 358: Iudwig's remark that the last note is Sb in A is not correct; last note is Mi. - m 388: in A pab in all Mss. B and G asc.; but see strophe I. - m 401. are so in the Mss.; they have therefore not been transcription

Edition: Ludwice
Ludwig IV, 67-69; H. Besseler, Die Musik des Mittelalters und der Renaissance, $137 \frac{\text { (strophes }}{\text { I and 2). }}$
14. Malgre Fortune
"Le lay de plour."
1 v 。

Rhythm: 282 measures. Mod. perf. (I Br Sb).
Notes: $m$ 30: a missing in $A .-m 99:$ note is $f$ in $A^{\prime} G$, not d 9a, but not for 9 b in $\mathrm{A} G$. - m 254: -m 190: pali missing for In 271: after first note pan in $A$ G: last 3 notes written as 3 Sb . Edition: Iudwig IV, 69-71.
15. Pour vivre joliement
"Le lay de la rose."
1 v.
Sources: $\Lambda\left(\right.$ f. $408^{\prime}$, No. 21) ; $G$ (f. $100^{\prime}$, No. 21)
 352 measures; tp. imp., prol. min. ( Br Sb Mi ). The carefully in both Mss.; if transcribed; they are written appear many a time for the franscribed as exact pausae, they division lines. To accept full measure, hence function as on the ground of the notation minor imp. is not justified to a quarter note, hence tp. imp. equals the meription reduces Br Ludwig accepts mod. minos imp. ©quals the moter $2 / 8$. But
which leads to frequent changes of meter: $2 / 4,3 / 4,2 / 8,2 / 4$ $2 / 8,2 / 4$ etc. In our transcription the Br is represented by a hálfnote and $2 / 4$ expresses tp. imp.

Notes: m 12: pab omitted in $G$. -m 31: the finalis is $\frac{I}{1}$ in A, g (Br) a Br plicata desc. in G. - In 38-39: $c^{\top}$ is Br with pa in $G$ (pa exror). - m 99: pab in A (error), pasb in $G$. m 137: after first note pa missing in $G_{\text {. }}$ - m 159: pab omitted for 6 b in $\Lambda$. - m 161-162: last note (161) $c^{\prime}$ omitted, and for mb in 162 twice, for $6 a, b$ in $G$; Iudwig's note is not correct. $m 162$ twice, for $6 a, b$ in $G ;$ Ludwig's note is not correct. $\left.{ }^{-} \mathrm{Sb}\right) ~$
 Iudwi ${ }^{\prime}$ s transcription) in $A \mathrm{G}$ 。 $-\bar{m}$ 177: last note b for 7 a , $c^{\prime}$ for 7 b in A. - m 190: pasb in $G$ for 7 a b (errory ; pasb is clear; not pam (sce Ludwig). - m I92: after first note. for 7 b pasb in $G$; but the pasb is placed at the boginning of the staff. - m 244-252: Iudwig's remark that in $G$ the pitch is a third too high from the last note of 244 through 252 is not correct: he overlooked that the clef changas with the new staff. m 260: pab omitted in A. - m 295: Br plicata in G, not in A. m 314-317: A has exrors in 11 b ; m 314 and first 2 notes in 315 a third too high: last note of $315 \mathrm{~g}^{1} ; 316-317$ : a tone higher. - m 333: pab in A G (Iudwig omits pausa). -m 346: pab in A G (Iudwig omits pausa).
Edition: Ludwig IV, 72-74.
16. Qui bien aimme
"Le lay de plour."
1 V .
Sources: $\Lambda\left(f .410^{\prime}, N o .22\right)$; $B\left(f .104^{\prime}\right.$; outside the fascicle of lais); Vg (f. $87^{\prime \prime}$; outside the fascicle of lais); E (f. 57; outside the fascicle of lais); C (f. 187, No.10). Text only: M, No. 11 and f .48 ; $J, f .42 ; \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{f} .45$.

Rhythm: 226 measures in tp. perf., prol. min. (Br Sb Mi).
Notes: Strophe 1 quoted by Baudet Herenc, Le Doctrinal de 1a seconde rhétorique, in E. Langlois, Recueil d'Arts $\frac{\text { de }}{\text { de }}$ seconde rhétorique (Paris 1902), 168.
m l: pp missing for la, but not for lb in A. - m 138 after the first 2 notes $\frac{b}{a} \frac{b}{\mathbb{E}}(4 \mathrm{Mi})$ for lb in all Mss. In 83: after first note pass in B Vg; error; other Mss. do not have pasb. - $m$ 102: 2nd note $e^{1}(\mathrm{Mi})$ for 6 a, but d' for 6b in B. -m 195-196: none of the Mss, has pp after first note, but all Mss. have pd after last note in II 196; Iudwig's transcription is erroneous; the passage must be transcribed fé (Bri Mi), d'csharp (Mi Bri). - m 199: pasb missing For lla, but nō for llib in $A$.

Edition: Iudwig IV, 74-76.

## 17. Pour ce que plus proprement

"Un lay de consolation."
I V.
Sources: E (f. 125', No. 16).
Rhythm: 384 measures; strophes $1-6,10-12$ tp. imp., prol.Ina.; strophes 7-9 tp. perf., prol. ma.

Notes: There are many errors in Iudwig's transcription, they will be listed in the notes for the individual measures. m 10: the 2 last notes are ' $^{\prime} f^{\prime} ;$ Ludwig erroneously reads $d^{\prime} e^{\prime}$ for the "clos;" last note $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ is written Mia the 2nd time. In 27 : last note $S b$, instead of Mí (error). - m 29: b is I. $m$ 34-35: f1sharp ( Br ) , $£(\mathrm{Sb})$ and pasb; Ludwig ties the 2 f together; the same in $-38-39$ : $53-54 ; 57-58$. - m 40 : Br is not imperfected, - m 4l: $\underline{g}^{\prime} \underline{I}^{\prime} e^{\prime} e^{\prime}$ (Mi Sb Mi Sb). - m 42: $\underline{d}^{\prime} \frac{c}{7}^{\prime}$ (Mi Sb ), pam and $2 \mathrm{Mi}=\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{b}} 9$ : first note has no pp. - m 4 : here (and m $81,87,89$ ) 2 pam. - m $96 f^{\circ}$ : our transcription deviates entirely irow that of Ludwig; it is true that in m $96 \mathrm{If}^{\prime}$ (Ni) is placed close to the Br, but not so in the corresponding passages: m 101, 117,124 ; the $M i$ is, therefore, not deducted from the Br in our transcription; m 98: 2nd é is Mia, d'c'b' ( 3 Mi ); m 99: first note $c^{\prime}$ is Mi, not Mia; m 100: $e^{\prime} g$ (Mi Sb) ; there is no pd after g; m IOl-105: the groups are clearly writton in the rhythm of Mi Sb ; also the group of 3 Mi is not against the "Taktordnung," (Iudwig) but in kecping with the prol. - $m$ l06: pd after the 2nd note, not after the first (see Ludwig's transcription), hence last note is Mia. $m$ 107: though first note has no pp, it is cloarly separated from the following 3 Mi . - II 108: last 2 notes c'b clearly Mi Sb (Iudwig has b Mi). - Il IO9: a g Mi Sb (no pp) ; Iudwig has a Sb , and g Sb major. - m 118: - $\mathrm{a}^{\frac{9}{1}} \mathrm{Mi}$ belongs to e ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Sb}$, not $\mathrm{t}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{\prime} \operatorname{Br}\left(\frac{8}{n} 117\right)$ - -n l22: after 2 nd note follows pd,
and 2 Mi; last Mi, therefore, Mia (Ludwig has Sb Mi Sb Mi). $m$ l24ff: $f^{\prime}(\mathrm{Mi}) 125$ belongs to $e^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$ not to $e^{\prime}$ (Br) 124 ; the rhythm Mi Sb is carried through m 128. - m 1 $\overline{29}$ : d'c' are both Sb (majores), not Sb Mi (Iudwig). - m 130: no pp after first note; hence we read d' ${ }^{\prime} f \pm \operatorname{sharp} f^{\prime}(S b M i ~ S b M i)$. m 132: $\underline{f}^{\prime}$ is $M i$ (not $S b$; Iūdwig) and bēlongs to $g^{\prime}$ Sb which has no pp. - m 139: Mi d' must not be deducted from e' $\mathrm{Br}^{\prime}$. m 142: after first note pd, hence last note is Mia. $\quad \mathrm{m}$ 145: 4 th note has no pp. - m 155: a pp missing after pam; pp is placed after pam in m 165. - m 197: 2 pasb (error); apparently confused with m 210. -m 209: g'g' Bri and Sb (see Irdwig). $m$ 210: 2 pam; they should be 2 pasb. - m 211: there is no pp after a' Sb. - m 2l2: the 2 last notes e' a' (SbMi) are written twice; Ludwig takes this to be an error and omits e'd' the 2 nd time (m 213): but the repetition of $e^{\prime} d^{\prime}$ is correct.
Edition: Iudwig IV, 77-79.
18. En demantant

I v .
Sources: E(土. 128, No. 19).
Rhythm: 221 measures; strophes 1 and 12 in mod. perf. (I Br); strophes 2-10 tp. imp., prol. min. strophe 11: mod. perf., with tp. perf. taking the place of prol. ma., but $m 207$ is irregular (see note). There is no indication of the change from modus to tempus notation.

Notes: $m$ 15: first note Mi but corrected by crossing the for 3 cauda. - m 25: pa missing for la, but not for lb. - m 47: Mi with pa, but corrected by instead $-m$ 49: in 3 a first note pa is actually in $3 a$ and $b$ y crossing the cauda; in $3 b$ correct; pa is actually in 3 a and b (Iudwig overlooked it for 3b). $m$ 91: last note $e^{\prime} \mathrm{Sb}$; it must be Mi; the error occurs in 5 a and b . - m 92: Ludwig reads the 4 th note ( $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ ) as Sb ; it is, however, $M i$ in $5 a$ and $b$. - -139 : after the $2 n d$ note an erroneous punctus in 8a, but not in 8b. - m 200ff.: strophe 11 is written in mod. notation ( $L$ Br): the mod. (minor) is perf m 202: the first 2 notes are written Br Sb with pp after the Sb : consequently there is tp. perf., and the first 2 notes of m 201 , written as lig cop, require alteration for the 2 nd Sb . The Br is always taken to be perfect, and 2 Sb in succession show alteration; see m 204. - m 207: the values are Br 4 Mi 2 Sb (lig cop); I take the passage to represent mod. minor perf.,
tp. imp., prol. min. but the insertion is an anomaly. - m 209: 2nd note is Br ; in accordance with mod. perf. it must be Bra.

```
Edition: Ludwig IV, 80-81.
```

19. Qui n'aroit autre depart

Remede de Fortune (No. 1), verses 431-680.
1 V .
Sources: $A(f .52) ; B\left(f .109^{\prime}\right) ; V g\left(f .92^{\prime}\right) ; C(f .26)$; M, Morg.

Rhythm: 229 measures in mod. perf. (I Br Sb).
Notes: m 5: first note d in all Mss. (not $c$ as in Iudwig I, 93 11 but correct in Iudwig-Hoepfener). -m 7: first note Br in all Mss., not Sb as in Iudwi.g's transcription; 2nd note should be Sb. - m 10: pausa longa in all Mss. - m 36: for 2 b first note g in $F$. $-m$ 37: in $A$ and $F$ nd note in 2 a Bra, in 2 b I. m 44: last note plicata desc. in C. - m 46: 2na and 3rd notes Br in $E$ (error). - $m$ 47: a third higher in $E$. $m$ 62: a third higher in $E_{0}-m$ 64: first note $B r$, 2 nd note $S$ in in $E_{\text {. }}$ - $m$ 66: $F$ has $c(B r) f e e d$ ( 2 lig cop); first note a third lower for 3 b in $\bar{A}$. $-m \overline{7} 4 \frac{\bar{B} r}{}$ and pali in $E$; pausa missing for $4 a$, but not for 4 b in $\mathrm{A} .-\mathrm{m}$ 80: last note f in F . - m 83: note is Br for 5a, I for 5 b in $A$. - m 94: a (Br) a g g f-sharp (2 lig cop) in E. - m 100-101: E has g Br (perf.), g Br and pali. - m 101: in F pam instead of pasb (6b) - 104 : first note Br in E. H 105: after first note in $E I^{\prime} e^{\prime} e^{\prime} d^{\prime}(2$ lig cop); in $F$ a third lower; for 6b B has $f^{\prime} e^{\prime} e^{\prime} \frac{d^{\prime}}{}$ and $m-1 \overline{0} 6: e^{\prime}(I) f^{\prime}(S b)$ and pasb. - m 108: last $\bar{n} \circ \mathrm{te}^{-1} e^{T}$ (Sb) for $6 a^{-}$in $F C^{=} E$, for $6 b$ also in B. - m 116: first note erroneously Br for 6 b in F. - m 118 . 3rd notel $c^{\prime}$ in $E .-m$ 119: notes 3 and 4 a g in E. - m I39-140: for 8 b F fails to change clef and, from 3 rd note of 139 through 140, has the pitch a 5 th too low. - II 146: a' is perfect I in E (no pause) - m 147 : b'a' 2 Sb in E , m $\mathrm{m} 52-153$ : E has a' ( Br ) (153). ${ }^{\prime} g^{\prime} f^{\prime}$ (2 lijg cop) (152); $e^{\prime} f^{\prime} d^{\prime} e^{\prime} c^{\prime}$ (lig cop, lig cop sp) accevted 158 identical - m 161 . Iudwion; all other Msso have $I 1155$ and up-beat which if 161 : Iudwig's transcription begins with an up-beat which if intended is always indicated by preceding pausae; m 162 seens to have an error; in all Miss. ctsharp is followed by pab; pab is apparently an error; indeed, A omits pab for 10b. - m 165 : 2nd note plicata (desc.) in C. Instead of last note $a^{\prime} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{E}$ has a'g' (lig cop). . m ( 66 . Iast note
$a^{\prime}$ in $E$. -m 167: A has for 10a b'a'b' $\underline{b}^{\prime}$, for $10 b b^{\prime} a^{\prime} a^{\prime} g^{\prime}$. -
 first $4^{-}$notes) e'f'e (lig cop sp). - m 204: first note Br , not Sb (see Iudwig) - - in 205: 4th note $e^{\prime}$ in A. -m 210: 4th note $c^{\prime}$ in $A$. - II 211: after first note a punctus in A; error.

Edition: Iudwig I, 93-95, and in E. Hoepffner, Oeuvres II, lai)

## Complainte.

## Tels rit au main

Remede de Fortune (No.2), Verses 905-1480.
1 v.
Sources: A (f. 55'); B ( $\mathrm{f} .113^{1}$ ); Vg (f. 961); C (f. 30); Morg.

Rhythm: 40 measures in mod. (minor) perf. ( I Br Sb ). Division of Sb appears in form of triplet: Sb Mi ; i.e. of 2 Sb the 2nd has ternary division.

Notes: 36 strophes are sung to the same melody. - m 2: last ( ${ }^{2}$ notes in $E$ lig cauda downwards; error. $m 7 \%$ in $E g^{\prime} \underline{f}^{\prime}$ ( I Br ). - m 8: C has $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br}) \mathrm{e}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Mi}) \mathrm{d}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ (lig cop) with punctus above lig ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$ d' (I with pp) - The punctus above lig seems to be pd, hence $e^{\top} M i$ should be linked to ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ' Sb rather than deducted from Br Tas Iudwig notes). -m 13: in E lig of 2 last notes erroneously with cauda downwards. - m 14: all Mss. except C have pp after first note; $C$ is correct. - m 28: last 2 notes $g^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{f}^{\prime}($ lig cop) in E. - m 35: first note a in E.

Edition: Ludwig I, 96, and in E. Hoepffner, Oeuvres II, Appendix, $9-10 ; \mathrm{H}$. Quittard, in Bulletin de la Société Prancaise de Nusicologie, I, 138.

## Chanson royale

## Joie, plaisence

Remede de Fortune (No. 3), verses 1985-2032.
I v .
Sources: $A\left(f .63^{\prime}\right) ; B\left(f .120^{\prime}\right) ; V g\left(f .103^{\prime}\right) ; C(f .39) ;$ K, J. M, Nore Rhythm: 33 measures in mod. perf. ( $\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{Br} \mathrm{Sb}$ ).
Notes: m I: lust note plicata (desc.) in B Vg; also last be questioned if 5. - II 8: palp follows $c^{\prime}$ I (m 7) ; it may noquestioned if it represents an actual pausa. - m 16: last note plicata asc, in C, m 27: first note plicata asc. in C.II 30: I ard pab in C (A?).

5 strophes and Envoy.
Edition: Ludwig I, 97, and in E. Hoepffner, Oeuvres II, II (1804), plate 4. $10 ;$. Kalkbrenner, Histo ire de la musique,

Ci apres commencent les motez (g) - Ci commencent les motez (Vg).

1. Amour et biaute (Mo)

T: Amara valde.
Tr: Quant en moy vint
Resp. Plange quasi virgo.
Sabbato Sancto, Noct. I, Resp. 3. (Officium et Missa ultimi tridui Majoris Hebdomadae, ed. Vat. 190.)

Rhythmic Structure: Mod. perf., tp, perf., prol. ma., and diminished. Isorhythm: 3 taleae, and 3 taleae diminutae; 2 colores.

Notes: m 1 Mo: $e^{1}$ in E is Br , not Li. - m 16 T : E has pali, not palp. - m 42 Tr : in $G$ 4th note $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ and last note $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ are Sb, not Mi. - m 72 Mo: d', not c' in A. - syllable "Et" in A is underlaid to c' (m 69), not to ${ }^{\prime}$ (n 75). - m 89 Tr: first Sb is in all Mss., including $A$, $\underline{e}^{\prime}$. - m 116 Tr: 5 th note $\underline{a}^{\prime}$ is in $G \mathrm{Sb}$, instead of Mi.
Edition: J. Wolf, GM II, No. I3; Ludwig III, 2-5.
2. De souspirant (Mo)

Tr: Tous corps qui de bien amer

Rhythmic Structure: Mod. perf., tp. imp. prol. ma., and diminished. Isorhythm: 4 taleae, consisting of 8 I each, 4 taleae in diminution, each consisting of 6 Brp and 1 Bri (in mod. imp.): the upper parts maintain tp. imp. and prol. ma. with mod. perf., against the mod. imp. in T; 2 colores.

Notes: m 27 Mo: like all other Mss, $G$ has $\underline{a}^{\prime}$, not $c^{\prime}$. m 116 Mo : note $e^{\prime}$ omitted in A .
Edition: R.V.Ficker, in Deutsche Vierteljahrsschrift fur (1.925) $5 \frac{\text { Literaturwissenschaft }}{30}$ und Geistesgeschichte III (1.925), $530 ;$ J. Wolf, Sing-und
(1926), Spielrusik aus alter Zeit

## 3. Fine Amour (Mo)

Tr: He! Mors com tu es haie
Sources: $A\left(f .416^{\prime}\right): G\left(f, 104^{\prime}\right): C\left(f, 208^{\prime}\right) ; E\left(f, 133^{\prime}\right.$, No. 5$) ; V_{g}\left(\right.$ 土 . 262' $\left.^{\prime}\right) ; B\left(\right.$ f. $\left.260^{\prime}\right)$.

Rhythmic Structure: Mod. imp., tp. imp., prol. ma., and (diminished, tp. imp., prol. ma. (no mod.). Isorhythm: 4 taleae (4th incomplete, ca. hali); each talea consists of 11 Li , the 4 th of 6 Ii ; in diminution talea consists of 11 Bri, the 4 th of 3 Bri and I finalis; 2 colores,
Notes: m I2-15 Mo: Indwig notes for Vg error: $e^{\prime} \underline{d}^{\prime}$ are written as. I, I with pa, instead of I with pa, Br; B also shows the
error of Vg. - m 34 Tr: 2nd $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in A Mi, instead of Sb . m 58 Tr: last $e^{\prime} \mathrm{Sb}$ in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{A}}$ - $m 63 \mathrm{MO}$ : Iudwig notes the I $e^{\prime}$ as error in $V g$. $B$ shows that $e^{\prime}$ (I) must not necessarily be an error. Merely the text mast be adjusted: "reconforter" underlaid to $e^{\prime}(\mathrm{L}) f^{\prime}(S b) f^{\prime}(S b) e^{\prime}(N i) .-m 81$ No: pasb before $f$-sharp missing in $G_{0}$ - $\bar{m} 96$ Ir: first 2 notes (Sb, Mi) e' $d^{\top}$, instead of $c^{\prime} b$ in $A$ - $m$ IIO Tr: $G C A$
 have Sb (perfecta) and 3 Mi . E has the corrected version
$(\mathrm{Sb} \mathrm{Mi}, \mathrm{Sb} \mathrm{Mi}$ ) Iudwig notes for Vg. - m 111 Mo : only A has $d^{\prime} e^{\prime} c^{\prime} d^{\prime}-m$ ll2 Mo: A omits $d^{\prime}\left(\dot{B}^{\prime}\right)$, an error, not repeated in the other Viss.

Edition: J. Wolf, GMi II, No. 14; H.E. Wooldridge, Oxford 9-12.
4. Puisque Ia douce rousee (MO) T:Speravi.

Tr: De Bon Espoir
Introit: Domine 2 in tua misericordia speravi:
Dominica I post Pent. Graduale, ed. Vat., 265.
Sources: A (f. 417') ; G (f. $105^{\prime}$ ); E (f. $134^{\prime}$, No. 6) :

Rhythmic Structure: The $T$ shows a complicated organization although the nofation is neither clear nor consistent. Iudwig noted the irregularities, in view of which he correctly suggests the modus imperfectus for the transcription as a whole; but what he takes to be "syncopations" is actually a change of modus. The $T$ has the following groups: 1 Naxima,

3 Longae, 1 pausa longa; 1 Maxima, 1 Longa; this represents 3 measures in modus maior perfectus (with the modus longarum being imperfect); then follow 1 pausa brevis, 1 Longa, 1 Brevis, 1 pausa brevis, 1 Brevis, I Longa, I pausa brevis; this too represents 3 measures, but the modus maximamum is eliminated only the modus longarum is present, i.e. We have 3 measures in modo perfecto; the last group consists of 1 Maxima, I Longa and 1 pausa brevis; this gives the odd combination of 1 measure with modus maior imperfectus and 1 measure with modus (minor) perfectus. In the diminished section, these groups appear as 3 measures with modus perfectus, 3 measures with tempus perfectum (without mode), I measure modus imperfectus, I measure tempus perfectum, Also the motetus shows insertions with change of modus; measures $16-24,50-58,84-92$ have modus perfectus cum tempore imperfecto. - Isorhythm: 6 taleae, each consisting of 34 breves and 17 breves in diminished section; 4 colones.

Notes: m 1.6 Tr: A has correctly $a^{\prime}$ G'a' $^{\prime} \mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in m 15, but has then

$a^{\prime} e^{\prime} a^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}$ are superfluous; the correct version of $E$ and $G$ has been accepted. - In 27 Tr: only $A$ has last note a', all other Mss. $b^{\prime}$. -28 Ir: $3 x d$ note is in $A$ and $E a^{\prime}$, Instead of $b^{\prime}$ m 55 INo: $a^{\prime}\left(I_{1}\right)$ is followed by a pabr in $A$; error. - m $83 \bar{M} 0$ A $\mathbb{P} B(V \mathrm{~g})$ have $\underline{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{g}^{\prime}, G \mathrm{~g}^{\prime} \mathbf{n}^{\prime}($ (as lig cop in all Mss.). m 87 Mo : $\mathrm{f}^{1}$ shaño is A and 玉 a Brevis; error. - m 110 Tra
A has after $g^{\prime}$ (Mi) an additional $f^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$; error. - m 123 Ir $g^{\prime \prime}$ erroneousIy a Sb , instead of Mi, in $G$. $-m 30 \mathrm{MO}$ : A and G have a! as perfect Sb( without g' Ni following); E and B (Vg) have $\underline{a}^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Mi})$.

Edition: J. Wolf, GM II, No. 15; Iudwig III, 13-17.
5. Qui plus aimme (Mo)

Tr: Aucune gent m'ont demandé

Rhythmic Structure: $T$ is organized for 2 measures in mod.maior imp., mod. minor imp., tp. perf., and 2 measures in mod. maior perf., mod.minor imp., tp. imp., with the latter written in red notation. Co has the same organization in reversed order. The upper parts are composed in mod. imp.,
tp. perf., prol.ma. In the diminished section the modus maior is altogether eliminated: mod, imp, tp. perf., andmod. perf. tp. imp, in $T$ reversed in Co; no modus in upper parts. Isorhythm: 4 taleae, each of which consists in $T$ of 4 times 16 Sb and 6 times 4 Sb , in Co of 6 times 4 Sb and 4 times 6 Sb : 4 taleae in diminution; 2 colores

Notes: $m 9$ T: a in $A$ G, $\frac{b}{}$ in B C, but in 2nd color
too low; the error is in no other Ms. Mo: in A all notes a third as at the correspond is in other Ms. - m 17 Co: here, as well as at the corresponding places in 3rd talea (m33, 81), the
 $\frac{a}{n}^{\prime}$ and last $f^{\prime}$ are Sb in B Vg, correctly G Gi in all other Mss. M $55 \mathrm{Mo}: \mathrm{B} \mathrm{G}$ C E have the first 3 notes as Sb , Mi, and Sb (perfecta), E in addition a pasb preceding the lst Sb; A gives Sb (perfecta), $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Mi} .-\mathrm{m}$ 65-66 Tr: 5 notes a third too high in A. - m 79 Tr: 2nd, 4th, 6th notes are c'a'c' in A. - m 91 Tr: last note $e^{\prime}$ in $A$ and $C$. - m 93 Tr: 4 th note g $^{\prime} S b$ in A. In 93 Mo : only $\frac{1}{\prime} f^{\prime}$ in $B E$ as $B r$, as $S b$ (perfecta) in $C$, but in $G$ and $A 2$ f ( $\mathrm{Sb}, M i$ ).

