## Interactions between Conducti and Troubadour Contrafacta: the cases of Vite perdite me legi and Quisquis cordi et oculi

When approaching issues related to intertextuality in the Middle Ages, claims of authorship and originality often rest on dubious foundations. *Ars Antiqua* scholars commonly face issues of false attributions of authorship and lack of music sources copied earlier than the XIII century: this does not help at all when we study the origins of a song. We must also bear in mind that compilation from existing sources was frequently a crucial part of medieval writing. The *contrafactum* – a remarkable mirror of cultural interactions in the Middle Ages and beyond - represents one of the most interesting and fascinating topics related to authorship and music borrowing. One such group of musical connections has always been acknowledged but never fully explored. As Elizabeth Aubrey writes in her fundamental study *The Music of the Troubadours*:

There is some evidence that there were borrowings between the Latin and the Occitanian repertoires. But