Edition: Judwig III, 18-23.
6. S'Amours tous amans joir (No)

Tr: S'il estoit nulz qui pleindre

T: Et gaudebit cor vestrum. Melody not identified.

Rhythric Structure:T, written only once, but with sign of repetition, in mod.perf., tp.imp., prol.ma. No dininution. Isorhythm: 3 full taleae, a fourth beginning; tho talea consists of 3 nodus neasures ( 30 Sb ), the beginning of the fourtatalea of I modus measure ( 6 Sb ): 2 colores. In view of the displacenent of the pausae in the repetition, the taleae are differently grouped in the 2nd color.

Notes: $m$ l7-20 Tr: in $G$ a third too low. - 38 Tr : in $\Lambda$ a third too low.

Edition: Iudwig III, 24-26.
7. Lasse! je sui en aventure (Mo)

Tr: J'ay tant mon cuer
I: Ego moriar pro te. Melody not identified.

Sources: $A\left(f .420^{\prime}\right) ; G\left(f .108^{\prime}\right) ; C$ (f. 2II', No. 6)


Rhythmic Structure: $T$ is in mod. maior perf.,mod.minor imp., tp. imp., and diminished in mod.minor perf., tp. imp. Upper parts in Tp. imp., prol. min. Isorhythm: 3 taleae each consisting of 6 measures in mod. maior perf. and 1 additional measure in mod. minor imp. a total of 76 imp. Sb ; in diminution the talea consists of 38 imp. Sb with the additional measure being in Tp. imp. (no modus). Total: 6 taleae,
4 colores.
Notes: $n$ 22-25 Tr: in $G$ a third too high, with a flat sign before the first $g^{1}(B r)$. - m 37 Tr: $g^{1} f^{\prime} 2 M i$ in $A$. II 37 Mo : $2 n d \mathrm{Sb}$, a, missing in $G$; at the end of the staff. In 40 Ir: $a^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br})$ missing in A. -m 42 Tr: 2nd note $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$, not $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in $A$. - 78 Mo : pabr missing in A . - 145 Mo : $\overline{\mathrm{f}}$ irst $\frac{\circ}{2}$ notes $f^{\prime} e^{\prime}$ (not $\left.\mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right)$ as lig cop in $\mathrm{G} .-\mathrm{I} 148 \mathrm{Mo}$ : the pabr after $g^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br})^{\prime}$ at the end of the staff is not missing.
Edition: Iudwig III, 27-29.
8. Ha ! Fortune (MO)

Tr: Qui es promesses de Fortune

T: Et non est qui adjuvet. End of verse of Resp.
Circundederunt me viri
(Dominica passionis),
Processionale Monasticum, Solesmis 1893, 52. T written only once,
Sources: $A\left(f, 421^{\prime}\right) ; G\left(f, 109^{\prime}\right) ; C(f, 2121, N o, 7) ;$
-24. E (f. I33, No.4): Vg (f. 267'): B (f. 265'). Iv, No. 38, 1. 24'; Trén, No. 13, £. 8 (Tr, T) : CaB, No. 13, f. $122^{\prime}$ Stockholn, f. $138^{\prime \prime}$ (text only, No. 77, 78).

Rhythmic Stmucture: T is in mod. perf., tp, inp. Upper voices to. inp., prol.min. No diminution. Isorhythm: 4 taleae, each consisting of 9 measures of 6 Sb ; total: 54 Sb : 3 colores.

Notes: n 6 Mo: 2nd note a in Iv. - 8 Tr: Iv has a', Sb (but erroneously with pa) and $a^{\prime} g^{\prime}(2 \mathrm{Mi})$. In Tré" (n 8-9 Tr) : $a^{\prime} a^{\prime} o^{\prime}, a^{\prime} b^{\prime} a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ (rhythm the sane)?- I8-19 Tr: CaB has
 is f (Mi), not gi in IV 4 Mi: $g^{\prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime} \mathrm{I}^{\prime}$. - m 22 Trifirst note d' in Iv. - m 23 Tr: second note do ${ }^{\text {d }}$ not $e^{\prime}$ in Iv. İ 34 Tr: Iv and CaB have $1 \mathrm{Sb} \mathrm{f}^{1}$-instead of 2 Mi . n 34-35 Mo: onitted in $A$. - m 36-38 Mo: in A a third too low. - In 39 Mo : in $G$ the lig should be cop, but scribe omitted the cauda up. - n 41 Tr: Ist note c', nit d $^{-1}$ in onitted the cauda up. - 41 Tr: lst note $c^{\prime}$, nit d CaB . - 146 Ir: 2nd note $e^{\prime}$, not $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ in CaB and Ive -
In 48 Tr: plica brevis ascendens in CaB - -48 Mo: both Iv and CaB read á $\underline{g}^{\prime} \underline{a}^{\prime} \underline{b}^{\prime}(4 \mathrm{Mi})$. - 49 Tr: Iv CaB, Irém,
but also E read $g^{\prime} e^{\prime} e^{\prime}$ (Mi, Sb, Mi). - $n 51$ Tr: instead of
 not $g^{1}$; but the following pausa (n 53) is correc干ly a pabr (I spatium, not 2 as Iudwig notes). -m 55 Mo : E has g gi (Br), A G B Vg C have a', so also Iv (ali without plica); but CaB has b' ( $\overline{\mathrm{Br}}$ plicata descendens); the CaB version seems to be correct. - m 63 Tr: 2 Mi instead of 2 Sb in Trén. In 63 Mo: in CaB Sb and pan, instead of Sb and pa- - m 69 Mo Iudwig notes a pabr after e' (I) in Iv; there is no pausa. In 74. Mo: last note g', not a' in E. - m 76 Tr: last note $\mathrm{g}^{\prime \prime}$,
 not $\bar{g}^{\prime}$ in Iv. - m 104 Tr: last note $g^{\prime}$, not $f^{\prime}$ in Iv. n 105 Tr: Ist note $a^{\prime}$, not $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in Iv.

Edition: Facsimile of Trén in E. Droz and G. Thibault, Revue de Musicologie VII (1926); Ludwig III, 30-32.
9. - Iivoris feritas (Mo)

Tr: Fons tocius superbie

## $=\operatorname{mos} \mathrm{p}_{2} 1584$

Sources: $A\left(f .422^{\prime}\right)$; $G\left(f, 10^{\circ}\right)$; $C\left(f, 213^{\prime}\right.$, No. 8) ; Trén, No. 80, f. $34^{\circ}$ (lost, but registored in the list of content).

Rhythric Structure: I is organized in mod.perf.,tp. inp., and prol. ma. The Tr begins solo (12 measures). Isorbythp. 9 taleae ( 3 times 3), each consisting of 5 measures in mod perf., tp. imp., i.e. a total of 30 Sb. No diminution. The final measure is outside the isorhythmic structure. 6 colores.

Notes: m 47 Mo: B has no punctus after the lst note of the lig spr, although Iudwig notes it for Vg. The sane Iig appears in $A$ and $G$ cpr; error. $\vec{m} 68$ Tr: $B$ has lst note $I^{1}$,

 "n" the syllable "fer" (Ludwig reads "inferius" in G, but the "n" is quite clearly the same as in the proceding "regnas": howevers A has clearly "inferius" but only 2 Sb a'). 1180 Tr: and note Sb , instead of Ti, in $G$ and $A$. -109 Mo A reads $g^{\prime} f^{\prime} e^{\prime} d^{\prime}(S b M i, S b M i)$, - 1711 Tr: last d In $^{\prime}$ G Sb, instead of Mis.

T: Fora pessina.
Rosp. Videns Jacob Vestinenta
Joseph, (Doninica III, Quadr. Rosp. IVv), Mntiphonale Sarisburiense 172

Edition: Iudwig III, 33-36.
10. Helas! ou sera pris confors (Mo)

Tr: Hareu! hareu! le fer

T: Obediens usque ad morten. Grad. Christus factus est pro nobis (Peria Quinta in Coena Donini), Graduale ed. Vat., 169.
 No. 7, f.4 (iost, but registered in the list of content).

Rhythmic Structure: Mod. perf., tp. imp., with prol. na. in upper parts. Isorhythm: 3 taleae, each consisting of 8 measures in mod. perf., i.e. a total of 48 Sb ; 3 taleae in diminution: tp. inp., prol. ma. (with 24 Sb per talea); the last dininished talea incomplete; 2 colores.

Notes: $m 1$ Tr: Ist note $c^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Mi}$ in E; error. - II 7 Tr: the last $a^{\prime}$ (Mi) is followed by an extra $g^{\prime \prime}(S b)$ in $\Lambda$; error. I1 23 Tr: last note $g^{\prime} \mathrm{Sb}$ in G; error. - In 30 Mo : $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ is I plicata descendens in $A$; the note should be Br, with or without plica. II 42 Tr: last note $g^{\prime}$, not $a^{\prime}$ in 4 - II 63 Mo f $f^{\prime}$ is erroneouely I in $G$, but not in $\frac{e^{\prime}}{A}(\mathrm{Br})$ as Iudwig noted. - $\overline{8} 6$ Tr: 2nd note $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ sharp ), not $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in A. - 197 Tr: last note $a^{\prime}$ Sb (perfecta) without pausa following in A, - In 99 Tr: A has f' as Br plicata descendens: the same in $G B$; but in $E$ and $C$ Br $\bar{W} i t h o u t ~ p l i c a . ~$

Edition: Iudwig III, 37-40.
11. Fins cuers doulz (Mo)

Tr: Dane, je sui cilz

T: "Fins cuers doulz." Melody not identified. The incipit probably refers to the NO , not refers to the Mo , to the $T$

Rhythnic Structure: Mod. perf., tp. perf., prol. min.

Notes: $m$ 36, 48 Tr (Mo): Ludwig pointed out that despite (but the error of all versions an error appears in the Tr: pabr following; g' (m 48; in A B C Vo) ; $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ (m 36) is Br with pabr following. Ludwi 48; in A B C Vg however, é ${ }^{\prime}$ ) is I with for $\mathrm{g}^{1}(\mathrm{~m} 48)$. Ludwig suggested $I$ for $g^{\prime}(m 36)$ and Br for gin 48 ) ; in Mo m 48 is also an irrgularity; $m$ 46-48 have g f $f$ (Br, Br, I in all Miss. but $C$ where the Iast g is Br): Iudwig was aware of the problem the I presented (apparently he did not have the version of C); the preceding Br should be altered. We have accepted Ludwig's emendation for m 36, but read the Mo (m 48) in accordance with C; $f$ is altered and $g$ ( $B r$ ) begins a new perfectio; but such an intempretation requires actually the pd before the last $g$ (Br) In 68 Tr: Ludwig notes that lst note $g^{\prime}$ is erroneously $\mathrm{Sb}^{\circ}$ in \% 74 . notes that $V g$ has $\frac{b}{m}$, not a in $G$. - $m$ 95-98 Mo (Tr): Ludwig notes that $V g$ has ( $\bar{m} 95$ ) d d'c' as Sb; but B has correctly 2 Mi as in A G E C; the reading of a (m 97-99) as Ip is, of course, unusual, as also the interpretation of $c^{\prime}$ (sharp A 98) in Tr as Bra is not common; but the note is Br in A B C E G; it should be a Li.

Edition: Iudwig III, 4I-43.
12. Corde mesto cantando (Mo)

Tr: Helas! pour quoy virent

T: Libera me.
Melody not identified.

Sources: A (f. 425!); $G\left(f .113^{\prime}\right) ; C\left(f, 216^{\prime}\right.$, No. 11) ${ }^{\prime}(f)$
Rhythmic Structure: Mod. perf., tp. imp., with prol.ma. in consisting of 6 measures parts. Isorhythm: 9 taleae, each total of $36 \mathrm{Sb}: 3$ colores. The $T$ is written imp., i.e. a the repetition indicated by the Notes: 8 ios c G
Notes: $m 8$ Mo: A C G have $\underline{d}^{\prime} c^{\prime}$ (Iig cop), instead of 2nd $\underline{g}^{\prime}$ has no erasure in A versions are correct. - m 25 Tr: othèr Mss., including B. A (ludwig), but is Mi, as in all m 86-87 Tr: last Mi (m 86) is et in A G only $\frac{1}{c^{\prime}}(\mathrm{Sb})$. $B V g ;$ last $M i(m 87) f^{\prime}$ in $B V \frac{1}{g}$, but $A$, but d' in $C G$

 $E$ and C have clearly a. - Ludwig correct $\frac{1}{}$ a remarks in
value missing between m $145-151$; he also reads in $G$ note $b$ as L ; the reading is possible, but the note appears at the very end of the staff; it is not clear if the Iine can be taken as cauda; at all events, A B C E have Br. Iudwig suggested $c^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br})$ as emendation for m 147; according to the isorhythmic structure, the value is clearly missing in m 147. The position of the measure within the talea requires comparison with the corresponding In 39, 93; accordingly we insert $2 \mathrm{Sb} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} \underline{d}^{\prime}$ (in lig cop).

Edition: Judwig III, 44-48
13. Eins que ma dame (Mo)

Tr: Tant doucement m'ont attrait

T: Ruina. Nelody not identified. It is used as $T$ in motet Fauv 4 (4) Presidentes in thronis.



Rhythmic Structure: Mod. imp. tp. imp., with prol.ma. in upper parts. Isorhythm: 4 taleae, each consisting of 14 measures in mod. imp., tp. imp., i.e. a total of 56 Sb ; no diminution; 1 color.

Notes: $m$ I-4 Tr: a third too high in $A .-m 14$ Tr: $g^{\prime}$ (Mi)
at the end of staff erroneously repeated on the next staff in $G$, so that there is $\underline{a}^{\prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime} \underline{a}^{\prime} g{ }^{\prime}(a l l$ Mi) in $G$. m 70 Mo : Iudwig notes for Vg the error of $2 \mathrm{Mi} \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$, but B does not have such an error. - m 79 Tr: 2 pam añd a' (Mi) erased in $A .-m 95 \mathrm{Mo}$ : in $G$ a third lower. - m $10 \overline{9}$ Tr: 2 pam and 1 Mi missing in all Mss. Emendation according to Ludwig.

Edition: Iudwig III, 49-51.
14. De ma dolour (Mo)

T: Quia amore langueo. Melody not identified.
Tr: Naugre mon cuer
Sources: A (f. 427'); G (f. 115'); C (f. 218', No. 13. $)$
8 (f.143, No. 17) ; Vg (土. 273) : B (f. 271') ; Irém, No. 8, f. 4 (lost, but Iisted in index).

Rhythmic Stracture: Mod. perf., tp. imp., with prol, ma, in the upper voices. Isorhythm: 4 taleae total 10 measures in mod. perf., tp. imp., i.

Notes: $m$ 3 Mo: 2nd note $S b$ in $G .-m 8$ Tr: last note g', not Tr: last Mi f' not $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ in in $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ (Vg) - $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}$, not $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in $A$. -m 28 Tr: last Mi f', not $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{Vg})-\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{m}} 34 \mathrm{Mo}$ : in G erroneously $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{Vg})$. - m 75 Mo : 2nd note $e^{\prime}$, not $\frac{g^{\prime}}{\prime}$ in $B \mathrm{Vg}$ and C .
Edition: Iudwig III, 52-54.
15. Faus Samblant $\mathrm{m}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}$ deceu ( Mo )

Tr: Amours qui ha le pouoir - faciem 165.

Sources: $A(f .4281)$; $G\left(f, 116^{1}\right) ; C\left(f, 219^{\prime}\right.$, No. 14) ; Iv, No . $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ (143, No. 18) ; Vg (f. 274') ; B (f. 272')

Rhythmic Structure: Mod. perf., tp. imp., with prol.ma. in the upper parts. Isorhythm: 4 taleae, each consisting of 10 measures in mod. perf., tp. imp., i.e. a total of 60 Sb ; no diminution; 1 color.
Notes: $m$ I-2 Tr: the first 5 notes a third higher in Iv. beginning Mo: Lst $B r$ is $c^{\prime}$ in Iv; this conforms to the beginning with $c^{\prime \prime}$ in Tr; hence the version does not seem to be an error. But in the other Mss. the motet begins with a' (Tr), ${ }^{\prime}$ ' (Mo) $-m$ 7-9: A B C give pp both before the Sb and the 2nd notes potes, but correctly Sb , 26 in IV are not Mi, as Iuadwig ' and e' respectively in $A$ the 3 rd notes in $m 26,27$ are
 so in C, and Trém. - m 38 Tr : Ist Mi is ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$, not $\frac{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{a}}$, in IV,
 not $c^{\prime \prime}$ in Trém, probably in analogy to $m 56$, 57 , rather than as an error. - m 55-57 T: only G has a Br , instead of T m 60-63 Tr: a third too low in Iv (from 3rd note in m 63 on correct again). - m 62-63 Tr: in $G$ the 2 Sb and the lst Sb in m 63 a third too low, probably because the scribe changed
to the g-clef (letter) for the preceding 5 notes on the previous staff. - m 75 Mo : last 2 notes $\mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{f}^{\prime}$, instead of b $^{\prime} g^{\prime}$ ' in Trém. - m 81 Tr: 2nd note d', not c' in Ivo m 87 Mo : 2 nd note Sb , not Mi in IV, as Ludwig notes not $\mathrm{e}^{1}$ in Trém. -m 102 Mo: 2nd note in Iv is correctiy Mi, not Sb as Iudwig notes. - m 112 Tr. in Iv is correcty Mi, not sb as Ludwig notes. -m 112 rr: in IV is $\underline{a}^{\prime}$, not $g^{\prime}$.
Edition: Facsimile of Trém published by E. Droz and G. Thibault 55-57. in Revue de Musicologie VII (1926). - Iudwig III,
16. Se j'aim mon Jayal ami (ino) Tr: Lasse! comment oublieray.
Douce 308, f. 207, without melody. Structure is that of an irregular virelai.
 Trém, No. 9, f. 5 (Iost, but Iisted in index).

Rhythmic Structure: Mod. perf., tp. imp., prol. min. No isoriythm since the I is built as virelai.

Notes: m 16-17 Mo: B has correctly $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ (Br, with cauda desc. to the left), é (Sb and pa), $\underline{a}^{\prime}$ (Mi), not an error which Ludwig notes for $V g_{\text {. }}=\mathrm{m} 75-78 \mathrm{Is} \mathrm{c}$ (sharp) I should have pp in A. - m 80-81 Tr: in A the lst note (e') is Sb with pa and the following d'e $\mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ are all Mi. - m 103 Tr : last Mi. should be read $a^{\prime}$ also in $\bar{A}$. $-m 120$ T: pp missing in $B V g$, m 145 Tr: A inserts a pabr; error.

Edition: P. Aubry, Recherches sur les ténors francais dans les motets du l3e siecle (1907), 35; F, Gennrich, Rondeaux II (1927), 104: facsimile of A in J. Wolf,
Musikalische Schrifttafeln (1923), plates 4l-42; Iudwig III, 58-61.
17. 0 series summe rata (Mo)

Tr: Quant vraie amour enflamee

1: Super omnes speciosa Ant. Ave regina $\frac{\text { coelorum, }}{\text { Ed. Vat, }} 55$.

Sources: A (f. 430') ; G (f. 118') ; C (I. 221', No. I6)

Rhythmic Structure: Mod. perf., tpo imp., for 3 measures: mod. imp., tp. imp. for 1 measure, with rol. ma. for the upper parts. Isorhythm: 6 taleae, each consisting of 2 times 3 measures in mod. perf. (tp, imp.) and 1 measure in mod. imp. (tp. imp.). i.e. a total of 8 measures, or 44 Sb ; no diminution; 2 colores.

Notes: m 5 Mo : Ludwig's remark that 2 Sb d $^{\prime}$ follow $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ (Mi) holds true only for $G$, not for $A$. -64 Ir: - last note e' exroneously $S b$ in $G .-m$ 64-72 Tr: the whole passage
omitted in A, but afterward written down below on the last line of the page. -m 77 Tr: last note a third too high in $A$, but correction in Ms.; this applies also to the following $L$, in A $c^{\prime \prime}$, not $a^{\prime}$. - m 94 rrs B has $2 \mathrm{Sb} \mathrm{g}^{\prime}$, instead of $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$. - m 114 $M O: \underline{b}^{\prime}$ and $\underline{a}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Mi})$ missing in A .

Edition: Ludwjg III, 62-64.
18. Bone pastor, qui pastores (Mo)

Tr: Bone pastor, Guillerme
upon instaliation of Guillaume ae Bone pastor.' Melody not identified; incipit probably refers to Mío. Probably composec
archbishop of Reims, 1324

Rhythmic Stmucture: Mod. perf., tp. imp., with prol. ma. in the upper voices. Isorhythm: 4 taleae, each consisting of 8 measures in mod. perf., tp. imp., i.e. a total of 24 Sb , and the same 4 taleae in diminution (tp. imp., prol. ma.) ; 4 colores.

Notes: m 36-37 Tr: Sb $d^{\prime} c^{\prime} d^{\prime} \underline{d}^{\prime}$ in B (Vg). - m 45 Tr: Iast note Sb in $G$ and C. - In 79-90 Tr: from 2nd Sb in m 79 (which has a punctus preceding) to last Mi in m 90 a third too high in G. - m 92-95 Tr: Iudwig remarks that the passage is
corrupt in all Miss.; he emends lst $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ ( Br ) in m 92 to I. But C might support the emendationg for only in C fi could in Cead as I, though the cauda is faded; at all events, $f^{\prime}$ all might be a reference to an error. - m 125 Mo : $e^{\prime}$ (Sb) missing in A.

Edition: Iudwig III, 65-67.
19. Diligenter inquiramus (Mo)

Tr: Martyrum germa Iatria

T: A Christo honoratus. Melody not identificd.
(Iv: Christo honorate; C: Christo honoratus).
Sources: A (f. 432') ; G (f. 120 ${ }^{\prime}$ ); C (f. 223', No, 18) ; Iv, No. 16, f. 101 ; Trém, No. 51, f. 24 (lost, but listed in index).

Rhythmic Structure: Mod. perf., tp. perf., with prol. min. in the upper parts. Tr begins solo, 12 neasurcs in tp. perf., prol. min. (no nodus). Isorhythm 5 taluac, each consisting of 7 measures in mod. perf. tp. perf., i.e. a total of 63 Sb ; no dininution; 2 colores.

Notes: In view of mod. perf. and tp. perf. the Mss. show a good many varieties in the use of pd or pp; without any special importance they have not been listed. - m IT Ir 2nd and $3 r d$ notes $c^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime}$ in $A$. $-m 18 \mathrm{Mo}$ : $d^{\prime} \mathrm{Br}$ in Iv; error. - m 19 Tr: 3rd note $\underline{a}^{\prime}$, not $\underline{b}^{\prime}$ in Iv。 - m 28 Tr: pam after $g^{\prime}$ (Ni) inissing in Iv: - m $39^{-}$Tr: 2nd note b', not $c^{\prime \prime}$ in Iv: - In 49 Tr: Ist note is $g^{\prime}$ in $B V g C$; note in'A is questionable; in G E Iv the note is clearly a'; last note is
 IT 50-51 Mo: last note in 50 is $a^{\prime}$, first note in $m 51$ e' in in - - 13 Mo: pabr missing in B . - 1184 MO : 4 th note twice - In 86 Tr: Ludwig questions if IV has a a g (Sb, 2 Mi correct. - passage correct. - n 91 T: $c^{\prime}$, not b in Iv. - n 102 Tr: Ist note d' in Ivo - n los Tr: Iv has the notes $e^{\prime} g^{\prime}(2 \mathrm{Mi}) \underline{a}^{\prime} \underline{c}^{\prime \prime}(2 \mathrm{Sb})$; Ehas $\underline{e}^{\prime} \underline{I}^{\prime} \underline{a}^{\prime} \underline{c}^{\prime \prime}$.

Edition: Ludwig III, 68-70.
20. Biaute paree de valour (Mo)

## Tr: Troo plus est belle

"dicitur ad modum rondelli" (A); "Rondel" (B, C, G). Here only text of the refrain of the rondeau; neither the complete text, nor the melody known.
 No. 23, f. 12 (lost, but listed in index) B (f. 277'); Trém Rhythmic Structure: Tp. perf., prol. ma. (no modus). In view of the rondeau in the $T$, no isorhythm.

Notes: The $T$ must be completed in accordance with the rondeau structure since only the refrain is written down. m 6 Tr: 2nd note Mi in C (altera?); E has lst note Sb , 2nd Mi , and 4th note Sb (error). - m 16 Tr: E has an entirely different version: $a^{\prime} g^{\prime} a^{\prime}(3 \mathrm{Mi}), g^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb}) \mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Mi}) \mathrm{g}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$; it seems, however, that the omission of the initial $S b f^{\prime \prime}$ caused the error, for there are not enough tones for the syllables. mbMi, Sb Mi - has $\mathrm{d}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}^{\prime} \mathrm{b}$ (m 18), and bat b (m 19) as Sb Mi, Sb Mi。 - m $\overline{I g T r}$ in E 2nd and $3 \bar{r} d$ notes Sb (emror). $d^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb}) \mathrm{c}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}^{\prime}(2 \mathrm{Mi}) \mathrm{c}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb}) \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{Mi}) \mathrm{c}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb}) \mathrm{d}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}^{\prime}(2 \mathrm{Mi})$ : $\frac{d^{\prime}}{} c^{-1}(2 \mathrm{Sb})$ b (Mi) a (Br) (last a Br , not Sb as Irudwig reads) - m $\overline{3} 4$ Tr: 2 nd note Sb in $G$. - m 42 Tr: last not $\frac{a}{m}^{\prime} 48$ not $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}-\frac{m}{} 44$ Tri last note Mi in E (error). m 48 Mo : 3 ra note $c^{\prime}$ as $I$ in $E$, followed by $g$ sb and c'
L Inalis.
Edition: J. Wolf, Hdb. Not. I, 360 ff, (facsimile of E): of I ; Iudwig III, $\frac{\text { musikalische Schrifttafeln, pl. 23, facsimile }}{71-72}$,
21. Veni creator spiritus (Mo)

Tr: Christe, qui lux es
I: Tribulatio proxima est Co.

Verse of Resp. Circumdederunt me viri (Dominica passionis), Processionale Monasticu, Solesmis, 52.
Sounces: A (f. 434') $G\left(f, 122^{\prime}\right) ; E\left(f .145^{\prime}, N o .22\right)$;
$\operatorname{Vg}\left(\underline{\mathrm{I}} .280^{\prime}\right) ; B\left(f .278^{\prime}\right)$.

Rhythmic Structure: Introitus in mod. imp., tp. imp., prol. structure in $T$ and $\mathrm{Co:} 4$ taleae 48 Bri After Introitus isorhythmic imp., tp. imp. (T), and mod. maior imo. maior pere., mod. minor imp. (Co) (with prol. ma mod. maior imp., mod. minor perf., tp. each talea consists of 5 for the upper parts throughout); 60 Sb . Diminution: the measures of 12 Sb , i.e. a total of ( $t$ ), and mod , of 5 times 6 Sb . 2 colores. (Co), with the talea consisting

Notes: m 25-29 Tr: E has no pa set to the Ist note f'; the lig is cp sp, and the following notes f' $\mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ have the rhythm Sb Mi, Sb Mi: hence I Sb value is missing. -m 30 Mo : pa after I is missing in A and $G_{0}-m 44$ Mo: Iast note $f^{\prime}$ erroneously $S b$ in $G .-m 62$ Tr: 2 nd note $a^{1,}$ not $\mathrm{g}^{1}$ in . m 72 Tr: last note b', not $a^{\prime}$ in $E$. m IIT ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Co}$ : $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}}$ not g in A, $G_{0}-m 115-116$ Tř: passage beginning after lst gin in In 115 and the whole of In 116 omitted in E . $-\mathrm{m} 168^{\circ} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ missing in $G$. - m 170 Tr: after a' $^{\prime}$ (Sb) pasb missing in E. -- Mo.

Edition: Iudwig III, 71-78.
22. Plange, regni res publica (MO)

Tr: Tu qui gregem tuum ducis

T: Apprehende arma et $\frac{\text { scutum }}{\text { Melody }} \frac{\text { et }}{\text { not } \frac{\text { xurge. }}{\text { identified. }} \text {. }}$

Co.
Sources: $A\left(f, 435^{\prime}\right) ; \operatorname{Gi}^{A}\left(f .123^{\prime}\right) ; E(f .145$, No. 21);
Rhythmic Structure: Introduction in mod. perf., tp. perf., Isorhythm: 4 taleae (the 4 th incomolete) in meas, or 24 Br . imp., with prol. ma. in the incomplete in mod. perf., up. of 12 mod . measures, i.e. a total of 72 sb, the 4 , merely 8 measuros. No diminution. 2 colores; the 3 rd color, beginning with the 4 th talea, has merely ll tones of the $T$, melody.

Notes: In all Mss, the $T$ melody is written only twice, but A and $G$ repeat the words "Apprehende arma" which seens to indicate the reptition of the melody for the 3 rd (incomplete) color. - m 32-42 Co: tones 4-10 (not 8 as Judwig notes) of Co
a third too low in E. - Il 56 Tr: last note $c^{\prime}$, not $d^{\prime}$ in $A_{0}$ $m$ 56-57 T: g, not $f$ in $A_{0}-m 57 \mathrm{Mo}$ : Iudwig notes that last $c^{\prime}$ is erroneously $\bar{M} i$ in the Miss.; the note is correctly Sb in $A$ B E, possibly only in G. a Mi, but the note there stands at the end of the staff and the cauda is not quite clear. m 59 Mo: pausa not clear in E, if $\mathrm{I} .-\mathrm{m} 68 \mathrm{Tr}$ : E has $g^{\prime} g^{\prime} f^{\prime} e^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb} \mathrm{Mi}, \mathrm{Sb} \mathrm{Mi})$. - m 78 Tr : Ist note a , not b in E. $\frac{5}{m} \frac{59}{}-80$ Tr: $E$ has a different (not necessarily erroneous) version; m 79: Sb (perf.) Mi, pam, Mi; m 80: Sb Mi, Sb (perf.) ; the tones are the same as in other Mss. - m 92-93 T: tone is in A not e in G, but not in A, as Iudwig notes. - m 101-102 T: in A the note seems again to be g, not $f$ (see m 56-57). m 107 MO : pabr missing in E G B Vg. - m 128 Tr : E has a different version; the initial pam is omitted, hence the final Sb must be perfect. - m $148^{\text {G Tr: only }} A$ has b'b'; $E G B(V g)$ have $c^{\prime \prime} c^{\prime \prime}$.
Edition: Iudwig III, 79-81.
23. Inviolata genitrix (Mo)

Tr: Felix virgo
Co.
gementes et $\frac{A d}{f} \frac{\text { te }}{\text { ent }} \frac{\text { suspiramus }}{\text { melody }}$ Antiphon Sal $\frac{\text { Ientes. }}{\text { Ae }}$. Melody: $\frac{\text { Antiphon }}{\text { Antiphonale }} \frac{\text { Salve }}{2}$. Vegina $\frac{\text { misericordiae }}{56 \text {. }}$
Sources: $A\left(f .436^{\prime}\right) ; G\left( \pm, 124^{\prime}\right) ; V g\left(f, 282^{\prime}\right)$;
B (f. 280') Trém No. 75, f. 32 is not motet 23; see our
previous discussion.
Rhythmic Structure:
"Introitus" in mod. maior perf., mod. minor imp., tp. imp., prol. ma.
( 24 Br ), mod. maior perf., mod. minor perf., tp. imp., prol.ma ( 9 Br ), mod. maior imp., mod. minor perf., tp. imp., prol. ma. (6 Br), and $\dot{1}^{\prime}$ I finalis. Isorhythm:
3 taleae in mod. maior imp., mod. minor perf., tp. imp.

(
consisting of 72 Sb . In diminution, the same 3 taleae appear in mod. minor imp. tp. imp. ( 18 Sb ) and mod. minor perf., the perf. ( 18 Si ), with prol. ma, throughout in the upper parts. 2 colores. The tenor has the indication: "Nigre sunt perfecte et mabee imperfecte," black notes perfect red notes imperfect in $T$ and Co. Red notation in all Mss.
Notes: The transcription, particularly of the Introitus, present difers considerably from that of Ludwig which does not
m 10 Tr: last note $S b$ in $G$; error. -m 51-56 Mo: B (Vg?) and A seem to be the only Mss. that have the correct Version (Vg not checked; but if Iudwig's transcription is identical with $V g$, also $V$ g must have the error which does not only apply to m 53 and 55, as Ludwig and J. Wolf assumed, but to the whole passage; cf. Iudwig's renark to m $33^{\text {and }} 35$ of his transcription) ; from the second tone of $m 51$ on to the end of $m 56, B$ and $A$ have the passage a third lower than $G$ which apparently is identical with Vg . Since B is, however, an exact copy of Vg , it might be assumed that Vg has the passage also a third lower, and that Iudwig based his transcription on $G$, as did J. Wolf. The version of A B is correct. - m 73 Tr: last note Sb in $G$ g error. - m 171-172 Tr: Judwig's transcription shows, after lst note $g^{\prime}, d^{\prime} e^{\prime} f^{\prime} e^{\prime} d^{\prime} ;$ he assumes that the version of $\Delta$ is a third too high; but not only $A$, also $B$ and $G$ have $\underline{I}^{\prime} g^{\prime} \underline{a}^{\prime} g^{\prime} \underline{f}^{\prime}$ which is obviously correct. - m 194 Tr: last $\frac{1}{n o t e} \frac{a^{\circ}}{} \frac{\rho^{\prime}}{}$ not $e^{\prime}$ in $A$.

Edition: J. Wolf, GM II, No. 16: Iudwig III, 82-86.
24. De touz les biens (Mo)

Tr: Ii enscignement

T: Ecce tu pulchra es amica mea. Annunciatio B.M.V.,
such as $A B V g G$. For that reason any work not included in any of the Machaut Mss. must arouse suspicion. Stylistic reasons seen definitely to rule out Machaut's authorship. Quite apart from the fact that the oven, repetitive rhythm in Mo and Tr does in no way conform to the rhythm typical of Machaut; that also the nelodic style deviates fron Machaut's ways of writing, there is not a single motet anong the authentic works which presents the isorhythmic structure (extended schematically over all parts) in such a nechanical, unimaginative manner as appears in this motet. The type of the isorhythm speaks most strongly against the correctness of the attribution in Fr. Cf. also our previous discussion under Fr.

I7 1, 26, 51, 76, 101 Ir: the initial Sb , after pasb, is always Sba, not simplex as Zwick transcribes; the same alteration applies to the Sb in m 6, 8; 31, 33; 56, 58; 81, 83; 106, 108 of $T$ which are simplices in Zwick's transcription; furthemore, the rule of alteration applies equally to the Br , since the mod. is perfect; hence the Br,after pabr, at the end of all 5 taleae, $m 23$, 48, 73, 98, 123 of $\bar{T}$ must all be altered. -m I4 Tr: d' $B r$ is followed both in Iv and Fr by e' Mi, with pd; the transcription as plica by Zwick is not correct; this applies equally to $m$ 39, 64, 89, 114 of Tr in Fr, to $m 39,64$ of Tr in Iv. - m 20 Mo : Ist note $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in Iv. - m 21-22 Tr: Iv has the whole m 22 and the Ist $\bar{B} r$ in $m 23$ a third higher, $-m 24 \mathrm{MO}$ 3 rd note $c^{\prime}$ in Iv. - m 30 Tr: Ist note is d in Iv. - m 32 Mo : Ist note is $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in Iv. - m 36 Tr: Iv has 3 Sb . - m 39: d' (Br) , $e^{\prime}(M i)$ and $p d .-m 44$ Tr: 2nd note $f^{\prime}$ in Iv. - m $45 M O:$ 2nd note $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in Iv, - m 51-55 Ir: Iv has the whole passage a third higher. - m 56 Tr: Iv has d' ( Br ) and a (Sb). m 57 MO : in Iv $f^{\prime} e^{\prime}$. - m 63-65: from the 2 nd note in $m 63$ to the 2 nd note in $m 65$ Iv has the Tr a thrid higher; $m 64 \mathrm{e}^{1}(\mathrm{Br}) \mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$, not Br , Mi with pd. - m 69 Tr: 2nd note is d' in Iv, - m 69-70: 2nd note is c' in Iv. $m 70$ Tro ist note c', last note $e^{\prime}$ in Ivo - m $71-73 \mathrm{Mo}$ Iv has éf'g't. -m 83 T : e, not $\underline{f}^{\prime}$, in Ivo - m 89 Tr : in $I_{V} g^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Br}^{2}\right) \mathrm{g}^{i}(\mathrm{Sb})$, in $\mathrm{Fr}^{\prime} \mathrm{g}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br}) \mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ (Mi) with pd. m 95 Tr: last note $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ in Iv. -m 99 MO : last note $\overline{\mathrm{b}}$ in Iv̇. - m $104 \mathrm{Mo}: \overline{4} t h$ note $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ in Iv. - m 106 Tr: Iast note $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in Iv, - m 114 Tr: $c^{\top}\left(\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) \mathrm{c}^{\top}$ (Mi, and Pd) in Fr, Br and Sb in Iv. - m 120 Tr: last nōte $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ in Iv.

Edition: Gabriel Zwick, Revue de Musicologie XXVII (1948), 53-57.

## Le commence la Messe de Nostre Dame.

## La Messe de Nostre Dame. (Title in Vg: "Ci commence la Niesse de Nostre Dame")

Sources: $A\left(F .438^{\prime}\right)$; $B\left(\mathbf{I}^{\prime} .281^{\prime}\right) ; \mathrm{Vg}\left(f .283^{\prime}\right)$; G(f. I25') ; $E\left(f .164^{\prime}\right)$. Complete with 6 movements: Kyrie, Gloria, Credo, Sanctus, Agnus Dei, Ite Missa est. Only Ite missa est also in Padua, Biblioteca univers., Ms. 1475 (PadA), f. "44" (fragment). Designation of voices in all sources: Tr, Mo, T, Co.
I. Kyrie (I) (m I-27), three times; Christe (II) (m 28-49), three times: Kyrie (III $a / b)$ (m 50-66 and 67-95).
T: Melody Kyrie Cunctipotens genitor deus, Graduale, No. IV, In festis duplicibus 1., ed. Vat., *16. Rhythmic Structure: Mod. perf., tp. imp. Isorhythm: Kyrie (I) : 2 complete taleac, each consisting of 12 Lp , and the beginning of a 3 rd talea ( 3 Ip ), both in $T$ and Co; the talea of the $T$ is subdivided in 3 taleae ( 4 Jp ), hence in T 6 complete Taleae and the 7 th incomplete. Christe (II): 3 taleae, each consisting of 7 Ip, and 1 Lp Innalis; (with prol. min. in the upper parts). Tyrie IIIa: 2 taleae, each consisting of 8 Lp , and万. Ip finalis. - Kyrie IIIb: 2 taleae, each consisting of 14 Ip , and 1 Lp finalis; (with prol. min. in the upper parts of Kyrie III $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ ).
The underlaying of the words "Kyrie (Christe) eleyson" is Arregular in the sources; in Kyrie I "Kyrie" at the beginning, "eleysor" at the end; in Kyrie IIIa
"K.yrieleyson" only at the beginning of the Tr, no text in Mo, $T, C o ;$ in Kyrie IIIb "Kyrie" at the beginning, in Mo, T, Co; in Kyrie IIIb "Kyrie" at the beginning, and other variants.
Totes: m 5 Mo: in lig. ternaria b ( Br ) is inserted bevween $g$ and $a$; obviously an error. - $\dot{m} 11 \mathrm{Co}$ pabx missing in $G_{0}-m 11 \mathrm{No}: a^{\prime}$ omitted in $E$ - m l2 Tr: in $G$ a notula vacua ( $f^{\prime}, B r$ ) has been added at the beginning of the ligature, possibly by a later hand. - m 15 MO : lst note $c^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{E}_{0}-m$ 16-17 Mo: after $c^{\prime}$ palp in E. m 26-27 Co: e and din E. -m 30 Tr: $a^{\top}(M i)$ missing in B. - m 3I Tri lst note Mi in A. - m 3I Co: note in $E$ is correctly e, not d (de Van). - m 37 Tr: 2nd note a' instead of b, in A. - m 38 Mo : the last 4 notes $\underline{b}^{\frac{1}{1}} a^{1} g^{1} f^{\prime}$
 are all Sb , instead of Mi in $\mathrm{E} .-\mathrm{m} 38-63 \mathrm{Co}$ from M
to the end of the staff in the Ms . a third too low in E. - m 45 Tr: 3 rd and 4 th notes omitted in $B$. -
-94-
-m 48 Trs 2nd note a', not g' $^{\prime}$ in E. - m $48 \mathrm{~T}:$ pabr omitted in E. - m $64^{-T r}$ : Ist and 2nd notes é d', 5th note $c^{\prime}$ in $E$. - $m$ ' 71 'r: $B$ has after lst note $\bar{a}^{\prime}$ pam, and pasb after first $e^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$. - m 71 Mo : $G$ has at (Br) f (Li), pasb, $g^{\prime}(S \bar{b}), f^{\prime} d^{\prime}$ (lig cop) and in Co d (LpT, aria a pabr in B . - in $78-80 \mathrm{Co}$ : last note (m 78 ) f , followed by c $(\dot{\mathrm{Br}})$ e ( Li ) c $(\mathrm{Br})$ d ( Li ) d ( Lp ) iñ E. - m 79 Mo: lest. note $2 \mathrm{Sb} \mathrm{f}^{-1}$ (sharp), instead of I, in $E$. - m 82 Tr : last note $e^{\prime}$, instead of d' in $G$ and $A$. m 86 Tri. first four notes are f' d' $\mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in E. -m $92-93 \mathrm{Tr}$ from peso to 2nd note in m 93 omitted in E. - m 93-94 Mo: m 93 entirely omitted in E, ond in m 94 da $^{\prime}(B r), c^{\prime}(\mathrm{Li})$.
II. Gloria.

Sources: $A\left(\mathbf{I}^{\prime} .440^{\prime}\right)$; $B\left(f .283^{\prime}\right) ; \operatorname{Vg}\left(f .285^{\prime}\right)$; G (f. I26') : E (f. 1651).
Rhythmic Structure: All measures minked $4 / 2$ have maximae in the M ss. otherwise, mod. imp. and perf. respectively $(2 / 2$ and $3 / 2)$, tp. imp., prol. min.
(2/2 and $3 / 2$ ), tp. imp. pros inturgical melody.
Notes: In 17 Mo: $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Lp}$ with pp) twice in E. - m 18 Tr: Notes: m $17 \mathrm{Mo:} \mathrm{d'} \mathrm{(Lp} \mathrm{with} \mathrm{pp)} \mathrm{twice} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{E}. \mathrm{-} \mathrm{~m} 18$ T $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br})$ missing in $\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{m} 23 \mathrm{Mo}$ : 2nd and 3 ra notes (g a Sb, instead of Mi in E. - m 34-39 Tr: from and note on to $m 39$ e third too high in $\mathbb{E} .-\frac{m}{} 42 \mathrm{Mo}$ : lst 2 notes erroneously lig cop in $G$. $-m 49$ I: Ist note $a$, not g in E. - m 5000 : last note e in E. - m 53 T: Instead of lst $g(B r), \frac{g}{g}($ lig cop $)$ in $E$ - $m 60 \mathrm{Co}$ o gr, not I in E. - $\quad$ 万IT: last note e in $E$. $-m 63$ T: 4 th note $e$ in E. - m 63 Tr: after lst nōte $\underline{f}^{\prime} \underline{e}^{\prime} \underline{f}^{\prime} \underline{d}^{\prime}(a l l \mathrm{Mi})$ in E. -m 65-66 Tr: pausa and é (Li) missing in B. -m 69-71 T: a third too high in $G .-m 79 \mathrm{Mo}$ : 3 rd note $\frac{b}{2}$, not $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ in $G$. - m 79 T: 3 ra and 4 th notes d e in E. - m $8 \overline{2} T:$ c Li in $E$, but corrected. - m 86-87 T: Iast note in 86 g , añd Lp in 87 a in E. - m 95 Mo and Co: b in Mo and e in Co missing in E . - m IOIT: 2nd note a in E. - m 108 Mo: last note g in E. - m 108-109 T. E has c © d c, as lig quatemaria cpt op. - m 111 Mo: last note-a, not b in E. - m 112 Mo Ist note fin E. - m 112-113 T $\vec{r}$ : lig ternaria has $f^{\prime} \underline{d}^{\prime} e^{\prime}$
 m $115 \mathrm{~T}: ~ c 1 \mathrm{Br}$ in E. -m 118-119 Mo: a third too low in E. - $m^{-120: ~ 2 n d ~ n o t e ~ i n ~} T \mathrm{~g}$, and lst note in Mo $c^{\prime}$ in E. - m 121 T : 2nd noto 1 in E. Insteed of pa after 6th note, pam in $B$. Last note gin $G$. - m 122-123 T: lest note in $m$ 122, 2nd note in $m 123 c^{\prime}$ in $E$. m 124 T: 3 rd notic $c^{\prime}$ in E. In Mo pali and $d^{\prime} c^{\prime}$ (lig



In $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{d}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br}), \mathrm{d}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$ pasb, $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{Sb}), \mathrm{pasb},-m 127 \mathrm{Mo}$

III. Credo.
$\frac{\text { Sources: }}{G(f .1281)}\left(f .443^{t}\right) ; B(f .2861) ; \operatorname{Vg}(f .2881) ;$
G(f. I281); E (土. 1661).
Rhythmic Structure: Mod. imp., tp. imp., prol. min. (2/2), but the modus changes to mod. perf. frequently. Isorhythri: in Amen alone; in $T$ and Co: 3 taleac each con sisting of $12 \mathrm{Lp}(36 \mathrm{Br})$ in mod. perf., tp. imp. (with prol. min. in the upper parts); each talea in T and Co is subdivided in two additional taleae consisting of 6 Ip each; these taleae are interchanged between $T$ and $C o$ each; these taleae are interchanged between $T$ and $C o$
after 6 longa neasures. (I.T: $1+2 ; C o: 2+1 ;$ II.T: $1+2$ after 6 longa neasures; (I.T: $1+2 ; C 0: 2+1 ;$ II.T: $1+2$; Co: 2+1: III. T: $2+1$ (!); Co: $1+2$. .
No Apparently without liturgical melody.
Notes: In 1-3 T: in E the pausae at the beginning are missing; and the first 3 tones are e $£(B r)$, $c(L i)$. $m 3$ Tr: $e^{\prime} d^{\prime} c^{\prime} b(a l l ~ M i)$, $\frac{a}{d}(B r)$ in ${ }^{-}$. In Mo $G$ has ai
 m 8-12 Co: from 3rd note on through m 12 a third too low in $E$. $-14 T$ : 2nd note $£$ in $G$ and $A$. -16 Co: instead of $\frac{a}{B} c^{\prime}$ (lig cop), a (Br) in E. -m 23 Co: last note b
 II 26-28 Mo: a third too high in E. $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{m}} 38 \mathrm{Co}$ : in a after $\frac{g}{a}$ (Br) first b $\frac{a}{b}$ (lig cop sp) but then corrected to
 instead of $a^{\prime} f^{\prime}\left(l i g\right.$ cop), $a^{\prime} g^{\prime}$ (lig cpr sp) in B. II 43: Co lst note é, T 2nd note $\operatorname{Br}$ in E. - in 49 Co: lst note in $G$ and.$-m 55 \mathrm{Mo}$ : 2nd note b' in B. m $56 . C 0: \bar{d}^{\prime} f^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb}) \mathrm{e}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br})$ in $\mathrm{E} .-\mathrm{m} 73 \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ a ( Br ) missing in A. - m $8 \overline{0}$ Co: Ist note d in E. -m 82-84 Tr: from 3rd tone in $n 82$ through 2nd tone in m 84 a third too high in $E$. - 7186 Co: 4th note a in E. - In 88-89 Co: lest 3 notes in II 88 and Ip in mi 89 a third too high in B. m 96 Mo : instead of $f^{\prime} e^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Mi}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$, $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$ in E . $-\mathrm{m} 99 \mathrm{~T}^{\prime}$ : 1st 4 notes $c^{\prime} b$ c $\underline{b}$ (Mi) in $E$. $=$ m 100-101 $T$ : all notes of m 100, 1st note of m 101 a third too low in E. in 100 Co : 2nd and 3 rd notes Sb , and 3 rd note a in E . In loo-110 Mo: from the last note of ml 100 to the end the section (m IlO) the Mo brings the part of Tr in E. n 121 T : Ist note $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in B . - n 124-126 $\operatorname{Tr}$ : lst note of m I24 is br in E . Tr in B is a third too low through 1st note of m 126. - m 133-147 Tr: from 2nd note on for a whole new staff in Ms. (m 147) a third too low in B. In 137 Co : last note $g$ in $E .-n 138 \mathrm{Co}$ a a, instead of $\underline{\mathrm{b}}$, in E. - In 139: Tr, b, not a in G, and Co has Mi of Sb for the lst 3 notes in G. $-n 139-140$ Tr: from (m139) to d' (m 140) a third too low in B. - Il 151 Ir :

Br plicata in A and B. - n 168 Tr: pan missing in G. In 169 Mo: 2nd note a in B. - 180 Tr: first 5 notes in E are $e^{\prime} c^{\prime} e^{\prime} g^{\prime} \mathfrak{a}(M i,-S b, M i, S b, I p)$; the copyist confused lastnote of $n 178$, m 179, with beginning of $m$ 180. n 190 Tr: $c^{\prime} e^{\prime} \operatorname{lig} \operatorname{cop}$ in $\mathbb{E}$. - II 192-193 Co: a b c'a in E. - In 192 TE Ist note g in G .

## IV. Sanctus.

Sourcos: A (f. 4471): B (f. 290') : Vg (f. 292') ;
$\left.\frac{\text { Sourcos: }}{G(f .131}\right): \dot{E}(f .1691)$.
Rhythric Structure: Mod. perf., tp, imp., prol. min 3 Sanctus introductory to isorhythric organization, beginning with "doninus deus Sabaoth": 10 taleae, each consisting of 8 Lp in tp . izp., i.e. a total of 48 Sb , with the last talea incomplote.
T: Sanctus nelody of the Missa In dominicis Adventus et Quadragesinae, Graduale, od. Vat., No. 17.
Notes: 14 T : first 2 notes are L in B . - 1 Cl Co: 2nd note f in B. - II 13 Tr: 2nd note I in B. - Ii 14: Tr, last note $L$ in $B ;$ Mo, lst note $e^{\prime}$, not $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$, in $B$. - $1121:$ Tr, 4th note d' in E; Mo, the whole measure missing in $\mathbb{E}$. m 28 Tr : 4 th note $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$, not $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in A ; last note fl in G . -
 i.e. Br and I in E. - 44 Trs lst note $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{E} \cdot 4$ th and 5 th notes are $e^{\prime}$ and d' in A. - 12 51-52 T : first 2 notes lig cop in E . $=\mathrm{m} 52-\overline{5} 4$ Tr: scribe of E confused in 52 and 53; E has $8^{\prime} \underline{2}^{\prime} f^{\prime} f^{\prime} g^{\prime} \underline{e}^{\prime} b^{\prime}$; the rest is omitted; last b' is Br , instead of I . - $\overline{\mathrm{T}} 54-55$ Tr: Hissing in B. - II 55-56 Co: $£$ ed in I. - II 61 Tr: 4th note $g^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{E}$. - II 68 Mo 1st ñote el in E. - 69 Trs 3rd note ol in E. r1 71-72 Tr: last 4 notes in $n 71$ and note in 7172 a third too high in E. - I7 76-77 Mo: last note in In 76 and lst note in $n 77 \mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in G . - I1 79 Mo : $\mathrm{d}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ in B . n $86 \mathrm{Co}: \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{mi}} \mathrm{s} \operatorname{sing}$ iñ $\mathbb{E}$. - I 90 Co : pabr missing at the ond of the staff in $\bar{B}$.

## V. Agnus Dei.

Sources: A (f. 449i) B (f. 292') ; Vg (f. 294'); G(1.1321): E (f. 170).
Rhythric Structure: Mod. perf., tp. imp., prol. min. The isorhythmic organization does not include the 3 Agnus invocation; isorhythr: 1st (Agnus) qui tollis: 2 taleae, each consisting of 7 Lp , i.e. a total of 42 Sb and a It finalis; 2nd (Agnus) qui tollis 2 taleae, each consisting of 9 Ip , i.e. a total of 54 Sb and a I İin.lis; the isorhythr is extended to the upper parts.
T: hgnus melody of the Misse In doninicis adventus et Zuadragesinae, Graduale, ed. Vat., INo. 17.

Notes: In $B$ the 2nd invocation of Agnus is written first on f. 292', the first invocation on f. 293; the error is morked in the Mis. - In 4 Tr: pam, instead of pabr in B. m 11 Mo: 3rd note $e^{\prime}$, not d', in $B \cdot-$ n 11 T: pabr missing in $E .-\mathrm{n} 15 \mathrm{~T}: \mathrm{C}^{\dagger} \mathrm{Br}$ in $\mathrm{E}_{0}^{-}-12$ 15-21 Mo: from the last tone of $n 2$ to the end of tho lst. 15 gnus ( $n$ 2l) a third too high in E. - n 16 Tr : 3 rd note $\overline{f^{\prime}}$ in $\mathbb{E}$. - In 23 Mo : 2nd note $c^{\prime}$ in $G_{0}-$ - 23-24 Co: E hãs a- (Lp), pabr,
 fron in 28 through 4 th note of n 30 a third lower in E. n 33 Mo: $a^{\prime}$ ( Br ) followcd by pabr in and G. -m 36 Mo : pan onittod after 2nd note in $A \mathrm{~B}$ E. - $\quad 38-39 \mathrm{Mo}$ : a third higher in $G$. - 54 Tr: pan in $B$. - n 61: Tr, pan in $B$ T, first note I in B. - 64 Tr: pan in $G$. - n 65 Tr: last 4 notes are $g^{\prime} f^{\prime} \underline{c}^{\prime} f^{\prime}$ in A. - $\quad \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{Mo}$ : lst and 7 th notes wre in $G M i$ and $S b$ respectively.
VI. Ite misse est.

Sources: (f.45I); B (f. 294) ; $V g(f .296)$ G (f. l33'); E(f. 170); Pad A, f. "44" (oniy T complete: Co 5 measures; Mo 14 measures. Tr 11 measures).
Rhythmic Structure: Mod. perf., tp. imp., prol. min. Isorhythm: $\frac{1}{2}$ talcae, each consisting of 8 Ip in tp. imp., i.e. a total of 48 Sb , and I I finalis: in the second half of the talea the isorhythm is complete in all 4 parts. T: Sanctus melody of Missa. In festis duplicibus V, Graduale, d. Vat., No. 8; also molody of sntiphona ad Magnificat 0 quam suavis est, (In lesto Corporis Christi). Notes: in 5 Tr E has pasb, $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ (Sb), pasb, $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ (Sb), pasb and parn. - m 6 Ta 1 st note e, not $\pm$ in PadA. - m 10 Co: pabr missing in $G$. - m 11 tr last note $g^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{B}$. m 13 Tr: pasb, $g^{\prime}(S b)$, pasb, $\underline{d}^{\prime}(S b)$, porm in $E$. M 15: Tr, 3rd and 4th notes missing, in Mo pm missing in E. - m 16 Tr : $\mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{Br}$ in E .

Edition 1 . Ludwig IV, $2-20$. G. de Van, in Corpus
Monsurabilis Musicae 2 (Rome, 1949) ; J.'Chailley Messe
Notre-Dime dite du Secre de Charles $V$, एaris 1948:-
A. Machaboy, Mcsse Motre-Dame a uatre voix, Ifiege 1948; H. Hubsch, La Messe de Nostre Dame, Heidelborg 1953. There are numerous partial editions, with that of
Wolf Gid II, III containing the copy of G. Cf. Also Gastoue, Concert vocal historique, 1930.-Recordings of Mass movements are available in the edition of J. Chailley (Gramophone), of de Van (Anthologie sonore).

Double Hoquet. (Vg.B) David Hoquetus.
Sources: A (f. 451'); B (f. $331^{\prime}$ ) ; Vg (f. $334^{\prime}$ ); G (f. 163').

Parts: Triplum, Hoquetus ( $=\mathrm{H}$ ), Tenor.
Rhythmic Structure: Mod. perf., tp. perf. (no prol.). Isorhythm : 2 sections: A has 8 taleae, each consisting of 11 Lp in tp. perf., i.e. a total of 99 Sb ; B has 4 taleae, each consisting of 9 Lp in tp. perf. (the 4 th 8 Lp ), i.e. a total of $81 \mathrm{Sb} .-4$ colores; A has 3 colores with 8 taleae, B 1 color with 4 taleae.

T: Melody: end of Vs of Alleluja Nativitas gloriose virginis (Solemnitas gloriose virginis), Graduale, ed. vat., 237*

Notes: The composition is written with the semibrevis being the smallest value. If the Lp is reduced to $3 / 4$ ( $=9 / 8$ ), the tp. perf. would equal the prol. ma. Since such a reduction seems to distort the stylistic, hence chronological implications inherent in the notation, the transcription should convey the tp as the smallest rhythmic unit; hence $3 / 4$ for the tp. perf., $9 / 4$ for the Lp.

The voices are designated in the Mss. as "David Triplum" "David Hoquetus", "David Tenor".

Since G has considerable lacunae (the Ms. is damaged), the transcription must be based largely on $A ; B$ is also incomrlete. m l-26 H: missing in G; part begins with m 27 in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{o}}$ - m 12 Tr : the last tone (of a lig obliqua) seems to be $\underline{d}^{\prime}$ in $G$, but is clearly $e^{\prime}$ in $A$ and $B$. - II 37 H : first notes g'f' in G lig cpt, -instead of cop. m $39 \mathrm{H}:$ in G pali, ${ }^{2}$ instead of palp. - m 43 H : last note $\underline{e}^{\prime}$ in $G$. - m 44 T : palp missing in $G$. - m 45 H : last note $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ in $A$. - m 67ff. T: missing to the end in $G$. m 74 Tr: last note $f^{\prime}$ in $B$, $e^{\prime}$ in A. - m 79 Tr: pabr instead of pasb in $\bar{G}$. - m loI Tr: pasb, $c^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br})$, d' ( Sb ) in $\mathrm{B} .-\mathrm{m} 104 \mathrm{Tr}$ : pausa missing in $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$. - m 104 H : last note $e^{\prime}$ (L) in $G$, but $\underline{d}^{\prime}$ in $A$ is correct.
Edition: G. de Van, Les Monuments de l'Ars Nova, Editions de $\frac{1}{1}$ Oiseau-Lyre, 1938; (also recording); Ludwig IV, $2 \overline{1}-23$.

1. S'Amours ne fait

2 v ; Can, T.
Sources: A (f. 454); B (f. 294'); Vg (f. 2961); C (f. 157'); G (f. 134); E. (f. 147). Text only: M, No. l.

Rhythm: 82 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma. - No. 1 is the only ballade that has isorhythmic structure.
Isorhythm: taleae differ in couplets and epilogue; 2 taleae (couplets), each consisting of $14 \mathrm{Br} ; 3$ taleae (epilogue), each consisting of 16 Br ; isorhythm is applied to Can and T.
Notes: $m$ l Can: pa after lst note missing in $\mathbb{E} .-m 8$ Can: 3rd note $\underline{c}^{\prime}$ in A, b in B.C E G. - In 38 Can: pam missing in A. - m 54 Can: pam missing in $\mathrm{A} .-\mathrm{m} 62 \mathrm{~T}$ : lig cpt sp in $G$.

Edition: Collection de Mr. Bottée de Toulmon: Archives curieuses de la musique, II, 1; J.Wolf, GM II, No.23; Iudwig I, 1 .
2. Helas! tant ay dolour

2 v ; Can, T .
Sources: A (f. 454'); B (f. 295); Vg (i. 297); C (f. 158); G (f. 134'); E (f. 151, No. 15). Text only: M, No. 2.

Rhythm: 63 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: m 17 .Can: 2nd note Mi in A. - m 19 Can: last note Mia in $A$.

Edition: Ludwig I, 2.
3. On ne porroit penser

3 v; Can, T, Co.
Sources: A (f. 455, 2 v); B (f. 295', 2 v , but 2 staves vacant and marked"Iriplum"); Vg (f. 297', 2 v ,
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but according to Iudwig, with vacant staves for Tr) ; C (f. 158', 2 vi, 3 staves in the left column vacant, marked "Triplum"); G (f. 134!, 2 v); E (f. 148', No. $7,3 \mathrm{v}$, with Co , not $\operatorname{Tr}$; beginning of Co missing). Text only: Louange des Dames, No. 7; M, No. 3.

Rhythm: 55 measures in tp. imp., prol. min.
Notes: Initial 8 measures of the Co are missing. - m 2 Can: lst note $e^{\prime}$ in C. - m 4 T: a, not $g$ in $E$. - m 7 T: Ist note g , not $£$-sharp, as Sb with pa in $\mathbb{E} .-\mathrm{m}$ 25 ff . Co: after $f$ (longa finalis) not pausa (Ludwig) but finis punctorum in E. For the "clos" the scribe of E writes (m 27f*) b (Sb), ag (2 Mi), $£$ (Sb; longa in
 m is a third too result of change of clef, the Co in E is a third too low, including lst note of.m 35, which has a flat despite being g; from the 2nd note of m 35 on the pitch is correct. - m 37 Co : $\Theta$ Mi with pa in $\mathbb{E}$, but the cauda is cancelled. - m 40 Cän: last note $e^{\prime}$ in A C G E, but d in B (Vg). - m 43 T : longa, instead of Br in A .

Edition: Iudwig I, 3.
4. Biaute qui toutes autres pere

3 v ; Can, T, Co
Sources: A (f. 455', 2 v ); B (f. 296, 2 v ); Vg (f 298, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{v}\right) ; \mathrm{C}(f .159,2 \mathrm{~V}) ; \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{f} .135,2 \mathrm{v})$; $\mathbb{E}(\mathrm{f} .1521$, . $20,3 \mathrm{v}$ ); Trem, 1.41, No. 97 (lost, but listed in index). Text only: M, No. 4; Louange des Dames, J, No. 15; Westm., f. 26, Pen, No. 168.

Rhythm: 39 measures in tp. perf., prol. min. in $\operatorname{Tr}$ and Co; m 9-14, 22-23, 31-36 of the T, however, are in tp imp., prol. min. These insertions are written in all Mss, but $B$ and $E$ in red notation; $B$ has notulae vacuae, E the time signatures instead of red notes. The change rom black to red notation indicates the change of tempus, rather than modus, although in all these insertions 1 measure in mod. imp., tp. perf. of Tr and Co equals 1 measure in mod. perf., tp. imp. of the $T$. Ludwig's transcription does not convey the chance of tp.; based on the assumption of modus, it has 1 conflicting measure (in 3/4) and an arbitrary pausa generalis (see below); the unit of the measure is, however, the brevis throughout, not the longa.

Notos：in 15：Can：1st noto Br，and no pasb in $A$ ：$T$ ： tho signaturo of tpo porf．in $E$ aftor the pamp orror． －m l8 T ：d in＂clos＂missing in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$－m 28：in all voicos tho broves aro followod by tho sign of marking the

Edition：Ludwig I， 4 ．
5．Richos d＇amour ot mondians
2 v ； $\mathrm{Can}, \mathrm{T}$.
Sourcos：A（f． $456,2 \mathrm{~V}): B(f .2961,2 \mathrm{~V}$ ，but 2 staves vacant for＂Triplun＂）； Vg （ 1 。 2981， 2 V ，but with vacant stavos for Tr according to Ludwig）；C（f．159！ 2 V but 4 vacant staves in loft column for＂Triplum＂）； $G(f, 13512 \mathrm{v})$ ：E（f． $153^{1}, ~ N o, 23,2 \mathrm{v}$ ）。 Toxt only：


Reytam： 57 moasures in ty．imo，prol．min． 5 no modus． Ludwig＇s trancription，based on modus，shows changos from mod．porf．to mod．imp．which are not borne out by the original notation．
Notos：$I$ I Can：pa missing in $C$ and $E$ ．$m 6$ Can： Br in C．－m 20 T：last notc Sb in $\mathrm{G}_{0}$－m 23－25 T：at the ropotition of lig for the＂clos＂the pa（aftor g）mis－ sing in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$－ $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ 45：sign of marking tho rofrain which Iudwig hore doos not road as a rost．

Edition：Iudwig I， 5 ．

## 6．Doulz amis

2 v：Can，T．
Sourcos：A（f．4561）；B（f．297）；Vg（f．299）；C（f． 160）；G（f． $135^{\prime}$ ）：E（f． $\left.154, \mathbb{N O}^{2} 24\right)^{\circ}$ ．Toxt only：M， NO．6，PCN，IVO。 155 ．

Rovtion： 27 moasures in tp．imp，prol．min．On tio Rhyth： 27 moasuros in tpo mimpor of tho $T$ and of tho appoarance of tho longa ovon basis of tho I and of tho appoarance of tho modus is in tho Can，modus ilust bo rocognizod． 100 modus is basically porfuctus，with the excoption of m 6－7 and 22－23．Which nust be transcribod in mod．inp．Ludwig also recognizod tho shift in mod．but placed it wronglys ho transcribod 1 moasuro as $4 / 2$ which doos not corrospond witr a modus，unloss wo assumo tio modus haximarump as a rosult of misplacing tho shift of mod．tho longac
finales at the end of the verses come all in Ludwig＇s transcription at the end of the measures which also conflicts with underlaying the text in the Mss．

Notes：m 11 Can：after the 7th note（b－flat，Mi） ABC E have b－flat（Mi）a（Sb）g（MiJ，i．e．the value of 1 Bri too much；$G$ ，however，has b－flat（Mi）a（Sb） \％（Mi）in place of the last group $c^{\top} b$ a（Mi， $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Mi}$ ）． There seems to be an error（ $G$ has erāsure at that place）．It is strange，of course，that all Mss，have the error，except for $G$ which has the exact number of Br．If we accept the additional group b a g for the Can，the $\mathbb{T}$ must obtain an additional．$\overline{B r}$－value；this could be achieved by taking $e$ in $m l 0$ as Bra，provided the modus is perfect；the additional Br，however，would make the modus imperfect，hence eliminates alteration of Br．Perhaps the ligature in $m 10$ is erroneous and should be read cum perfectione；if so，the measures 10－11 must be in mod．imp．and correspondingly divided in 3 measures；reading the lig as perfect eliminates also the parailels in octaves at the beginning of m 11 ． Since the passage in the $T$ is，however，identical in m 11 and 24，we eliminated the group $b$ a $g$ in the Cantus as erroneous．－m 20 Can：instēad of ag（2 Mi； 3rd and 4 th notes）A has only a（Sb）．

Edition：Ludwig I，5－6．

7．J＇aim mieus languin
2 v；Can，T．
Sources：A（ $£ 457,2 \mathrm{~V}$ ，but 2 vacant staves for ＂Triplum＂）；B（ $1.2971,2 \mathrm{~V} ; 2$ vacant staves for Tr）； Vg （f． $299^{\circ}$ ， 2 V ；also vacant staves according to Ludwig）；C（f． 160 ： 2 v ；with 3 vacant staves in left column for＂Triplum＂）；$G$（f．136， 2 V ）；E（F．154＇， No．26， 2 v ）．Text only： P ．No．7；Westm．，f．21＇．

Rhythm： 40 measures in tp．imp．，prol．ma．
Notes：m 2 Can：lst note Sb in $G$ ；last note Mia in B．－ m 3 T：E has the lig sp．－m 5 T：a instead of g in E．－ m 10 Can：since 2nd note is Mi in $G$ ，the Can reads g＇ $f^{\prime} \mathrm{g}^{\prime}(3 \mathrm{Mi}) \mathrm{c}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb}$ perf．）in $\mathrm{G} .-\mathrm{m} 33 \mathrm{Can}$ ：2nd note Sb in $B(V g)$ and $E$ ．
8. De desconfort

2 V ; Can, T .
Sources: A (f. 457'); B (f. 298); Vg (f. 300); C (f. 161); G(1.1361); E (f. 154', No. 27). Text only: M, No. 8, Pen, No. 156.

Rhythm: 49 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma. Despite the occurrence of 5 longae in the $T$, no modus is recognizable in the original notation. The acceptance of the modus leads to those frequent shifts from mod. imp. to mod. perf. that appear in Iudwig's transcription. The Br is clearly the unit of the measure.

Notes: m 1 Can: the pa after the lst note missing in C and E. - m 7 Can: the pd after Sb appears in BVg C E. - m $45^{-}$Can: lst and 3 rd notes Mi in $G$.

Edition: Ludwig I, 7-8.
9. Dame, ne regardes pas

2 v ; Can, T .
Sources: A (f. 459, No. 10); B (土. 298'); Vg (f. 300');

Text only: $\mathbb{M}$, No. 9 ; Louange des Dames, No. 10 .
Rhythm: 65 measures in tp. perf., prol. min.
Notes: m 53 Can: last note $\underline{b}$, not $\underline{c}^{\prime}$ in A .
Edition: Ludwig I, 8 .
10. Ne penses pas

2 v ; Can, $\mathbb{T}$.
Sources: A (f. 459', No. 11, 2 v ); B (f. 299, 2 v ); Vg (f. $301,2 \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{C}^{\prime}(\mathrm{I} .162$, with' 5 vacant staves in the right column for a "Contratenor"); $G$ ( $f .137$ ', No. 11, 2 v ); E (土. 156', Np. 35, 2 v). Text only: M, No. 10.

Rhythm: 57 measures; couplets and epilogue are, except for the refrain, in tp. perf., prol. ma. The refrain is marked by a different rhythmic organization which conforming to the modus operates with longa, Br and

Sb ; there is no prolatio; the modus shifts from imperfect to perfect; tp. perf. The transcription has for the first part $9 / 8$, for the refrain $6 / 4$ and $9 / 4$. The changes of mode are not absolutely clear; II 50 and 54-47 (end) appear to be in mod. perf., the remaining measures in mod. imp.

Notes: in 8 Can: all Mss., including $G$, have last note as Mia. - m $43 \mathrm{~T}: \mathrm{B} \mathrm{Vg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{G} \mathbb{E}$ have all after $g$ the pd which is missing in A only. - m 54 Can: 4th note correctly Sb in B C G $\mathbb{E}$, while A has Mi with the cauda afterwards cancelled (Irudwig notes for $V g$ correction by erasure). Text: only 1 strophe.

## Edition: Ludwig I, 9.

11. N'en fait n'en dit

2 v ; Can, T.
Sources: A ( $£ .460,2 \mathrm{~V}$, with 2 vacant staves for
"Triplum"); B (f. 299', 2 v, with 2 vacant staves for "Triplum"); $\mathrm{Vg}(\dot{\mathrm{f}}, 301 \mathrm{i}, 2 \mathrm{~V}$, with vacant staves for Tr according to Iudwig); C (f. $1621,2 \mathrm{v}$, with 4 vacant staves in left column for "Triplum"); $G$ ( $f$ : 138 , No. $12,2 \mathrm{v}) ; \mathbb{E}(\mathrm{f} .148$, No. $6,2 \mathrm{v}$ ). Text only: M, No. 11, Pen, No. 152.

Rhythm: 19 measures in mod. perf., tp. imp., prol. min. The mod. is clearly recognizable; it is maintained without change for the entire composition

Notes: m l Can: 3rd note Sb in $\mathbb{E} .-\mathrm{m} 9$ Can: Ludwig remarks for Vg that the scribe erroneously cancelled the cauda of the lst longa; in $B$, however, there is no cancellation and none was needed, since the scribe recognized the correction. m 17 Can: according to Ludwig the 2nd note is Sb in Vg ; the note is correctly Mi in B. - m 11 and 16: Ludwig's transcription has pausae breves which should be eliminated; instead, the longae finales should be perfect. The Mss. have signs similar to those ol rests; but in $m 11$ the sign is the same as at the "ouvert" and "clos"; and in m 16 the sign is either omitted (C) or written as finis punctorum through 3 spatia (A). In both cases the signs indicate the end of the verse and the beginning of the refrain, but. not pausac. - Iudwig transcribed all unisons (2 Mi or Sb and Mi in all Mss.) as 1 Sb and 1 Sb with pa respectively; cf.m 3 Can: list $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$ should be 2 c Mi; also m 4, m 5 ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ' dotted $\mathrm{Sb}^{-}$- it should be $\underline{d}^{\prime} \mathrm{Sb}$,
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$d^{\prime}$ Mi), m 6 (beginning and end), m 9, 10 (beginning and end) and $m 18$ (beginning); only lst note of $m 17$ is also in Mss. Sb with pa. If the unisons were not desired, 1 Sb for $2 \mathbb{M i}$ would certainly have been used.

The refrain "tant com je vivray" is textually the lst line of the refrain in Rondeau No. 15 of Adam de la Hale.

Edition: Ludwig I, 10.
12. Pour ce que tous mes chans

## 2 V ; Can, T.

Sources: A. (f. 460', No. $13,2 \mathrm{v}$ ); B (f. 300, 2 V , but with 2 vacant staves for "Triplum"); Vg (f. 302, 2 V , with vacant staves for Tr according to Iudwig); C (f. $1621,163,2 \mathrm{v}$; with I vacant staff in right column on $f 162^{\prime}$ and 3 more staves on $f .163$ for "Priplum"); G (f. $138^{\prime}$, No. $13,2 \mathrm{v}$ ); E (f. $155^{\prime}$, No. 31, 2 v ). Text only: M, No.12.

Rhythm: 27 measures in mod. perf., tp. imp., prol. ma. The mode is clearly recognizable: only once' is the mod. perf. ( $3 \times 6 / 8$ ) interrupted, in the "ouvert", m 8-10, which must be read in mod. imp. ( $2 \mathrm{x} 6 / 8$ ). 'Ludwig's transcription maintains mod. perf. throughout, but the finalis in the "ouvert" appears on the 2nd brevis of the measure and the. finis punctorum is transcribed as pabr.

Notes: $m$ l Can: 3rd note $M i$ in C. - m 2 Can: 2nd note Sb, followed by pasb in C. - m 4 T: B, not $c$ in $E .-m$ 6 Can: b (Br) is followed by b (Mi) and 2 pam in $A$; the other Mss. have the 2 pam before b (Mi). -m 7 Can: lst note is $c^{\prime}$ in $A$ and $G$; in $A$ the $2^{-}$pam follow the 2 nd not the $\overline{3} r d$ note. - $m$ ll-l2 T: Ludwig notes that the 2 measures are missing in A, but they are actually not 2 measures are missing in A, but they are actually omicted. - $m$ ign indicates the end of the verse, not pausa. - m Sign indicates the end of
22 Can: 2nd note $M i$ in $G$.

Refrain: se je chant mains que ne sueil: text and melody quoted in Can. Text and melody (but with melismatic insertion) in chace "Se je chant mains que ne suel" (Ivrea, No. 66; Picardie 67, No. 6). Text of refrain (without melody) in Virelai, Oxford, Douce 308, f. 225; cf. Fr.Gennrich, Rondeaux, Virelais und Balladen, No. l7i. Refrain text with different melody $\frac{\text { quolladen, }}{\text { quoted }}$ at the
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beginning of Motetus in Motet Montpellier, No. 277. Edition: Ludwig I, 10-11.

13. Esperance qui m'asseure

2 V ; Can, T.
Sources: A (f. 461, No. 14); B (f. 300'); Vg (f. $302^{1}$ ); C(f. $163^{\prime}$ ); G (f. $138^{\prime}, ~ N o .14$ ); E (f. $\left.147^{1}, ~ N o .3\right)$. Text only: M, No. 13, Pen, No. 162.

Rhythm: 36 measures in tp. perf., prol. min. No modus. Ludwig's transcription in mod. imp. With inserted brevis measures is not justifiea.
Notes: $m 2 \mathrm{~T}$ : the reading of the note as b or a is dubious in $G .-m$ II Can: only $A$ has pd af̂ter $\bar{B} r$. - m 14 Can: last 2 notes 2 Sb in C and $G$.

Edition: Ludwig I, 12.
14. Je ne cuit pas
2.v; Can, T.
 C(f.163'); G(土.139, No. 15); E (f. 147', No. 4). Text only: M, No. 14; Louange des Dames, No. 175.

Rhythm: 41 measures in tp. perf., prol. min.
Notes: m $7 T$ instead of $p p$ after $f$, a pasb in $E_{f}-m$ IO Can: lst note Sb in $\mathrm{A} .-\mathrm{m} 22 \mathrm{~T}$ f in $G$. - m 33 Can: $\underline{f}^{\prime} \underline{e}^{\prime} \underline{d}^{\prime} \underline{e}^{\prime}$ (SbMi Sb Mi) in $G$.

Edition: Ludwig I, 12-13.
15. Se je me pleing

2 v ; Can, T.
Sources: A (f. 462, No. 16); B (f. $\left.301^{\prime}\right)$; $V g\left(f .303^{\prime}\right)$; C (f. 164); G (f. 139', No. 16 ); E (f. 156, No. 33). Text only: M, No. 15, Pen, No.

Rhythm: 60 measures in tp. imp., prol. min. No modus. Despite the notation in groups of Br. the transcription
－ 107 －
of Ludwig shows a combination of mod．imp．and perf．
Notes：In 2 T ：last note Sb in $\mathbb{E} .-\mathrm{m}$ 51：the sign after the notes should not be interpreted as a rest，since it merely marks the following refrain．

Refrain：＂Ma dame m＇a congie＂and initial verse＂Se je me pleing＂are quoted（in this order）with text and meoldy of Machaut in Cantus of abllade＂Ma dame m＇a meongie＂，Chantilly 1047，f． 141 ．

Edition：Iudwig I，13－14．
16．Dame，comment qu＇ame．z
2 V ；Can，T．
Sources：A（f．462＇，No．17）；B（f．302）；Vg（f．304）； C（土．1641）；$G(f .1391, ~ N o .17) ; ~ E(£ .154, ~ N o . ~ 25) . ~$ Text only： M ，No．16；Louange des Danes，No．182．

Rhythm：32 measures in mod．perf．，tp．perf．The Sb is only 4 times divided in 2 Mi ，hence no prol．The rhythm is the same as in the refrain of bailade No． 10 ．
Notes：m 2 Can：5th note Mi in E．－m 5 T ：last note a of the lig quaternaria missing in G．－m 20 Can：lst note Br in $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{Vg})$ ．－m 21 Can：2nd note Sb in $\mathrm{G} .-\mathrm{m}$ 26：all Mss．have here 2 pabr，hence transcription as pausae necessary．

Edition：Ludwig I， 15.

17．Sanz cuer m＇en vois－Amis，dolens－Dame，par vous 3 v （canon）， 3 ballade texts in 3 v ．
Sources：A（f．458，No．9）；B（f．302 ${ }^{\prime}$ ）；Vg（f．304＇）； C（f．198，No．18）；G（f．1361，No．9）；E（f．149，No． 10）．Text only：M，No． 17 ；Louange des Dames，J No． 111 112，113．Pen，No． $169,170,171$.

Rhythr： 24 measures in mod imp．，tp．perf．，prol．min． The pausae as well as the groups indicate the modus．

Notes：Ballade II（B．II）：the pausae at the beginning missing in A；Ballade III（B III）：pausa missing in all Mss．－m 2 B III：1st note Br in A ，but corrected．－ m 3 B III：4th note is Mi in G．－m 4 B II：after g
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（Br） $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{b}^{\prime} \mathrm{b} \mathrm{c}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}^{\prime}(\mathrm{all} \mathrm{Mi})$ in $G \cdot-\mathrm{m} \cdot 8-12 \mathrm{~B}$ III：from the last $3^{-}$notes in m 8 through $m 12$ a third too high in G．－ 19 B I：last 2 notes missing in $A$ ．－m 21 B II： last 2 notes again missing in $A$ ．－m 22 B III：after 3rd note pam in $G$ ．

Edition：Ludwig I，16－17．

18．De petit po
4 V ；Tr，Can，T，Co．
Sources：A（土．463，No．18；Tr，Can，T）；B（ $£ .303^{\prime}$ ； Tr，Can，T）；Vg（ $1.305^{\prime}$ ；Tr，Can，T）；C（f．ig9，No． 19；Tr，Can，T）；G（f． 140 ，No． 18 ；Tr，Can，T）；E （ $\mathrm{f}, 147, \mathrm{No} .2$ ；Tr．Can，T）；Ch（f．18＇；Can，T，Co）； CaB（f． $15 ; \mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{Can}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co})$ ；Fl（f． 100 ；Can，T，Co） P（f． $\left.124^{\prime} ; \mathrm{Can}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co}\right) ; \operatorname{Mod}\left(\hat{\mathrm{I}}, 2 \dot{7} ; \mathrm{Tr}^{2}, \mathrm{Can}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co}\right)$ $\operatorname{Pr}\left(1.259^{i}, N 0.32 ;\right.$ Can，T）；Trérn（f．21，No．45； lost，but listed in index）．Text only：M，No．18；I f． $19^{\prime}$ ；Jardin de Plaisance，f． 66.

Rhythm： 43 measures in tp．perf．，prol．min．
Notes ：Only the Machaut Mss．，Ch and Mod have the complete text of the ballade，while P Fl，Pr have merely the incipit at the beginning of the can；since CaB is damaged，the number of strophes originally written cannot be established with certainty．－
m 2 Can：last note Mi in C．－m 2 Co：notes 2，3， 4 Mi Sb Mi in P．－m 8 Can：lst note Sb in G Pr．－m 8 Tr： lst note $\underline{f}^{\prime}$ in Mod．－m 9 Co：lst note Mi with pam following in P．－m 10 Co：notes $1,3 \mathrm{Sb}, 2,4 \mathrm{Mi}$ in Fl． －m 11 Can：d＇e＇d＇c＇（ $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Mi}, \mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Mi}$ ）in $P$ ．－m 12 Co ： 2nd note Sb ，without parn following in P．－m 12－13 Tr： a third higher in $A$ ．－m $12-14 \mathrm{Co}$ ：pam， $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}}$ a b（flat）

 2 pam．－m 15 Can：pasb missing in FI．－－In 20 Co ： notes 2， 34 b a g in Fl；2nd note b in Mod；the whole measure missing in Ch．－m 23 and 25 Can：instead of $g^{\prime} g^{\prime}$（Sb，Mi）m 23，d＇d＇${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Mi}$ ）m $25, \mathrm{~g}^{\prime}$ and d＇as Sb with pa in $F l$ ．－ $\bar{m} \overline{3} 0 T$ instead of $1 \frac{1}{s t}$ note $\bar{g}$（Sb）， $\frac{2}{31}$ Co：notes 2， 4,6 a c：a in Fl．－m 34 Can d d （Br） $c^{\prime}(2 \mathrm{Mi})$ in Mod；＇d＇$(\mathrm{Br})^{\prime} c^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$ in $p$ Can： $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$（ Br ） 35 T：last note missine in $G^{-}$（ Sb ）in $P$ and Ch．－ m instead of $e^{\prime} d^{\prime}(2 \mathrm{Mi})$ in $\mathrm{Pr} .-\mathrm{m} 40-41 \mathrm{Co}$ 年 $\operatorname{notes} 3$ and 4：instead of b 2 Mi，b 1 Sb in m 40 ；in m 41 g （Br）
and $f(\mathrm{Sb})$ ． $\mathrm{m} 43 \mathrm{Co}:$ the finalis in Mod Sb and 2 pasb， i．e．in the same manner as the finalos in＂ouvert＂ （m19）and＂clos＂（m 22）．
Edition：J．Wolf，GM II／III，No．24；Ludwig I，18－19； Friedrich Kammerer，Die Musikstucke des Prager Kodex XI E 2 （1931），164－165，and p．33f．

19．Amours me fait desirer
3 v ；Can，Tr，T．
Sources： $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{f} .463^{1}\right)$ ； $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{f} .304)$ ； Vg （f．306）；C（f．186t， NO．17）；G（f． 1401 ）；E（f：152，No．18， 2 V ）${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$（土。155， No． $29,3 \mathrm{v}$ ）．Text only： M, No． 19 ；Louange des Dames， No． 177 ；Pen，No． 115.

Rhythm： 34 measures in tp．perf．，prol．min．
Notes：m 3 Can：4th note Mi in E（No．18）．－m．6－7 Tr： missing in G．－m 22 Can：last note g＇in A．－m 26 Tr ： Ludwig notes that the lst note is Sb ；but it is correctly Mi in B 。－m 29 Tr ：with the cauda downwards，the lig is c pr in $\mathrm{G}_{0}$
Edition：Ludwig I， 20.
20．Je sui aussi com cilz
3 v ；Can， $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co}$ 。
Sources：A（f．464， 2 V ）；B（f． $304^{\prime}, 2 \mathrm{~V}$ ）；Vg（f． $306{ }^{1}$ $\left.\frac{\text { Sources：}}{2} \mathrm{~V}\right) ; \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{f} .1991,2 \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{f} .141,2 \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{f} .1521$, No．19，
$3 \mathrm{v})$ ，Text only：M，No．20．

Rhytham：In accordance with the notation of the $T$ ，modus is accepted for the couplets，but not for the epilogue． 4 measures：m l－16 in mod．imp．，tp．imp．，prol．min．$(4 / 4) \mathrm{m}$ $17-48$ in tp．imp．，prol．min．＇$(2 / 4)$ ．

Notes：m l2 Co：lst note erroneously longa in $E_{\text {。 }}$－m 23： after Br follows in all Mss，the sign of pabr which Ludwig indeed transcribes as pausa generalis；but the same sign also occurs after＂demour＂（m 29），longa，and before the refrain（m 35），which Ludwig does not take to be pausa．We have interpreted all 3 signs as indicative of verse ending and beginning of refrain respectively． －m 25 Co：and note is in E．m 26 Co ：lst note f is Mi in $E_{0}-m 28$ Co：note is d，not $e$ in

E．－m 29 Can：note is Br in E．－m 41 Co：the 2nd note is followed by 2 pam，with a pd placed in between the c pausae．－m 45－48 Co：missing；we have supplied emendation in analogy with m 7－9．

Edition：Ludwig I， 21.

21．Se quanque amours
4 v ；Can， $\mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co}$ ．
Sources：A（ f .4741 ，No．38； $3 \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Can}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co}$ ）；B（ f ． $305,4 \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{Vg}(\mathrm{f} .30 \mathrm{r}, 4 \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{C}$（f．2001，No．22， 4 v ）； G（f．141， 4 v ）； $\mathbb{E}$（f．151＇，No． $16,4 \mathrm{v}$ ）．Text only： M，No．21；Westm．，f． 20.

Rhythm： 40 measures in tp．perf．，prol．min．
Notes：m 3－4 Can：Ludwig notes that 4 th note is $c^{\prime}$ in $\bar{A}$ ；actually the note is $\frac{b}{}$ and conforms to the other Mss．；but $G$ has in $m e^{\top} d^{\prime} \underline{c}^{\prime} b(M i, S b, S b, M i), m$
 $G$ ，but $g^{\top}$ in the other Mss．－m 9 Can：4th note ${ }^{-1}$ not $\underline{d}^{\prime}$ in all Mss．－m 10 Tr：$\underline{d}^{\prime}$ ，not $e^{\prime}$ in all Mss． m 10 Co：like all other voices，the Co has a pabr after the Br in A ；pabr is an error since the pausa must be pasb；but the error proves the Co to be the part com－ posed last；for the sign of a pabr at this point would normally indicate the end of the verse；$m$ li of the Co，however，makes interpretation of the sign as pausa in ali voices necessary．－m 12－15 Tr：G has a different rhythmic version：Sb pam Sb pam（m l2）；Mi $2 \mathrm{Sb} \mathrm{Mi}(\mathrm{m} 13) ; \mathrm{m} 14$ like m 12 ；m 15 like m 13 ．－m 23：Tr：lst note d＇，not e＇in $G$ ；T：3rd note sb in $G$ ． －m 30 Tr：last nōte d＇in̄ E．－m 32－33：E has merely $\mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$（2．Sb，lig cop）（m 32），g＇f（2 Sb）（m．33）；a＇and f must be Sb alterae．－m $36 \mathrm{Co}:$（ Br ）missing in A ． G m 39 is missing and finalis is e＇longa．
Edition：Ludwig I，22－23．

22．Il m＇est avis
4 v ；Can， $\operatorname{Tr}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co}$.

Vg（f． $3071,4 \mathrm{v}$ ）；C（ f .204 ，No． 24 ，Can，with the
staves for $T$ and Co left vacant）；$G\left(f .141^{\prime \prime}, 4 \mathrm{v}\right)$ ；

E (f. 149 , No. $9,4 \mathrm{~V}$ ); R, f. $69^{\prime}, 4 \mathrm{~V}$, but with different Co. Text only: M, No. 22; Louange des Dames, No. 188.

Rhythm: 53 measures in tp. perf., prol. min.
Notes: The epilogue is designated "secundus punctus" in R. - m 4 Tr: Ist note $g^{\prime}$ has pa and 3rd note $g^{\prime}$ is Mi in E. - m $10 \mathrm{Tr}: 1$ lst note g ( Sb ), without preceding pam in E. - m 10 Tr: lst note $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{c}}$ (Sb), without preceding note gin R. - m 26 T : instead of $c^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br})$, R has $c^{\prime} \underline{b}$ note gin in R - m 26 T : instead of $c^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br}), R$ has $c^{\prime} \mathrm{b}$ Tr: last note $a^{\prime}$ in $R . ~-m 34$ Can: pasb instead of d' (Sb) in R. - m 48 Tr: 2nd note a in R. -m 53 Co : last note $f$ in $B$; missing in $G$, with e (m 52) as Iinalis.

Edition: Ludwig I, 23-24.
23. De Fortune me doy pleindre

4 v ; Can, Ir, I, Co.
Sources: A (土. $465^{\prime}$, No. 22, $\left.3 \mathrm{v} ; \operatorname{Can}, \operatorname{Tr}, \mathrm{T}\right) ; \mathrm{B}$ (土. $3061,3 \mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{Can}, \mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{T}) ; \mathrm{Vg}\left(\mathrm{f} .308^{\prime}, 3 \mathrm{v} ;{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Can}, \mathrm{Mr}, \mathrm{T}\right)$; C (f. 200, No. 21, $3 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{Can}, \mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{T}$ ); G (f. 142, 3 V ; Can, Tr, Th) ; $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{f}, 150^{\prime}\right.$, No, $\left.14,4 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{Can}, \mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co}\right)$; $\operatorname{Morg}(f, 223(214), 2 \mathrm{~V}) ; R(1.64,4 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{Can}, \operatorname{Tr}, \mathrm{T}$, Co nearly identical with Co in $E$ ) : Ch ( $f .49,4 \mathrm{v}$; Can, Tr, T, Co different); Str, f. 661, No. 102,3 v; Trém, f. 21, No. 46 (lost, but isted in index). Text only: M, No. 23; Louange des Dames, No. 195; Jardin de Plaisance, $\mathrm{f} .65^{1}$, Pen, No. 164.

Rhythm: 64 measures in to. imp., prol. min. Ludwig's transcription takes the modus as basis, with frequent changes from mod. imp. to mod. perf.; the original notation, however, clearly has Br groups.
Notes: Iudwig gives the $C o$ of $R$ as an entirely different part, but the Co of $R$ is nearly identical with the Co of E . The Co of Ch , however, differs widely; it is the Co which Ludwig gives as Co of E hence there are misprints in Ludvig's edition. - We give the version of $E$, note deviations in $R$ from $E$, and give the Co of Ch separately. - m l-2 Tr: Ch has
 $\frac{g}{s}(B r), C^{\prime}(M i), p a s b, g^{\prime}(M i),-m 2$ Can: pasb mis-
 m 5 T: last note $a^{-}$in Ch . -m 11-12- $\mathrm{Can}^{-2} 1 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ ( 4 Mi ), m $12 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}(\overline{\mathrm{Sb}})$ and pasb in Ch. - m 12 T : in $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}$ pa is added to last note of lig. - in 15 Can: c' has no
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pa in Ch. - m 15-18 T: $R$ has (m 15-16) e (Mi) c c c $(3 \mathrm{Sb}), \mathrm{c}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Mi}) ; \mathrm{m} 17-18 £ \mathrm{c} \pm(3 \mathrm{Mi}) \mathrm{c}^{-d}(2 \mathrm{~S} \overline{\mathrm{~b}})^{-} \mathrm{e}^{-}$ ( 2 Mi ). $=\mathrm{m} 18$ Can: since $c^{\top}$ in $m 15$ hàd no pa in ch, 8 Mi follow directiy in m I6-17, and m 18 has $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ as 2 Sb . - m 20 Can: last note is $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ in Morg. - m-26 Tr: b (Sb) a b (2Mi) in R. - m 31=35 Co: $R$ has $m 31$ $d^{\prime} c^{\prime} \underline{b} c^{\prime}(4 M i), m 32 c c(2 \mathrm{sb}), m 33 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}^{\prime} \mathrm{b} \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ (4Mi), in $34 \mathrm{c} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{c}}(2 \mathrm{Sb}$ ). m $33-35 \mathrm{Co}$ : Ch has m $3 \overline{3} \mathrm{c} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{b}}$ a (Mi, Mi) in Ch. - m 36 Tr: Ludwig notes the and note to be b in Ch; it is, however, $\mathrm{c}^{\prime} \mathrm{as}$ in other Mss. - in 40 Can: last note d' in Ch. - m 41 Can: 2nd not c' in Ch. m 4i-42 T: in ch the last note of lig has pa. - m 43 Can: a'g'f' (Mi, Sb, Mi) in Ch. - m 44 Tr: first 2 notes $\mathrm{g}^{\top} a^{\top}$ in Ch. - m 43-46 Co: $R$ has here an error, and Ludwig omits in $m 23$ (of his edition) a Mi value; E however has the correct version of this passage in the Co; $R$ has $m 43 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ ( 2 Sb in lig cop), $m 44 \mathrm{~b}$ a $g \mathrm{f}$ ( 4 Mi ), m $45 \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Sb})^{-}$and pasb, $m 46 \mathrm{bc} \mathrm{c}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}^{\prime}(3 \mathrm{Mi})=-=$ m 58-59 Can: Ch has m 58 pasb, g' ( $\overline{S b}$ ), m 59 f $^{\prime} \underline{e}^{\prime} \underline{f}^{\prime} \underline{d}^{\prime}$ ( 4 Mi ). - m 60 Tr: Ch has a $\mathrm{b}^{1} \mathrm{c}^{1} \mathrm{~b}$ (4 Mi). -

The ballade Dame qui fust, with the refrain "de Fortune me doy" appe ar in $\frac{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{a}}$, 5.5 , for Can, $T$, Co, with the melodies of Machaut being used.

Edition: Ludwig. I, 25-27.
24. Tres douce dame

2 V; Can, T.
Sources: A (f. 466, To. 23); B (f. 307); Vg (f. 309); No. 34). Text only: M, NO. 24.

Rhythm: 52 measures in tp. imp., prol. min. Despite appearance of 5 L in $T$, no modus is recognized for the transcription.

Notes: $m$ 7-8 T: missing in $G$. $-m 18 \mathrm{~T}$ : Ludwig notes that $G$ has $\underline{b}$, not $\mathfrak{a} ; G$ as well as all other Mss. have a. - m 38 Can: $f^{\prime} e^{\prime} d^{\prime}$ (4Mi) in A. - m 37 T : cauda upwards for lig cop missing in G. - m 39: Ludwig interprets the sign after m 39 erroneously as pausa. m 46 Can: 1st 2 notes Sb in G B (Vg).

Edition: Ludwig I, 27.
25. Honte, paour, doubtañce

3 v ; Can, T, CO.
Sources: A (f. $466^{\prime}$, No. 24); B ( $f .307{ }^{\prime}$ ); $\operatorname{Vg}$ (f. 309'); G (f.142'); E (f. 151', No. 17); F1, f. 76; keyboand 30 , No. 70 (lost, but listed in Mara), Bib-58-581 (NO 1 ) Text lioteca Comunale, Ms. Westm., $\mathrm{I}^{2}$. $22^{\prime} ;$ Pen, No. 147.

Rhythm: 29 measures in mod. perf., tp. imp., prol. min. The groups are in accordance with the I; hence mod. perf.; but m 5., ouvert and clos, m 27 have mod. imp.

Notes: m 11 Co : Fl has pam, $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{f}}$ (1ig cop), g (Mi). m 18 Co : F1 has $f($ li c cop), pam, f ( Sb , Mi). - m 21 Can: the $4 t \bar{\hbar}$ note in FI is d'. $=\frac{-}{m} 27 \mathrm{Co}$ : the last note in $A$ is $\xi_{\text {. - Fl }}$ has no plicae.

Edition: Iudwig I, 28.
26. Donnez, signeurs

3 v; Can, T, Co.
Sources: A ( $\mathrm{f} .467, \mathrm{No} .25$ ); B ( f .308 ); Vg ( f .310 ); M, No. 26 .

Rhythm: 32 measures in tp. perf., prol. ma. Notes: $m$ l-2 Can: $B$ has $p p$ after $f^{\prime}$, A $G$ E not, A has
the following $S b$ ! imperfection of $B \bar{T},-m 1-8 \mathrm{Co}: B$ Tg and E have a different version: $c^{\prime}$; pasb, b (Br); $c^{\prime}(S b)$ pam, $b$ a


 m 9. Co: missing in $A$ and $G$. - m 11-12 T: misssing in $G$ (probably because m 10:comes at the end of the staff). m 17 Can: A B and $G$ have $p d$ after the $B r$, and $A$ and $G$ have a pasb and 2 Mi ; if the pausa is correct, it must be pam with 2 Mi following; on the other hand, the pd be pam with 2 Mi followins; on the other hand, the pd after the Br makes no sense if the pausa. is correct.



Edition: Iudwig I, 29.
27. Une vipere en cuer

3 v ; Can, T, Co.
Sources: A (f. 467', No. 26; 2 v); B (f. 308'; 2 v);
Vg (f. $310^{\prime} ; 2 \mathrm{~V}$ ); G (f. $143^{\prime} ; 2 \mathrm{~V}$ ); E (f. 148, No. 5; 3 v). Text only: M, No. 27; Louange des Dames, No. 204; Westm., f. 23; Pen, No. 151.

Rhythm: 59 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: $m 30$ Can: first note is also in $A$ and $G c^{\prime}$. mi 52 Can: first 2 notes are Mi in A .
Edition: Ludwig I, 30f.
28. Je puis trop bien

3 v; Can, T, Co.
Sources: A (f. 468, No. 27); B (f. 310 following No. and of a third, Dame qui $\frac{\text { va }}{\text { veult }}$; onertes, mon oeuil; serted in $B, f . \frac{D a m e}{309)} ; \frac{q u i}{V g}\left(\frac{v e u l t}{(f .311) ; ~ G ~(f . ~ 143 i) ; ~} E\right.$ (f. 1481, No. 8). Text only: M, No. 28; Louange des Dames, No. 203; Jardin de Plaisance, f. '65'; Pen, No. 153.

Rhythm: 34 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: m 3 Can: first 3 notes are $c^{\prime} d^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ in $A .-m 14$ Co: last note a must be perfē $\overline{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{T}^{-} \mathrm{Sb}$; the pam comes at the beginning of m 15. - m 26-27 Can: b in m 26 is imperfect $\$ b$ and last note in m 27 is altered Mi. - m 29-30 Can: in G a third lower. - m 31 Co: last note is $c^{\prime}$ in $A$.
Edition: Iudwig I, 31.
29. De triste cuer - Quant vrais amans - Certes, je di 3 v ; 3 cantus.
Sources: $A(f .4681$, No. 28); B (f. 310'); Vg (f. 311'); oly: $G( \pm .1441$, No. 30); E (f. 150, No. 12). Text

175, 176.
Rhythm: 40 measures in tp. perf, prol. min.
Notes: m 5 Can III: in $A$ a pab (error). - m 20-21 Can II: $A \operatorname{B} \underline{A}$, instead of $\underset{C}{\mathbb{C}}$, in $A$.

Edition: Ludwig I, $32 f$.
30. Pas de tor

3 v ; Can, T, Co.
Sources: A (f. $469^{\prime}$, No. 29); B (f., 311': "Las de tor"); $\operatorname{Vg}\left(f .312^{i}\right)$; $\mathbb{E}$ (f. 156, NO. 32).

Rhythm: 56 measures in tp. perf., prol. min.
Notes: $m 2$ Co: last note is Mi in $B$ and $\mathbb{E}$, $-m 5$ Can: $\overline{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{B}$ and E have Mi Sb Mi Sb (Ludwig transcription has
$\mathrm{Mi}, 2 \mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Mi})$. - m 6 Co : omitted in $\mathrm{E} .-\mathrm{m}$ 8-10 Co: A
Mi, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Mi}\right) \cdot{ }^{-} \mathrm{m} 6 \mathrm{Co}$ : omitted in $\left.\mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Sb}\right) .-\mathrm{m}$

l2-14 T: missing in all Mss. - m 26 co: pausa missing
in $\mathrm{E} .-\mathrm{m} 33 \mathrm{~T}$ g, not a in $\mathrm{E} . \mathrm{m} 36 \mathrm{~T}$ : pausa missing and $\underline{b}$ Sb in- - . 54 CO : A has Mi ȘS Mi Sb.

Edition: Ludwig I, 33f.
31. De toutes flours

4 V ; Can, Tri, T, CO. - 3 V ; Can, T, Co.
Sources: A. (f. 470, No. $30 ; 3 \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{f} .312 ; 3 \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{Vg}$ (f. 150', NO. $13 ; 4 \mathrm{~V}$ ); Morg (f. $21^{\prime} 3^{\prime} ; 3 \mathrm{~V}$ ); R (f. $72 ; 4 \mathrm{v})$; $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{f} .120^{\prime} ; 3 \mathrm{v}\right) ; \mathrm{FI}(\mathrm{f} .99 \mathrm{~m} ; 3 \mathrm{v}) ; \operatorname{Mod}(\mathrm{f}$. $26 ; 3 \mathrm{v})$. Str (i. 95 , No. $168 ; 4 \mathrm{v}$; lost); Trém (f. 13, No. 27; lost, but listed in the original index). Keyboard version: Faenza, Biblioteca Comunale, Mis. Il7, f. 58'-59', No. 8. - Text only: M, No. 31.

Rhythm: 65 measures in to. imp., prol. min.
Notes: m 5 Co: both notes are e in R. - m 14 Can: last noteSb in A Morg and apparently in B (error). m $14 \mathrm{Co}: \mathrm{Mod}$ has Mi, pam, Mi, Sb etc. - m 20-21 Tr: R has $d^{\prime} c^{\prime}\left(1 i g\right.$ cop), pam, $d^{\prime}(S b) e^{\prime}(M i)$, but $E$ has d' (Sb), "am, c'd' (Sb) e' (Mi). - m²0-21 Can: b-f1at has pa in R and no pam in $m$ 21. - m 28-29 Can: R has a g
(Sb), pasb (so also in m 31 and at the end $m$ 63-64). m 31 'Can: P has a b (Mi) instead of $\underline{b}$ (Sb), also in $m$ 64. - m 33 Can: pa at d' (Br) missing in B Vg. - m 38 Can: $c^{\prime}(S b)$, pasb in $\bar{R} .-M 38-39$ Tr: $R$ has $g^{\prime} e^{\prime}(S b)$, pasb, and $c^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$. - m 39 Can: last note $e^{\prime}$ in $\overline{\mathrm{R}} .-\mathrm{m}$ $41-42 \mathrm{Co}$ : the rhythm is different in versions outside the Machaut Mss. proper. FI R P. have pam, $2 \mathrm{Mi},{ }^{2} \mathrm{Sb}$, Mi; Mod has pam, $3 \mathrm{Mi}, 2 \mathrm{Sb}$ - m $44-45$ Tr: R has d (Sb), Mi; Mod has pam, (Sb), pasb. - m 44 Can: pasb missiñ in pam, $\frac{d}{m}$ (Mi) $c^{\prime}(S b)$, pasb. - $m$ in $\mathbb{m}$ instead of $c^{\prime}$ (error). Co: c is B. - $m 51$ Tr: in $\mathbb{E}$ b instead of $c^{\prime}$ (error) Co: c is
 cp. - m 55 Tr: R has pam, Sb, Mi.
Edition: Ludwig I, $35 f$; H. E. Wooldridge, Oxford History
of Music, II (1905), 33; H. Riemann, Hausmusik aus alter Zeit, Leipzig I, No. 3; A. Einstein, Beispielsammlung zur aiteren Musikgeschichte, 2nd American edition, $\overline{\text { New }}$ York $193 \overline{8}$; 267 ff . - The keyboard version of Faenza: published by D.Plamenac, JAMS IV (1951) $189 f$. - Facsimile of Morg. in MGG sub verbo "Ars nova" (H. Besseler).
32. Ploures, dames

3 v; Can, T, Co.
Sources: A ( $\mathrm{f} \cdot 470^{1}$, No. 31 ); B (f. $312^{\prime}$ ); Vg (f. 3131); G:(f. 145', No. 31); E (f. 173, No. la).
Text only: M, No. 32; Louange des Dames, No. 229; Voir Dit, No. I; I, f. 181.

Rhythm: 46 measures in tp. perf., prol. ma.
Notes: m 6 Co: first note is $b$ in $E .-m 18$ T: missing in $E$. The repetition is indicated after m 18 by a small cross in A. The repetition is in all Mss, taken up with m 14. - m 30 Co: first note e in E . -m 34 T : first note d in E .

Edition: Ludwig I, 37f.; J. Wolf, GM II/III, No. 25; (1929), No. 4.
33. Nes que on porroit

3 v ; Can, T, Co.
Sources: $A( \pm .471, N o .32) ; B( \pm .313) ; \operatorname{Vg}( \pm .314)$;

G (f. 145', No. 32); E (f. 178, No. 3a: T "Tenor G. de Mascandio"). Text only: M, No. 33; Louange des Dames, NTo. 232; Voir Dit, No. 3; Pen, No. 223.

Rhythm: 43 measures in tp. perf., prol. ma.
Notes: In view of prol. ma. Mi is frequently Mia in all Mss.; we do not indicate Mia. - m 6 Can: pam appears to be also in $G .-m$ ? Can: 5th note Mi in $G$; alteration not clear. - II 11 T : last note $\Theta$ in $\mathbb{E}$. - m 12 Can: 3rd note ( $\mathbb{E}^{\prime}$ ) missing in $\mathrm{A} .-\mathrm{m} 14^{-}$Can: first two notes Sb , Mi in $\mathrm{A} G \mathbb{E}$, but not in B Vg where and note is Mia. - In 19-20; 22-23; 42-43 Can: all Mss. including $B V g$ have (in Can, but not in $T$ or $C o$ ) $B r$, pd; $\mathrm{Sb}, 2$ pasb. Ludwig ties the Br to Sb , despite the separation of Br and Sb by pd . - $\mathrm{m} 21 \mathrm{Co}: \mathrm{b}$ is Sba in E . -m 27 Can: last 2 notes are $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Mi}$ in E . - m 30 Co : between 2nd and 3rd note pam in $G$ : it should be pd. m 31 Co : missing in A BVg V , but not in $\mathbb{E}$. - In 33 Co : last note g in $\mathbb{E}$. - m 33 T : in $G$ cauda of lig downwards it should be upwards (lig cop).

Edition: Ludwig I, 38f.; H. Quittard, in Bulletin de 1a Société Française de Musicologie I (1919),
$138 f f$.
$\qquad$
34. Quant Theseus - Ne quier veoin
$4 \mathrm{v} ; 2 \mathrm{Can}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co}$
Sources: A (f. 471', No. 33); B (f. 313'); Vg (f. 314'); G (f. 146', No: 33); E (f. 199', No. 7a); Ch, f. 54 (Machaut); $R,{ }^{2}$ f. 54.' Text only: M, No. 34 Voir Dit, No. 7; I, $1.181,20$; Pen, No. 178, 179.
Rhythm: The first 5 measures seem to be irregularly grouped according to mode, with changes from mod. perf. to mod. imp. The modal grouping might be indicated clearly in $m$ 5. Our transcription from $m$ 6-75 (end) is based on tp. imp. prol. min.; only 54 has a longa finalis (so in all Mss.) before the refrain.

Notes: $m$ I Can II: Ch has $\underline{c}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Br})$ instead of $\mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ at the beginning. - in 1 Co: first note is Br in R (emror). $\frac{m}{3} 2$ Co: 4 th and 5 th notes Sb Mi, 7th note $\frac{d}{\mathrm{~d}}$ in R . - m 3 Can II: $e^{\prime}$ ( $B r$ ) is followed by a pam in $\bar{R}$ (error). - m 5-6 T: after lig cop, sp (finalis $c^{\prime}$ ) follow in R: pam, 3 Sb ( E a g ), $\mathrm{Mi}^{(\mathrm{f}}$ ). - m 7-8 Can I : last note in $m 7 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}$, and notes in $\mathrm{m} \overline{8}$ are $g^{\prime} a^{\prime} g^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{E}$; notes in $m$ 8 are $g^{\prime} g^{\prime}(\mathrm{Mi}, \mathrm{Sb})$ in $\mathrm{R} .-\mathrm{m}^{-} \mathrm{C}^{-} \mathrm{Co}: \mathrm{R}$ has in m 8
pasb instead of last note, in m 9 c (Sb) instead of pasb. - m 9 Co: pasb missing in Ch. - m ll Co: 2nd note a in R. - m $12 \mathrm{Co}: \mathrm{R}$ has $\mathrm{d}^{\prime} \mathrm{Sb}$ and pa . - m 12 Can II: Iast 2 notes missing in Ch. - m 15 Can II: al in E. m 19-21 Can I: omitted in Ch; but omission marked and entered in the margin; the correction shows in $m 21$ c ( Sb ) and pasb. - m 21-22 Co: d c (Sb, Br and pa) in $\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}}$ - m 23 Can I: pam, $c^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$, $\underline{b}^{-}(\bar{M} i)$ in $R$. - m 25-26 Can I: in Machaut Mss. proper $c^{\prime} c^{\prime}$ given as Br and Sb (separate), but in Ch and $\mathrm{R} \mathrm{c}^{-1}$ (once) as Br with pa; the corresponding m 71-72 have the same. - m 27 Co: $R$ has $g$ a ( 2 Mi ) instead of a (Sb). - m $30 \mathrm{Co}: R$ has $g$ (Sb) a ( 2 Mi ). - m 30 T : ${ }^{-}$Ludwig's note to E is not correct; Sb has a pa. - m 31 T: last note $f$ (Mi) in $E$ -m 35 T : in Ch g, not f . - m 35-36 Co: R has pam, 3 Mi; Sb, pam, Mi. - m $36^{-}$Co: last note $d$ in $E$ and Ch. m 36-37 Can II: Br , pasb, 2 Mi in A. -m 36-38 T: e ( Sb ), g ( Br and pa) in $\mathrm{R}(36-37)$; $\mathrm{Ch}(36-38)$ has the rhythm: $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Sb}$ and $\mathrm{pa}, \mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Mi} .-\mathrm{M} 41 \mathrm{Co}: \mathrm{g} \mathrm{c}$ ( Sb ) and pasb in Ch ; the pausa is an error. - $m 4 \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{2} \mathrm{~T}$ last note b in R. -m 45 Can II: $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$, $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ (2 Mi) in
 - m 47-49 Can 11: R has $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{Sb})$, pasb, $(\mathrm{Br})$, b ( Sb ) c a (Mi, sb, Mi) a double error: a Sb too much and the $\overline{\mathrm{Br}}$ is a , not b . - 52 Co : 2nd note Sb in E (error); pasb, $c^{\prime}(\overline{S b})$ in $\bar{R}$; Ch has a pasb before $c^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$ error.- m 61 Co: in E $2 \mathrm{Mi}, \mathrm{Sb} .-\mathrm{m} 63-6 \overline{8} \mathrm{Co}$ : in R a fifth too high; 65-68 has the rhythm: Sb and $\mathrm{pa}, 3 \mathrm{Sb}$ Mi, Br and pa; m 66: 2nd note e, 3rd note d in Ch. m 68 Can II: Ch concludes after $\underline{c}^{\prime}$ with doubble bar. m 70 Can I: Mi, $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Mi}$ in R . - $\mathrm{m}^{-72 \mathrm{Co}} \mathrm{d}$ in R .
Edition: Ludwig I, 40-42, and in Adler, Handbuch (1930),
35. Gais et jolis

3 v ; Can, $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co}$.
Sources: A (f. 472', No. 34); B (f. 314'); Vg (f. 315'); Mod, f. $30^{\prime}$ (f. 147 '); $E\left(f\right.$. $153^{\prime}$, No. 22); R, f. 65 ; No. 39 ; Westm. ${ }^{\text {mext }} 16$, Pen, No. 35 ; Louange des Dames,

Rhythm:
29 measures in mod. perf. and imp., tp. imp. prol. min. Modns is indicated in the Longa-
groups.

- 119 -

Notes: m 4 Co: 2nd note ${ }^{2}$ in $\mathbb{E} .-m 6$ Can: $B r$ and pa, pasb in Mod. - m I4 Can: 4th note Mi, 5 th note Sb in $\mathrm{G} .-\mathrm{m} 16(1.8,28)$ Can: Mod has Sb and pa , and 9 Mi. - m 18-19 T: clos missing in R. - m 21 Can: $R$ has $\mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{Sb}$ and pa , instead of Sb and pam. - m 24 Can : first d I in $G$ with finis punctorum before the refrain; also m 25 I: finis punctorum after g (lig); only in Co is the erroneous finis punctorum omitted; m 24 Can: $c^{\prime}$ is Sb with pa in $G$ (error)

Edition: Ludwig I, 42f.
36. Se pour ce muir

3 v; Can, T, Co.
Sources: $A\left(f .472{ }^{\prime}\right.$, No. 35); B (f. 315); Vg (f. 316);
M, No. 36: Louange des Dames, No, 248. Voin Dit only:
Rhythm: 46 measures in tp. perf., prol. ma.
Notes: $m$ 6-7 Co: $B(V g)$ has $\frac{1}{4} \frac{e}{1}$ (lig cop, sp). - m $f^{\prime} e^{\prime}-\mathrm{flat}^{14-15}(\mathrm{Mi}, \mathrm{Sb})$, m $15, \mathrm{~d}^{14}$ ( $\mathrm{B}^{\frac{1}{x}}$ and pp); the same in 37-38. - m 22 Can:' 4th note Mi, last note Sb in $\mathbb{E}$; the same in $\mathrm{m} 45 .-\mathrm{m} 25 \mathrm{Can}: 5$ th note Sb , 6th note Mi in G. - m 26-31 Can: E has 2nd note as Br, followed by 2 pam; the error may account for the different version of the Can in $m$ 29-31; H has after $c^{1}$ (Sb) : ${ }^{2}$ pam, $\frac{e^{1}}{}$ (Mi), d'e ${ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Mi}) ; \mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb}), 2^{2} \mathrm{pam}^{-1} \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Mi}), \mathrm{e}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$;
 last note $S b$. $-m 31 \mathrm{~T}$ : last note f in G ; but there is last note Sb . m 31 I : last note $f$ in $G$; but there is $\frac{\mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{m}} 32 .-\mathrm{m} 31 \mathrm{Co}$ : last note $£$ in $\mathrm{BVg} \mathrm{V} .-\mathrm{m} 37 \mathrm{Co}$ : first note Sb , and note Mi in $\mathbb{E}$. - m $40 \mathrm{Co}: \mathrm{Br}$ only; no pausa in $G$.
Edition: Ludwig I, 44 f .
37. Dame, se vous $\underline{m}^{\prime}$ estes
l v; Can.
Sources: A ( $£ .473^{\prime}$, No. 36) ; G (土. 147, No. 34). Text only: M, No. 37; Louange des Dames, No. 15.
Rhythm: 28 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.

Edition: Ludwig I, 45.
38. Phyton, le mervilleus serpent

3 v ; Can, $\mathbb{T}$, Co (in E designation of Co missing).
Sources: A (f. 473', No. 37) G ( f .148 !, No. 37); E (f. Trếm, f. 157, No. 36; T: "Tenor. G. de mascandio."); 29 (No. 67a) (lost, but listed in original index). Text only: M, No. 38; J, No. 64; Pen, No. 160.

Fhythm: 49 measures in tp. perf., prol. min.
Notes: m 6 T: first note $g$ missing in $G .-m 7-8$ Can: Iudwig ties the $2 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{Br} \mathrm{Sb}$; but Br has pp (in E) and the following $S b$ is moved to the right with considerable space between Br and Sb (in $G$ and $E$ ). - m 31 Can: $E$ has $d^{\prime} \mathrm{d}^{\prime}(2 \mathrm{Sb})$ and pasb. - m 46-47 Co: from 2nd note (46) on, a third too high in A.

Edition: Ludwig I, 46.
39. Mes esperis

3 v ; Can, $\pm$, Co.
Sources: G (1. 149, No. 38); E (f. 1491, No. 11). Text Jardin only: Louange des Dames, No. 258; I, f. 19;

Rhythm: 56 measures in tp. perf., prol. min.
Notes: There are a good many variants between $C$ and $E$, largely as a result of placing pp or pd differently, but Iudwig does not indicate any of the variants - II 3-4 Can: 2nd note Sb in G ; consequently last note d' $^{\prime}$ belongs to $m 4$ the 2nd note of which (e') has no pa in $G$. -7 Can: 2nd note Mi in E (error) - $-\mathrm{m} 10-11$ Co: $G$ has pp after $b$ (10) and a (11). -m 16 Co: G has pasb and $c^{1} S b$ which must be aItered. - m 24 Co : in E missing. - m 26 Co: first note in $E$ is $c^{\prime}$; the same in $m$ 54. - m $26 \mathrm{~T}:$ pa at the first note missing in $\mathbb{E}$, also in m 54. - m 31-33 T: g has no pp in $G$; pp follows a in E; hence $\frac{f}{G}$ (in m 32) must be Sba; pd follows $\underline{d}$ (m 33) in $\mathbb{E}$ and $\bar{G}$. - Im 35-36 T: d appears twice in $G$ : as Br finalis of lig (35) and $\overline{\mathrm{r}}$ not a simplex (36). - m 38 Can: 3rd note Mi in E (error). Co: first note a has pa in $G$ and no pam. - II 50 Co: e Br is followed by pasb
in $G$; no pasb in $E$, analogous to $m 21$.
Edition: Iudwig I, 47f.
40. Ma chiere dame

3 v ; Can, T, Co.

Rhythm: 34 measures in tp. perf., prol. min.
Notes: m 16 Co : last note Sb in $\mathrm{G} .-\mathrm{m}$ 20-22 Can;clef changes in $G$ before last note in $m$ 20; from then on up to the end of the staff in the Ms. (after first note in m 22) the tones are a third too low, - m 26 T : last note Sb in G (error). - m 30 T : last note g in G .

This is the last ballade in the musical fascicle; it is, in text and music not a ballade. The text Ms. Pen has the interesting classification "Demy lay" probably on account of the strophic pairs.
Edition: Ludwig I, 48f.
41. En amer a douce vie

4 v ; Can, Tr, T, Co.
Sources: A (f. 68'; 4 V ) ; B (f. 126'-127; 4 V , but in an odd arrangement; f. 126' left column Tr; right column: Can - up to the "clos"; the rest of the staves (4) in that column are vacant, "with "Tenor" being written underneath; $f$. 127 left column, 3 staves (top) vacant; followed by the $T$ ( 4 staves), then the rest of the Can - carried over to the right column; the rest of the column has the Co.); Vg (f. 109'; 4 V ); C (f. $46 ; 4 \mathrm{~V}) ; \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{f} ; 54^{\prime} ; 4 \mathrm{~V}\right) ; \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{f} .31 ; 4 \mathrm{~V})$; Pep (f. 23', $4 \mathrm{v}) ; K(\mathrm{f} .59 \mathrm{i}, 3 \mathrm{v}-\mathrm{Co}) ; \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{f} .63,4 \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{f} .122$, $3 \mathrm{v}-\mathrm{Co})$; El (f. $97,3 \mathrm{v}$-Co); Trém (f. 16, No. 62; lost, but listed in original index). Text only: J, f. 66; Morg. -

Remede de Fortune, verse 2857: "Baladelle".
Rhythm: 32 measures in tp. perf., prol. ma.
Notes: m I-16 Mr: with the exception of last 2 notes in
m 10 a third lower in Pep. - in 2 Tr: last Sb not major, but with pam in R. - m 2 Can: lst note Br in Pep. - m 3 Tr: pam, 2 Mi ( $e^{\prime}$ ), pam 2 Mi ( $c^{\prime}$ ) in B C E; R has 2 Mi ( $e^{\prime}$ ), 2 pam, $2^{-1}\left(\underline{c}^{\prime}\right)$; in Pep beginning of $m$ : pam 2 Mi , pam 2 Mi , of which R might be a variant. - m 4 Can: first note written either as Sb or Nia; in F without pam, 3 Sb to the measure. Iudwig notes that only Vg has a punctus syncopationis after pam; apparently most scribes have taken the punctus sync. to be pp valid for in 3. But also Fl has a compect form, with a variant at the end of the $m$ : punctus sync. pam, 2 Sb (majores), pam, Mi. - m $5: \mathbb{R}$ has, after first note, pd, hence the next 4 notes are Mi Sb, Mi Sb, - m 7 Can: $P$ has pasb after inmst note (error). $-m$ 8-10 Can: $R$ (m 8) has, after 2 pam, $e^{\prime} d^{\prime} e^{\prime} d^{\prime}(4 \mathrm{Mi})$, (m 8) Br (c $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ ) etc., (m 9) after the first ñote 2 pam, Mi, Sb; (the same m 9 in Fl); $m$ 8, F has erroneously 2 pasb, instead of 2 pam. - m 10 Ir: last 2 notes are a 3rd higher in $R C$ and $\mathbb{E}$. m 11 Can: first note $S b$ in $K$ (error); m 11 Co: in R lest 2 notes Mi Sb, but not so in T or Can; only Tr has Mi Sb; T: last note in F is Sb (error). m 13 Tr: after first note $f^{\prime}$ (sharp) e ${ }^{\prime} f^{\prime}$, $f^{\prime} e^{\prime} f^{\prime}(\overline{6}$ Mi) in R ; K has pam at the beginning, foliowed $\overline{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{y}$ c' $\underline{d}^{\prime}$ (2 Mi); 2nd note ( $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ ) Sb in F (error); \# has 2 pam (error); Fl has 6 th note Mi, 7th note Mia. - In 15 Can: instead of last note é (flat) Sb major, $e^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ (Sb Mi) in Fl P K. - m 15 Tr: 4 th and 5th notes a third lower in Pep. - m 16 Tr: f'g'a' (3 Mi) , $\mathrm{m}^{\prime} \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ (SbMi, Sb Mi.) in R ; Can: $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\mathrm{Mi}}{} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right.$ omitted, 2 pam instead in P FI K. - m 17 Tr: $d^{\top}$ Br; Can: finst note $d^{\prime}$ in $R$; I: after first note $M+\frac{S b}{}$, Mi Mia in $R$ with the flat sign wrongly placed in spatium before and note - 10 Tr: jrd note $e^{\prime}$ in.R. - m 21 Tr: last 2 notes missing in $A$; Co $g$ Br and pasb (c' omitted) in R. - m 22 Tr: 2nd note $\bar{M} i$ in $A$ (error) $\overline{\text { n }}$ Can: first note $c^{\prime}$ in $R$; iast note $S b$ in $K$ (error) $-m .24$ Tr: first note $g^{1}$ in $E$; Co: rhythm is Sb Mi, Sb Mi , Sb in R . - m 26 Can: notes 6 Sb and 7 Mi in R ; Fl has 2 pan and 7 Mi . - m 27 Tr: Ludwis notes for Vg that the 2nd note is Sb ; but B has not the error. - m $27 \mathrm{Co}: \mathrm{B}$ has $£$ Br (without pasb); pab follows a (Br) in Pep. - m 28 Tr: R has $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ (Br with pp) $c^{\prime} I^{\prime}(2 . \mathrm{Sb})$ and pasb; one full measure is superfluous; Co: $R$ has $g(S b)$, pasb, $c^{\prime}(S b) .-m 29$ Tr: $R$
 $f^{\prime} d^{\prime}(3 \mathrm{Mi})$ : notes 6 Sb indst 3 notes in $E$ are é (fiat $\left(2^{-S b}\right) \mathrm{fi} \mathrm{g}$ (Mi, Mia); I: R after the in Co: R has a R rhythm Mi Sb, Mi Nia: - m 31 Can: last 2 notes Mi Sb in Pep; 5th note is Sb in R (error); $\frac{m}{2}$ : first note c (Sb), 2nd note $\underline{d}(\mathrm{Br})$ in $K$.

Edition：Ludwig I，98f．－Ludvig in $\mathbb{E}$ ．Hoepffner，

1911），Appendix，$\frac{\text { Oeuvres }}{\text { pp }} \frac{\text { Guillaume }}{11-15}$ de Machaut，II（Paris

## 42．Dame，de qui toute ma joie

4 v ；Can，Tr，T，Co．
Sources：$A\left(f \cdot 70^{\prime}\right) ; B\left(f .128^{\prime}\right) ; \operatorname{Vg}\left(f^{\prime} .111^{\prime}\right) ; C(f$. $4 \mathrm{~V}) ; \mathrm{K}\left(f .60^{\prime}, 2^{\prime} \mathrm{v}\right) ; \operatorname{Pep}(\ldots, 25,2 \mathrm{v})$ ．Trém，i． 27 TVO． 62 （lost，but Iisted in original index）；J，f． $67{ }^{\prime}$ （staves for cantus and tenor vacant）．Text only：I，f． 20；Jardin de Plaisance，f．68；Morg．

Remede de Fortune，verse 3013.
Rhythm： 49 measures in tp．perf．，prol．min．
Notes：m 1－9 Tr in A originally omitted，but later entered in the top margin by a later scribe there are several errors：m 4：2nd note Sb ，instead of Mi；the same m 4 is then repeated，rhythmically correct， but a 3rd too high；m 5－6 a 3rd too high；m 8：pa after first note is missing；m 9：aiter first note $\underline{b}^{\prime} a^{\prime} b^{\prime} \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ （ 4 Mi ），a tone too high．－$m$ ？Can：last note Mi in C （error）；Ist note Br in Peo．－ m 9 Tr ：last note $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{F} .-\mathrm{m} 10$ Can：2nd note Sb ，last note Mi in C ．－$\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{m}} 13$ $\mathrm{Co}: \mathrm{c}$（Sb）erased and pasb instead in A ； R has $\bar{c}(\mathrm{Sb})$ ， pasb，$c$（Sb）；but m 14 Co is missing in $R$ ．$-m$ I4－15： last tone in $m$ 14，first tone in $m 15 \mathrm{a}$ 3nd too low in E．－m 15 Tr：2nd note d＇in $E$ ；Can：first note Sb in K （error）－m 16 Tr：first tone Mi in R（error）；Co： $R$ has d（Sb），pasb，e－flat（Sb）．－m 18 Tr：first note Sb ，2nd note Mi in R and $巴$ ，also in＂clos＂，m $20,-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{Sb}}$ ， followed by $c^{\prime}$（Mi），m 24，In A B Vs E $F$ ；there seems to be an error，and only $R$ apoaars to have the correct version，although $R$ has erroneously $c^{\prime}$ finalis（in 25）， instead of b．－m 28 Tr：2nd note $\mathrm{Sb}^{-1}$ in E （error）．－ $m 28$ Can：3rd note Mi in C（error）；3rd note Mi in Pep． －m 35 Co ：pam and $c^{\prime}(\mathbb{M i})$ in $R$ and $E ; T$ ；irst note $g$ in $\mathrm{K} .-\mathrm{m} 37 \mathrm{Tr}:$ the last 2 notes are $\mathrm{c}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}^{\prime}(2 \mathrm{Mi}$ ）in A；Can：lost note a，eccording to my reading，in C， but the note is drawn low；it is clearly $g$ in $E$ and $R$ ． $-m 41$ Tr：$f^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb}) \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\prime}(4 \mathrm{Mi})$ in $\mathrm{R}^{\text {and }} \mathrm{E}$ ．$-m$ 43 Tr ：é（ $\overline{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{r}$ ）in E．

Edition：Ludwig I，99－101．－Ludwig in E Hoepfener， Oeuvres II，Apoendix，15－19．

Ci commencent lez Rondiauz（B）
Ci commencent li rondeaulz（G）．
1．Doulz viaire gracieus．
3 V ；Can， $\mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{T}$ ．
Sources：A（f． 476 ，No．3；2v）；B（ f .3151 ）；Vg（f．
139．No．12）．Text only：Mo No．${ }^{\text {F }}$（f．150）；玉（f
Rhythm： 12 measures in tp．perf．，prol．min．
Notes：m 2 Can：2nd，3rd，4th tones have rhythm Mi， Sb，Mi in C．－II 8 Can：first 2 notes $g$ a are Sb with pa and $\mathbb{M i}$ in $B\left(V g\right.$ ？）and $\mathbb{E}$ ，but $2 \mathrm{Sb} \mathrm{in}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{C} G$ ．
Edition：Ludwig I，52；R．G．Kiesewetter，Schicksale und Beilacen $\frac{\text { Beschaffenheit }}{\text { To }} \frac{\text { des }}{14}$ weltlichen Gesanges，（1841），

2．Helas！pour quoy
2 v ；Can， T ．
Sources：$A(f .476, N 0.4) ; B\left(f .315^{\prime}\right) ; V g\left(f .3161^{\prime}\right)$ ； No．13）．Text only：M，No．2；Pen，No． 148 ．

Rhythm： 35 measures in tp．imp．，prol．ma．
Notes：m 7 Can：C has 2 Mi pa 2 Mi（no pam）；conse－ quently the 2nd and 4 th Mi must be Mia．－m 8 ， Can：the pausa is in all Miss．pasb；it should be a pam；the same enror occurs in 10 and 16．- m 12－16 Can the whole passage a third too wigh in $B$ and $E .-m$ 26 Can：after the finst $g^{\prime}$ there follows pd in $\bar{A} B \subset G$ ； nevertheless Indwig ties the $2 z^{\prime}$ together．－m 27 Can End pan and 3rd， 4 th notes missing in $B$（Vg？）and E．－ m 29 Can：first note e ${ }^{\prime}$ Mi in $E$ ．
Edition：Ludvig I，52；T．Wolf，GM II and III，No．19．

3．Merci vous pri
2 V ；Can，T．
Sources：A（f． $476^{1}$ ，No．5）；B（f．316）；Vg（土．317）； C（土．204＇，No．7）；G（f．150）；E（土．135，No．
 Pen, No. 114.

Rhythm: 46 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma. The rhythm stently.

Notes: m 1, ll Can: the initial note is written as I, from which the value of a Sb major must be deducted in $m 2$ and 12. - In 22 Can: $B$ and $E$ have $2 \mathrm{Sb}, G$ has Sb with pd , Mi Sb (so in the edition), A has Mi With pd, Mi Sb (the first Mi is an error and should be Sb). - m 23 Can: after first note $f \mathrm{G}$ seems to have only 1 pam, the other Mss. have correctiy 2 pam. - The ties (dotted) in Iudwig's transcription should be eliminated; they appear even though the Mss. have pd.

Edition: Ludwig I, 53.
4. Sans cuer, dolens

2 v ; Can, T.
Sources: $A(f .477, \mathrm{No} .6) ; B(f .316) ; \operatorname{Vg}(f .317)$;
 No. 4; Louange des Dames, No. 148 ; Voir Dit, No. 4 ; Pen, Ño. 97.

Rhythm: 43 measures in tp. perf., prol. min. The T suggests mod. imp., but is not consistent; the change of mod. is not noticeable in Mss.

Notes: in 6 Can: pa after first note missing in $E$ (both versions). -m ll T : 3rd note Mi in $\mathbb{E}$ (both versions); also B (Vg?). - In 39 Can: pa after first note missing in $E$ (both versions). In $C$ and note $\underline{a}^{\prime}$, 3 rd note $E^{\prime} \cdot-m 40$ Can: $d^{\prime}(S b$ with pa$), \mathrm{c}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb})$, b (Mi) in B Vg GE (both Versions). We accepted the rhythim in $A$ which appears also in $C$ although the notes in C read E'f'e'。

Edition: Ludwig I, 54.
5. Quant j'ay l'espart

2 v ; Can, T .
Sources: A (f. 477, No. 7); B (f. 3161); Vg (f. 3171);

- 126 -

C (f. 202, NO: 3); G (f. 150); E (f. 136, No. 9) . Text only: M, No. 5; Pen, No. il6.

Rhythm: 45 measures in tp, imp., prol. min. The L in $T$ point to the modus which is, however, inconsistently handled. Frequent changes of modus are certainly not intended.

Notes: m 20 T : e in A (error). - m 22 Can: missing in A.

Edition: Ludwig I, 54f.
6. Cinc, un, treze

2 v ; Can, T.
Sources: $A$ (f. 477 , No. 8); B (f. $316{ }^{1}$ ); $V g$ (f. 3171 ); Text only: $\mathbb{M}$, No. 603. $G\left(f, 150^{\prime}\right) ; \mathbb{E}$ (f.'142, No. 18).

Rhythm: 31. measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: m 2 Can: all Mss., also A, have pam, with the exception of $G$ which has pasb, followed by 3 Mi ( $d^{\prime} c^{\prime} \underline{d}^{\prime}$ ); $G$ is, therefore, not incorrect. - m 2 T: £, beginning of lig in $G$, is lig spr, hence $L$ (error). - m 20 Can: B (Vg?) and E have pasb. - m 21 Can: B (Vs) E G have pasb (but A has pam), error.

The text covers a name by a riddle: letters 5 1, $13,8,9$ indicate the name Jehan or Jehanne; E. Hoepffner, Oeuvres de G. de Machaut, III (1921, XXIX, and 261; and Ludwig, I- 55 (notes) suggested that the rondeau might have been composed on occasion of the marriage of Duke Jean de Berry and Jeanne d'Armagnac (1360).

Edition: Ludwig I, 55.
7. Se vous n'estes

4 v ; Can, $\mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co}$ (2 different versions; one in $\mathbb{E} \mathrm{Fl}$ CaB; the other in Mod). - Tr, only in CaB, incomplete (m 24-33).

Sources: A. ( $f .4771$, No. $9 ; 2 \mathrm{v}$ ); B (f. 317; 2 v ); Vg vacant (f. $318 ; 2 \mathrm{v}$ ) ; C (f. 202, 110 . 2 ; 2 v; but,
vacant staves marked fos 块); G (f. 151; 2 V); E (f. 134,

No. 4; $3 \mathrm{~V}: \operatorname{Can}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{CO}$ ) ; Morg ( $\mathrm{I}, 214^{\prime} ; 2 \mathrm{~V}$ ); CaB (f. $13^{\prime}, 4 \mathrm{~V}$ ) ; $\mathrm{Fl}\left(\mathrm{f}, 60\right.$ : Ludwig's indication " f . $10^{\prime \prime}$ is a misprint; 3 v ; (Can, T, Co); Mod (f. 35, 2 v, with Co on $1.6^{i}$ ); $\operatorname{Str}\left(\mathrm{f}^{2} 73^{\prime}\right.$, No. $\left.119,3 \mathrm{~V}\right) ; \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime}, 27^{\prime}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{v})$. - Text only: M, No. 7; Jardin de Plaisance, f. 69'; Pen, No. 181.

Rhythm: 33 measures in to. perf., prol. min.
Notes: $m_{1}$ Can: first note Sb in $\mathrm{Pr} .-\mathrm{m} 2 \mathrm{Co}:$ and and — 3nd notes 2 Sb F in Fl. - m 3 Co S Sba in E and Fl . -m 5 T : first note a inc. C m $6: \mathrm{E}$ Sba in Mod in Fl $g \mathrm{~g}(2 \mathrm{Sb})$ and pasb. - m $7 \mathrm{~T}: \mathrm{dBr}(p e r f$,$) in \mathrm{E}$ Mod Pr BI. - m 8-10 T: the value of 3 Br for $c$ is wirten in various ways: either as I finalis with oa in lig (in Machaut Mss. proper) or as I without pa, and the 3 rd Br is either the initialis of the next lig (F, Pr) or nota simplex (FI). - m 8-9 Can: $g$ is I without pausa in Fl and Mod; I and pasb in Pr and $\mathrm{CaB}, \mathrm{Br}$ and pab in $A \mathrm{~B}$ Vg

 last 2 notes are $b$ and $c^{\top}$ In $F 1$ Mod CaB. - m 18 Co:
first note d' Sb without pam in Fl . -m 19 Co : I.ast not $g$ in $F l$ is followed by an additional f; recognized as error and cancelled. -m 22 in: the 2 last notes are $d^{\prime} e^{\prime}$ in Fl CaB Mod Pr. - m 30 Co : a is Br and pasb in F1. - m 3I Co: Pl has no d (Mi), büt only g (Sb) at the beginning.

Co Mod (f. $6^{\prime}$ ): the Co has a strange endiigg; m 32, after $\underline{b}$ g (lig cop) there follows in succession: pam, s-shař (Mi), a (I), finis punctorum; pom, c-sharp (Mi), $\left.\frac{\text { p-sharp ( }}{} \mathrm{L}\right)$, finis punctorum; $G(\mathrm{~L})$, finis punctorum. (Mi), $\frac{d}{}(\mathrm{~L})$, finis punctorum; $G(\mathrm{~L})$, finis punctorum.
Despite the successive appearañce of the tones, we have Despite the successive appearance of the tones, we have transcribed them as simultaneous harmony; but the low G is conflicting and was hardly played simultaneously separate tone, it does not make much sense.

The fragment of the Tr which only CaB presents at the top (mutilated) of $f .13^{\prime}$ follows, as far as it can be deciphered ( $\mathrm{m} 23-33$ ):



Edition: Luawig I, 56f.
8. Vos doulz

3 V ; Gan, T ', Co.
Sources: A (f. 479 , No. 15) ; $\mathrm{B}(f, 317)$; $\mathrm{Vg}(f, 318)$; only: M, No. io; \#.Deschamps, Art de dictier, Oeuvres VII, P . $286^{\circ}$
Rhythm: 35 measures in mod. imp., tp. imp., prol. min. Only in 2 measures the modus does not seem to be clearly imperfect, m 7, 18. Also the Cantus has Longa groups.

Notes: m 7 T: A nas flat sign after $c^{\prime}$ but $G$ and $B$ (Vg?) a plica; (no plica in E). - m 17 Co: first 3 notes I (Mi), e (Sb), a (Mi) in G. - m 30 I: A has pam before 3 rd note; the exror has apparently been corrected.

Eaition: Iradwis I, 57f.
9. Tant doucement

4 V ; Can, Tr. IT, Co.
Sounces: A (f. 475 , No. $1 ; 4 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{f}^{2} 477^{\prime}$, No. $10 ; 2 \mathrm{v}$ ); $G\left(f .151\right.$, No. 8); $\mathbb{E}^{\prime}(f .134$, No. 3 ) ; Morg (f. 214, 2 $\mathrm{v})$; Pep $(\mathrm{f} \cdot 35,4 \mathrm{~V})$. Irém, I .32 , No. 76 (lost, but listed in original index). Text only: M, No. 8; Pen, No. 177.

Rhythm: 52 measures in tp. imp., proi. min. Although there is considerable use of $\mathrm{I}_{\text {. }}$ the modus (imp.) is not consistently maintained. The frequent change of modus in Ludwig's transcription is not justified.

Notes: $m 1$ Can: after 2nd note a pa (instead of pam) in A. (2 v version) E C and Pep - m 4: note 3 Mi , note 4 Sb in $\mathrm{G} .-\mathrm{m} 5 \mathrm{Tr}$ first note Mi in $G$, followed by pasb; the version is correct; the other MSs. have Sb, but have pam following (so E and B); only A (according to Iudwig also Vg ; but $B$ is correct) has a pasb. - m 11 Co: initial pasb missing in $A$ ( 4 V version). m 19-39 (incl.) T: in G a 3nd too low. - m 22/23 Tr: note Br in Pep. - $33 / 34$ Can: e'd L Sb in Pep. - m 35 Tr: note missing in all Mss. - $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{m}} 35-38$ Can: missing in

Pep. - m 36 Ir: $G$ has, after the first Mi, a punctus which makes no sense; we assume that the punctus should be placed before the Mi, I.e. at the I; this would add a Br, missing in m 35. (I noticed also in $G$ the last tone d' (m 36) to be Sb, not Mi as Iudwig remark:s.) m 39-42 T: omission in Pep; pali only. - m 41 Tr: m is followed by 2 tones $e^{1} f^{\prime}$ (Sb with pa and Mi) in Pep.

Edition: Ludwig I, $58 f$.
10. Rose, liz, printemps

4 v ; Can, Tr, T, Co.
Sources: $A(f .478, N o .11) ; B(f, 318) ; V g(f, 319)$;


Rhythm: 37 measures in tp. perf, prol. min. The composition shows a peculiar mixture of tp. perf. prol. min. and tp, imp. prol. ma. Measures such as Tr $5-10,13,15,19,20,22,32-36 ; \operatorname{Can} 4,5,7,13$, $\operatorname{Tr} 5-17,20,21,27$ etc. could well be taken in tp. imp., prol. ma. which would preclude alteration of the imp. prol. ma. which would preclude alteration of the Sb whenever 2 Sb follow in succession; also the use of
2 pam for pasb is indicative of prol. ma. The interpretation of the punctus either as pa or $p p$ and pd respectively often remains uncertain. On the other hand, the lower voices more clearly indicate tp. perf. and prol. min.; such rhythms as in can 6, I 19, can 22 etc. are evidence of tp. perf. It seems obvious that the rhythm wavering between tp. perf. and imp., between prol. ma. and min. is the very characteristic of the composition.

Notes: Tr in A is marked "Contratenor" and afterward "Triplum"; the designation "Contratenor" refers, however, to the preceding rondeau No. 9 ( $f .477^{\prime}$ ) which is only for 2 v ; but on f .477 , in the lower margin the scribe wrote the one word "Contratenor" and planned to enter the Co on $f .478$. Instead of copying the Co of No. 9, the Tr of No. 10 was written afterwards. m 8 Tr: both A and $G$ have pam before the irrst note, which indicates prol. ma. - m 13 Can: first note a (Mi) in A. - m 17--18 Can: G reads prol. ma. in m I7: $d^{\prime}$ (Mi), ${ }^{2}$ pam; ${ }^{\prime}$ ( Sb major); m 18 is in tp. imp.: $\frac{g}{d}$ (without designation) and carelessly written. Although $\mathrm{m} 12-13 \mathrm{~g}$ is clearly I and $\mathrm{m} 26-27$ lig cp sp , $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}^{\prime}(\mathrm{m}$ 13), $a^{-} \underline{b}^{2}$ a (m 27) might have been offered as
alternatives.
Edition: Iudwig I, GOf.; J. WOIf, Giv II and III, NO. 20.
11. Comment puet on mieus

3 v; Can, T, Co.
Sources: A (f. $478^{1}$, No. 12); B (f. 3181); Vg (f, 3191); No. 11; Peñ Ho. 117.

Rhythm: 37 measures in tp, perf., prol. min.
Notes: In 1 T : I with puncbus in B (error). -m 3 Co : after first note pd in $\Psi$ (also in Vg according to Iudwig) and $B$; it should be placed after the 2nd note. - m 24 Co: and note $g$ in $G$... m 28 Can: the whole measure missing in $A$.

Edition: Ludwig I, SJ; J. Wolf; GN II and III, iNo. 21
12. Ce qui soustient

2 v; Can, I.
Sources: $A\left( \pm .478^{1}\right.$, No. 13) ; B ( $\left.£ 319\right)$; Vg (I. 320); M, IVO. 12 .

Rhythm: 17 measures in mod. imp., tp. perf., prol. min. Modus is maintained consistentiy.

Notes: m 3 Can: note 2 is Sb , note 3 Mi in $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}$ - -7 ? Ludwig remarks that in Vg 3rd note (b) is missing although the p-naturen sign is copied. This holds true also for B. - m 10 Can: pasb irrB Vg G. - m 10 T: pasb missing,in G. - m I5 T: finst tone e should be the finalis of lig quinaria as is the case in all Mss. except in $A$, where the $1 i g$ is a senaria. The lig is written everywhere in the same shape, ending as obliqua. also in $A$; but the scribe of $A$ wrote, 2 notes instead of one in quadratic form, consequently had the I with an additional d (error).
Edition: Ludwig I, 62 .

13. Dame, se vous n'avez aperceu

3 V ; Can, $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{CO}$.
Sources: A (f. 479, No. 14); B (f. 3191); Vg (f. 3201); No. 2 Voir Dit). - Text oniy: M, No. 15 ; Pen, No. 161 .
Rhythm: 23 measures in mod. imp., tp. imp., prol. min In the Mss. the Can is ciearly presented in groups of $L$, indicative of the modus; but in $T$ and $C o$ it is rather the $B r$ that appears as the basic unit. Ludwig changes the modus no less than 6 times within 15 m ; none of the changes is really justified by the original notation.
Notes: $m 2$ Can: in $G$ a $p d$ is placed after the 4 th note with which is without meaning unless it is associated Writ the modus; the group of 4 notes is, indeed remarkably $G$ only) very close to the irst $C^{c}$ and Did the scribe of $G$ understand the modus notes of $m 2$. -m 3 T: $\mathbb{E}(f .176)^{G}$ has pa applied modus to be perfect? -m 3 T: E (f. 176) has pa applied to $b$ (over the lig), whereas in $\mathbb{E}$ ( $f .141$ ) pa is erased. - $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{m}} 13$ Co: 2nd note gin both versions of E. - m 16 Can: $e^{\prime}$ missing in E (f. 176), but not in E ( f . 141). - m I6 T: 2nd note d in $E(f .176)$, but $c$ in $E(f .141)$ as in other Mss. $m 17 \mathrm{~T}$ : 2nd note f , 3rd note c in both versions E . m 22 Co : 2nd note g in both versions E .
Edition: Ludwig I, 62f. Facsimile (E f. 176) and transVoir Dit, 52
14. Ma fin est mon commencement

3 v ; Can, $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Tr}$.
Sources: A (f. 479 ${ }^{\prime}$, No. 16); B (f. 309); Vg (lost); f. $38, \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{G}}$ (f. 153, No. 15); E (f. 136, No. S). PadA 1. 38, $3 . \mathrm{v}$. Text only: M, No. 15.

Rhythm: 40 measures in mod. imp., tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: 2 parts are written down; one without text, the backwardser with the text upside down and to be read backwards. The vocal part must be read backwards in text and music; it carries no designation and must be named "cantus", not "Tenor" as Iudwig suggests. (If one turns the book, the cantus and its text can be
read in the normal manner.) The upper part, an instrumental Tr, is developed by reading the Cantus normally, The lower instrumental part is erroneously called "Contratenor" in A B G; but $\mathbb{E}$ and PadA mark the voice correctly "Tenor" (written upside down, in PadA "Tenor de $\mathrm{Ma} \mathrm{fin}^{\prime \prime}$ ). (Ludwig thinks that the designation
Tenor" is incorrect.) only hall of the $T$ is written; the second half is developed by reading the first half backwards. In $A$ the Co continues after $m 6$ on a new staff at the beginning of which there is a decorated initial C, taken by Ludwig as error (related to "Contratenor".) This letter C, in fact, is the initial to
"C'est teneure vraiment" (in B and G "Et teneure vraiment") - $\quad$. 6 Can: 2nd note $e^{\prime}$ (Mi), 3rd I' $^{\prime}-\operatorname{sharp}$ ( Sb ), $g^{\prime}(\mathbb{M i})$ only in $A, £^{\prime} g^{\prime} a^{\prime}$ in $^{-1} B G$ and PadA. -m 35 Tr in consequence of $m^{-1}$ Can, $A$ has for the $\operatorname{Tr}$ 3rd note $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ (Mi) $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Sb}), £^{\prime}-\operatorname{sharp}^{(\mathrm{Mi})}$.

Edition: Ludwig I, 63f.; J. Wolf, GM II and III, No. 22.
15. Certes, mon oueil

3 v ; Can, T; Co.
Sources: A (f. 480, No. 17); B. (f. 3091); Vg (Iost); only: M, No, 16 ; Louange des Dames, No. 234; Jardin de Plaisance, f. 59; E. Deschamps, Grt de dictier, p. 287; Pen, No. 105.

Rhythm: 42 measures in tp. perf., peol. min.
Notes: $m$ I $\mathbb{I}$ : E has no plica. - m 5 Can: pam missing in is B. - m 21 Co: first note Mi in B. - m 22 Co 37 Cante in B . - m 31 Can: first note Sb in an: pasbin B. - m 40 Co : pasb note Mi in $B$; transcription of $B \mathrm{~m} 38-41$ would read


Edition: Ludwig I, 64f.
16. Dame, qui wet

No music extant in sonmces: A (f. 480', No. 18) ; B (f. 3091); G (f. 153', No. 17); E (£. 1.35 , No. 6). Text: $\mathbb{M}$, No. 17.
17. Dix et sept, cinc, trese

3 v ; Can, $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co}$.
Sources: A (f. 4751, No. 2); B (f. 320); Vg (f. 322); G (f. 152', No. 14): E (f. 198', Voir Dit, No 6; 2 v ). Iv, f. 3', 3 v . Text only: M, No. 14; Voir Dit.

Rhythm: 26 measures in tp, imp., prol. min. with the mode largely imperfect; 'but the changes of modus are rather frequent. The notation is in groups of I (perf. and imp.)

Notes: The resolution of the riddle gives ren o p (letters 17, 5, 13, 14, 15), the name of Peronne (Voir Dit).
m l T: E has Br , pasb, Sb (b), pasb, Sb . - m l
Co: last note d in IV. -m 2'Can: after first pam Sb Mi in $\mathrm{E} .-\mathrm{m} 3^{-\mathrm{Co}}$ : 2 nd Mi in B (Ludwig notes that in Vg Mi cauda is erased); Sb in A . - m $14-15 \mathrm{~T}$ : E has Br , pasb, Sb ; pasb; consequently c ( Sb ) missing. -m 17 Can: pa missing in A. - m 19 Co: f-sharp is Br in B. - $m$ 21 $T: c$ (Br) with pa, pan, and $b$ (Mi) in $G$. m 24 Can: first note e' without pa in E. - m 24 Co : 3rd note $\underline{b}$, 4th note $\frac{c}{c}$ in Iv.

Edition: Ludwig I, 65; H. E. Wooldridge, Oxford History of Music, II (1905), 31.
18. Puis qu'en oubli

3 v ; Can, T, Co.
Sources: A (f. 480', No. 19); G (f. 153'); E (f. 139, No. 14). Text only: M, No. 20; Pen, 145.

Rhythm: 7 measures in mod. perf., tp. imp., prol. min.
Notes: $\ln 1 \mathrm{~T}:$ in $A$ the letter $G$ is written at the left side of the first tone g. - m 2 Can: L has no punctus in $\mathbb{E} ; C o$ and $T$ have also the value of 2 Br only; Co has e ( Br ) ( Br , with pa which Ludwig overlooked) $I$ has d (Sb) $\frac{c}{d}(\mathrm{Br}){ }^{c}(\mathrm{Sb}) \cdot-\mathrm{m} 3 \mathrm{Co}: \mathbb{E}$ has $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{Sb})$ $\frac{f}{n o}$ A in pam, $\frac{e}{m} \frac{d}{6} \frac{e}{C o} \frac{f}{T} \frac{e}{h a}$ (all Mi); T: 3rd note $\frac{c}{}(\mathrm{Br})$,
 $\mathrm{Sb})$; T: 4th note B in $\mathbb{E}$.
Edition: Ludwig I, 67.
19. Quant ma dane les maus

3 v ; Cañ, I, Co.
Sources: A (£. 480', No. 20); $G(\underline{1}, 1531) ; \mathbb{E}(\mathrm{f}, 137$, No. 10). Text only: M, No. 18; Louange des Dames, M, No. 271; Pen, No, 163.

Rhythm: 24 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma. in Can; tp. perf., prol, min. in T and co. The notation clearly shows the differentiation of tp. in the parts.
Notes: $m$ ? Can: 2nd note a in G. - m 13 Co: first note £ in A. - II 13-14 Co: last note in 13, first
3 notes in 14 missing in $G$. - $m 16$ Can: $G$ places before and after first Sb punctus and a 3rd punctus after the 2nd note (Mi). Ludwig suggested that this might indicate syncopation, the first in the work of Machaut. Since the punctus syncopationis is, however, incorrectly placed, I assume an error of the scribe, rather than syncopation.

## maition: Ludwig I, 67e.

20. Douce dame

2 v ; Can, T.
Sources: A (f. 481, No. 21); G (f. 154). Text only: 1). Soc, No. 1), Louange des Dames, No, 235 (M, No

Rhythm: 31 measures in tp. imp.; prol. ma.
Notes: in 7 I: note (finalis of 1 ig ) is $c^{\prime}$ in $A$. - m 29
Pdition: Ludwig I, 68.
21. Quant ie ne voy

3 v ; Can, T, Co.
Sources: $G(f, 154)$; $\mathbb{E}$ (土. 141, No. 16; 2 v). Text only: Louange des Dames, No. 269; (see also Louange des Dames, No. 260).
Rhythm: 74 measures in tp. imp., prol. min. There is no clear indication of modus. Fithin 20 measures
(L) Ludwig changes the mode 14 times. The changes are not justified by the original notation.

Notes: Co only in $G .-m 2 C o: L u d w i g$ remarks that 3 Mi are missing in $G$. He overlooked that after $g$ Br there is below (written almost into the word "Contratenor") c Sb with pa. - m 16 Co : the cauda of last note is probably missing (if there is Mi cauda, it is drawn directly into decorated initial C above). - m 19T: lig might be cpt in G; correctly cop in E. - m 31 Can: 2nd note (of lig) appears to be more $g$ than $\frac{f}{3}$ ( $E$ ) in $G$. m 37 Can: 3rd note Sb in $G$ (error). - m 39-42 T: transcription of Ludwig not correct; he took version $G$ to be corrupted. But both E (especially clear) and G are identical and correct: $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}(\mathrm{L}$ with pa $=\mathrm{m}$ 39-41); pam and $\underline{d}^{\prime} \underline{c}^{\prime} \underline{b}\left(\right.$ all 3 Mi , not $\mathrm{Mi}^{-} \mathrm{Sb} \mathrm{Sb}=$ m 42 ).

Edition: Ludwig I, 69.
22. Dame, mon cuer
"Rondelet". Remede de Fortune, No. 7.
3 v ; Can, Tr, T.
Sources: A (f. 781 ) ; B (f. $136^{\prime}$ ); Vg (f. $119^{1}$ ); C (f. h7); F (f. 62'); E (f. 35'). Text only: Morg, M, J. - Remede de Fortune, Verses 4107 ff .

Rhythm: 42 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: m 1 Tr: first note $f^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{E} .-m 4 T$ first note Sb in C. -- m l2 Can: erasure at I (cauda) in A. m 14 Can: 3 rd note $e^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{E} .-m 16 \mathrm{~T}$ : first note $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{z}}$ in $\mathbb{E}$. - m 22 Can: first note Sb , without pam, in E . - m 30 Tr: last note a! in B Vg E. - m 31 Tr: a. 3rd higher and rhythm SbMi, Mi Mia in E. - m 38 Mr: notes $1-3$ are $\mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{g}^{\prime}(3 \mathrm{Mi})$ in $\mathrm{C} ; 4 \mathrm{th}$ note $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{E} .-\mathrm{m} 40$ Can:first notes Sb in F (ermor)

Edition: Ludwig I, 103; and in $\mathbb{E}$. Hoepffner, Oeuvres II, Appendix, 21-23.
"Ci commenoent lez Balades e'on claimme virelais" (B).
"Ci commencent les chansons baladees" (G). "CX
commencent les virelays balades et la messe Machaut" (E).

1. He! dame de vaillance

1 V .
Sources: A (f. 4e2); B (f. $\left.320^{1}\right)$; Vg (f. 322'); O (f.
148'); G (f. 154'); E (f. 159). Text only:
M, No. 2 .
Rhythm: 16 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Edition: Iudwig I, 70; P. Aubry, Les plus anciens 1 momments de la musique française (1905), 01. 21, facsimile of A.
2. Loyaute weil tous

1 v .
Sources: A (f. 482) ; B (f. 320') ; Vg (f. 322 ${ }^{1}$ ) ; C ( f . only: M, No. 2.

Rhythm: 11 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: m 2: in $B(V g)$ C $G$ and $\mathbb{E}$ Can has for (text) line 4 last note $g(S b)$ instead of a g (2 Mi).
Edition: Iudwig I, 7Of.; P. Aubry, ib. facsimile, and p. 20 transcription.
3. Ay mi ! dame de valour
I.v.


Sources: A (f: 482') ; B (f: 321); Vg (f. 323); O (f. only: M, Ño. 3 .

Rhythm: 18 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: All Mss. (including B) have the initial note in maxima form ( $\mathbb{E}$ erroneously with plica descendens).

We are unable to give any reason for the maxima．
Edition：Ludwig I，71．

4．Douce dame jolie
l V ．
Sources：A（f．482＇）；B（ $\mathrm{f} .321^{\prime}$ ）；Vg（f． $323^{\prime}$ ）；C（f． only：M，No． 4 ；
Rhythm： 29 measures in tp．imp．，prol．min．
Notes：The first note，＂upbeat＂，is preceded by a pasb in all Mss．m 12：b－flat in line 4．－m 13：from 2nd note to m 16 （incl．） $\bar{a}$ 3rd lower in $G .-m 23$ ：2nd note Mi cauda apparently erased and last note Sb in B ． －m 28－29：missing in $A$ ．

Edition：Ludwig I，7l．

5．Comment qu＇a moy
IV．
Sources：$A(f .483) ; B\left(f .321^{\prime}\right) ; \operatorname{Vg}\left(f .323^{\prime}\right) ; C$（f． 150）；G（f．155 $)$ ；E（ $\mathrm{I}^{\prime} .159$, No．3）．Text only：M，No， 5 ；Pen，No． 185 （＂Combien $\sqrt{2} 7$ qu＇a moy＂）． Pen，No． 185 （＂Combien［？］qu＇a moy＂）．

Rhythm： 15 measures in tp．imp．，prol．ma．
Notes：All Mss．have 2 pam at the beginning；also m 10. Notes：All MSS．have ${ }^{2}$ pail au pasb in A and $G$ ，$S b$ and pab in $B C E$ ．
Edition：Ludwig I， 72

6．Se ma dame
1 V．
Sources：A（ $f .483 \prime$ ）；B（ $f .322$ ）；Vg（f．324）；C（f． staves remained vacant）．Text only：M，No． 6.

Rhythm： 17 measures in tp．jmp．，prol．ma．
Notes：In 1．4：first note $S b$ in $G$ ；4th note $S b$ in $A B .-$ m 17：in all Mss．Sb，pasb and a sign（pab）to andicate the end of the couplet

Edition：Ludwig I： 72.

7．Puis que ma dolour
1 V。
Sources：A（f：483＇）；B（f：322 ${ }^{\prime}$ ）；Vg（f． $322^{\prime}$ ）；C（f． only：M，No． 7 ；Fen，No． 186.

Rhythm： 20 measures in tp．imp．：prol．ma．
Notes：The double repetition of refrain melody is written completely；hence there are relatively numerous variants，－$m$ l：pd is missing in $C$ and $E$ but appears in rep．line 4；A omits it for the rep．
line 4；B Vg has Iast note＇ $\mathrm{c}^{\prime} \mathrm{Sb}$ in rep．-m 2：first note Sb ，2nd note Mi in rep．Iine 4 in $A(\mathrm{Vg})$ ．－in 6： first note Sb ， Mi in rep．ref．and．line 4 in $G$ ．－ m ： note of Ludwig not comrect．

Edition：Ludwig I，72f

8．Dou mal qui $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ a Ionguement
1 V 。
Sources：A（ 1.484 ）；B（f．3221）； $\bar{V} g\left(f .324^{1}\right) ; C(f$. only．151＇）；G（f．156）：卫（土．163＇，No．26）．Text only：M，No． 8.

Rhythm： 12 measures in tp．imp．，prol．ma．
Edition：Indwig I， 73.

9．Dame，je weil endurer
1 V．
Sources：A（ $f .4841$ ）；$B(f .323) ; V g(f .325) ; C$（ 1 ． 152）：G（f．156＇），E（f．163＇，No．27）．Text only：M，No． 9.

Rhythm： 13 measures in tp．imp．，prol．ma．
Notes：m 1 and 9 have 2 pam before Mi in all Mss．
Edition：Iudwig I， 74.

10．De bonte，de valour
1 v ．
Sources：A（f．484＇）；B（f． $3231^{\prime}$ ）；Vg（f． $325^{1}$ ）；C（f． 152）；G（f． $\left.156^{\prime}\right) ; \mathbb{E}$（f．163，No．23）．Text only：M，No． 10 ．

Rhythm： 15 measures in tp．imp．，prol．ma．
Edition：Ludwig I， 74.

11．He！dame de valour
1 v．
Sources：A（f．485）；B（f．323＇）；Vg（f．325＇）；C（f． 152i）；G（f．156i）；E（f．163，No．24）．Text only：$M$ ，No．il．

Rhythm： 17 measures in tp．imp．，prol．ma．
Notes：m 12．Mi is preceded by 2 pam in all Mss．
Edition：Ludwig I，75．
12．Dame，a qui m＇ottri
1 v．
Sources：A（f．485＇）；B（f． 324 ）；Vg（f．326）；C（f． 25）．Text only：M，No． 12.

Rhythm：22measures in tp．imp．，prol．ma．
Notes：C has merely first 3 measures on last staff of left column；forincomprehensible reasons the scribe left the upper staves vacant and began at the end of the 3rd staff with the couplet after which the Refrain is repeated for line 4 ．

Edition：Ludwis I， 75 ．
13．Qant je sui mis
1 v.
Sources：$A\left(f, 485^{\prime}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\left(f .324^{\prime}\right) ; \mathrm{Vg}\left(f .326^{\prime}\right) ; C$（f． title＂bairać＂＇．

Rhythm： 28 meacures in tp．Ling．，prol．min．
Notes：Althouch Lneluded $r$ the Vinelai－section of the Machaut MSs．（but wot in E），the structure is
not that of the Yirelais nor is it a ballade as the text－Mis．Mitames the coniposition．
Edition：Iudvis I， 75.

14．J＇aim sans penser
1 т．
Sources：\＆（土．485 ）；B（土．324＇）；Vg（f．326＇）；O（f． 153，）G（土，157，Text only：M，No．14（with title＂chencon baladee＂）．
Rhythm： 20 measures in tp．imp．，prol，ma．
Notes：Despite the inclusion of the corposition in the Mrowai－eecticn of the Machaut Miss．（but not in E）and the tible＂chancon baladee in $M$ ，the cornposi－ ceded by pab and yasb；at least pasb is meant to be a pausa，－．n 9：2nd note in G Pii；without pd altera－ tion not chear．

Edition：Iudwie I： 76 ．

15．Se mesdisans
1 V 。
 254）；$G\left(1,157^{\circ}\right) ; E\left(1.159^{\prime}\right.$, No．6）．Mext only：M．INe．15；Pen，No 192．

Rhythm： 36 measures in to．imp．prol．min．The modus upon which IuGvig transcription is based is
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not justified in the notation.
Notes: m 15: 2nd note a, 3rd note b in B and $\mathbb{E}$, but (Sb) b a in the repetition for line 4.-m 23: note $f$ (Sb) missing in $B \mathrm{Vg}$, but correctly written in rep. for line 4.

Edition: Ludwig I, 76s.
16. C'est force, faire le weil

1 v .
Sources: A (f. 486'); B (f. 325 ${ }^{\prime}$ ); Vg (f. 3271); C (f. 203, No. 26); $G( \pm .158) ; E(f, 160$, No. 7). Text only: M, No. 16; Pen, No. 193.

Rhythm: 23 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: m 9: in $G$ rhythm Mi Sb , Mi Sb, but in rep. for line $4 \mathrm{Sb} \mathrm{Mi}, \mathrm{Sb}$ Mi (as in other Mss.).
Edition: Iudwig I, 77.
17. Dame, vostre doulz viaire

1 V .
Sources: A (f. 486') ; B (f. 325') ; Vg (f. 327'); C (f. Text 154!, No. 16); G (f. 158); E (f. 160, No. 8) Rhythm: 22 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: m I: in contrast to the other Mss. $G$ has rhythm forms to $\mathrm{Mi} \mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Sb}$ Mi; but in rep. for line 4 also G conforms to other Mss.: Mi Sb, Mi Mia. - m 10: first note $\underline{f}^{\prime}$ in $G$, but in rep. for line $4 \mathrm{~g}^{\prime}$ (as in other Mss.). -
m 21: 4th note $c^{\prime}$ in $G$.
Edition: Ludwig I, 78.
18. Helas! et comment

1 v .
Sources: A (f. 487); B (f. 326); Vg (f. 328); C (f.
but staves remained vacant). Text only: M, No. 18; Pen, No. 206.

Rhythm: 21 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
otes: $\frac{m}{2}$ I: All Miss., except $A$, begin with pasb (G with for line $4 .-\mathbb{R} 7$ all Mss. A B C G have after the firs note pasb here and in the rep. For line 4 (error); in
the rep. B has, at this place, change of clef which causes new errors: rep. of $m$ 6: first note $\mathfrak{d}$, 2 nd note d, 3rd note e, 4th noto it m 7: first e, no pasb, d
(the erroneoūs pasb is hēre omitted).
Edition: Ludwig I, 78f.
19. Dieus, Biaute, Douceur

1 v .
Sources: A (f. 487 $\left.{ }^{i}\right) ; \mathrm{B}\left(f, 326^{1}\right) ; \mathrm{Vg}\left(f, 328^{\prime}\right) ; \mathrm{C}$ (f. 10). Text only: M, No. 19; pen, No. 210.

Rhythm: 28 measures in tp. imp., prol, min.
Notes: in 3: 2nd and 4th notes Sb in C, but correctly Mi in repetition for line 4 ,

Edition: Ludwig I, 79.
20. Se d'amer

1 v.
Sources: $A(f, 488) ; B\left(f .32 \sigma^{\prime}\right) ; V g\left(f .328^{\circ}\right) ; C(f$. 156, No. 19); $G\left(f .158^{\circ}\right) ; \mathbb{E}$ (f. 160', No. 11) Text only: M, No. 20.

Rhythm: 23 measures in tp, imp., prol. min.
Edition: Ludwig I, 80.
21. Je vivroie liement

1 v .
Sources: A. (f. 489, No. 23); B (f. 327', No. 23); Vg
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(f. $329^{\prime}$, No. 23); C (f. 1561, No. 20); G (f. 1591, No. 23) ; $\mathbb{E}$ (f. 162', No. 21). Text only: M, No. 23.

Rhythm: 32 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Edition: Ludwig I, $80 f$.
22. Foy porter, honneur garder

1 V .
Sources: A (f. 489', No. 25: "Soy porter", but corrected 330, No. in "Foy porter") ; B (f. 328, No. 25); Vg (f. E(f. 162, No. 19: "Soy porter"). Text only: Mo. No. 25 )

Rhythm: 33 measures in tp. imp., prol. min.
Notes: $m$ 2: in A pasb and parn, but in repetition for line 4 correctly pam only. Last note $c^{\prime}$, instead of ${ }^{\text {I }}$ ', in repetition for line: 4 in $G$; there also pasb,

Edition: Ludwig I, 81.
23. Tres bonne et belle

3 v ; Can, $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Co}$.
Sources: A (f. ${ }^{4881}$, No. 26); B (f. 3281, No. 26); Vg $161^{\prime}$, No. 17 ). Text only: $M$, No. 26; Louange des (f. 161 ', No. 17). Text only: M, No. 26; Louange des
Dames, No. 205; Pen, No. 220.
Rhythm: 35 measures in tp. perf., prol. min.
Notes: $m 4 \mathrm{Co}$ : in $B$ and E erroneously a pasb after Co: in first note. - m 5 Co: first note $\mathbb{d}$ in $\mathbb{E} .-m ?$ and in B pasb before first note, and 3rd note Mi in B in. - m 10 Co: pasb in $G$ (not in A); no pausa and irst note $b$ in $E$ in $B$ there is before pam $e B r$, and irst note (in $\mathbb{I N}$ 10) is $b$ (as in $\mathbb{F}$ ); in $B$ and $E$ the
 f (Mi); $G$ has 2 pam. -m 12 T : instead of pasb note $\frac{f}{}$ (Sb)
 T : A seems to present the best version; in the other Mss. various errors can be noticed; in A there is after e ( Br ) an erasure; a pp seems to be noticeable; but with or without pp, e must be perfect Br; (in G note e is

## - 144 -

missing ) ; m 19 in A has ag (2 Mi), a $\pm$ (lig cop) 20-23 tern. lig cpr sp $e^{-d} c(3 \mathrm{Br})$; in $G$ in 19 missing m 20 a g a ( 3 Sb ) ), m $2 \overline{\mathrm{I}}$ a (1ig cop), m 22 e d, m 23 c. $B$ and $E$ have m 19 e $B \bar{r}$ and are identical with $G$ in $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{m}} 21-23$, but have in $\mathrm{m}^{-20}$ a (Mi) g (Sb) a g ( 2 Mi ). mi 21 Co: pasb in $A G B$; no pausa in $E$. $-m^{2}$ Co: pam correctly in $A \mathbb{E}$, pasb in $G B$. -m 26 Can: 2nd note $B r$ and no pasb in $G$; pasb missing in $B$ and $E .-m 28 \mathrm{Co}$ : A has $c$ ( Br ). - m 31 7: I read last note $£$ in $G$, not e as Ludwitg does. - m 31 Co: paso in $\mathbb{A} \mathbb{E}$.

Edition: Iudwie I, 82 f .
24. En mon cuer

2 v ; Can, $\mathbb{T}$.
Sources: $A\left(f \cdot 490^{\prime}\right.$, INo. 28$) ; B(f \cdot 329, N 0.27) ; \mathrm{Vg}(f$. No. 18). Mext only: M, No. 27.

Rhythm: 32 measures in to. perf., prol. min.
Notes: B (and Vg according to Iudwig) shows, on f . 3281 - last staff and f. 329 first staff, 2 staves vacant which are marked "Triplum"; no other Ms. has an indication that the composition was planned for 3 parts -mI T: E has imperfect $B r$ and pasb; $B$, has also pasb but note a has cauda desc. at the left. -m 2 T: A has pd under first note. - m 27 T : pa after first note missing in $G$.
Edition: Iudwig I, 83.
25. Tuit mi penser

1 v.
Sources: A (f. 490, No. 27); B (f. 329 , No, 28) ; Vg $160^{\prime}$, No. 28 ); E (f. 161, No. 12). Text oniy: M, No. 28.

Rhythm: 23 measures in tp. imp., prol. min.
Notes: The first note is preceded by pam in all Mss. Im 10-12: from last note of m 10 through m 12 a third too high in $G$; in the repetition for line 4 , however, also $G$ correct pitch; but the scribe of $G$ writes
first and 3 ra notes (of m II) as Sb in the repetition. - m 20: first note in BVg Mi (error).

Edition: Ludwig I, 84.
26. Mors sui, se je ne vous voy

2 V ; Can, T.
Sources: A ( 1.491 , No. 29) ; B (f. 329', No. 29); Vg no T); G (f. 161, No. 29); E (f. 161, No. 13). Text only: M, No. 29; Pen, No. 215.
Rhythm: 25 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: m 1-5 $T$ : in A first omitted; but the scribe entered the passage afterwards, marking it with a cross (also at beginning of T) and noting "ista quinque tempora deficiunt in principio tenoris."

Edition: Ludwig I, 84f.
27. Iiement me deport

1 V .
Sources: A (f. 491', No. 30); Vo (f. 331', No. 30); (f No. 14). Text only: M, No. 30

Rhythm: 26 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: $m$ 1: in GMi Sb Mi and before $c^{\prime}$ (m 2) pam. m 2: all Miss, place pam up before $c^{\prime}$; our transcription is, therefore, in $m$ I last note Sb major, m 2 pam and 5 Mi , with a as last note, and m 3 b (Mi) $g(S b)-m$ 3: A has $2 \frac{g}{g}(2 \mathrm{Sb})$, one being superfluous; all other Mss. are correct. - m 9: 2nd note (in lig obliqua) not clear in $G$; but it seems to be $g .-m$ 14-16: a third higher in $A$.

Edition: Ludwig I, 85土.
28. Plus dure que un dyamant
. 2 V ; Can, T.
$-146^{-}$
Sources: A. (f.491', No. 31); Vg ( $\mathrm{I}^{\prime} .332$, No. 31) ; G No. 217 (f. $68^{\prime \prime}$ bis).

Rhythm: 35 measures in to. perf., prol. min.
Notes: $m$ l: A has 3rd note Sb , 4 th note Mi, but in repetition for line $4 \mathrm{Mi} \mathrm{Sb},-\mathrm{m} \mathrm{13:} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{the}$ repetition Jor mine 4 has Sb Mi Sb Mi. - m 24: A ha the rhythm in G. - m 34: 2nd note seems to be $S$ b in $G$.

Edition: Ludwig I, 86f.
29. Dame, mon cuer emportes

2 v; Can, T.
Sources: $A\left(f .492^{1}\right.$, No. 33 ); $B(f .330)$; $\operatorname{Vg}(f .333$, 20). Text only: M, No: 32; Pen, No. 219 (土. 68, 'bis).

Rhythm: 30 measures in tp. perf., prol. min.
Notes: $m 4$ T: in repetition for line 4 G has a - m 8 I: last note $e$ in $G .-m 12$ Can: pa after $c^{\prime}$ missing in $G$, but correct in repetition for line $4 .-$ m 22 Can: 2nd note $f^{\prime}$ in B (Vg). - m 23 T : first note $\underline{f}$ in $A$.

Edition: Iudwig I, 88.
30. Se je souspir

2 v ; Can, T.

Rhythm: 28 measures in tp. imp., prol. min.
Notes: m 5 Can: omitted in repetition for line 4 in $A$. - m 15 Can: in repetition for line 4 last note e in $A$; in $G$. pam missing.
Edition: Ludwig I, 89f.; J. Wolf, GM II and III/VMo.3269

31. Moult sui de bonne heure

2 v ; Can, T .
Sources: A (土. 494, No. 37); G (土. 163, No. 37). Text only: $M$, No. 37.

Rhythm: 43 measures in tp. imp., prol. min.
Notes: m 2 Can: in repetition for line 4 notes 2 and 3 Sb in $\mathrm{A} .-\mathrm{m} 19$ Can: first note Sb in $G$, but Mi in the repetition for line 4. - m 20 I: and note $g$
(Mi) in $G$, but $f$ in the repetition. - m 26-30 T: missing in $G$. - m 31 Can: notes 2 and 3 omitted in $G$; pasb instead. - m 42 Can: last note Sb in G .

Edition: Ludwig I, 90f.
32. De tout sui si confortee

2 vi Can, $T$.
Sources: A (f. 494', No. 38); G (f. 163', No. 38).
Rhythm: 42 measures in tp. imp., prol. min.
Notes: m 11-12, 38-39 Can: there is Br and Sb in both cases; Ludwig transcribes as though there were Br with pa. - m 24-27 Can: in repetition for line 4 G has the pitch a third too high from last note in 24 through 27.

Edition: Ludwig I, 92; H. E. Wooldridge, Oxford History Bulletin of Music, II' (1905), 36; H. Quittard, in
Bulletin $\frac{\text { de }}{\text { (1919), Societe }} \frac{\text { francaise }}{}$ de Musicologie I
33. Dame, a vous sans retollir

1 v .
In Remede de Fortune, No. 6.
Sounces: A (f. 74); B (f. 1311) ; Vg (f. 1141); C (f. only: in 51 ) $\mathcal{M}$ F (f. 59); $\mathbb{E}\left(f .33^{\prime}\right)$; $\operatorname{Pep}(f .29)$. Text

Remede de Fortune, Verse 3451.

Rhythm: 16 measures in tp. imp., prol. ma.
Notes: $m$ l: first 2 notes $\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{b}}$ in $\mathbb{E}$; in repetition a a ; but change of clef ( $c^{\top}$ on 4 th line) should
follow; all a third too high up to m 5. - m 3: first
note a in $\mathbb{\mathbb { O }}$. - m 5: no pam in C; error, since in repeti-
 there pasb, instead of pam. - m 7: F is correct in the refrain melody, but has errors in the repetition for line 4: after 4th note an additional of (Mi) and following a $\mathrm{Sb} ; \mathbb{E}$ and B have also the additional 8 . m 8: 2nd note in repetition erroneously Sb in C ; in m 9 (rep.) C has f b c'b c' (Sb, 4 Mi ). - m 10: repeti-
 tion, 3rd note Sb, 4th note M1 in $\frac{F}{}$ : - m 13 : A has are Sb Mi in $\mathrm{F} .-\mathrm{m}$ 16: first note Mi in C .
Edition: Ludwig I, lolf. and in $\mathbb{E}$. Hoepffner, Oeuvres II, Appendix, 20 (with facsimile of $A$ and E); Bottee de Toulmon, "De la chanson musicale en France au Moyen Age" in Annuaire historique pour 1 annee $183{ }^{\circ}$, publie par la Societe de l'histoire de France (1836) Appendix, No. $3 ; \mathbb{R}$. G. Kiesewetter, Schicksale und Appendix, No. $3 ; R$. Ge. Klesewetter, $\frac{\text { Schicksale }}{\text { Und }} 9$ (previously in All $\frac{1}{}$ emeine musikalische zeitung 40 1838 No. 15) ; A.N. Ambros, Geschichte $\frac{\text { Ger Musik, II }}{\text { Ger }}$ (1864), 230 .

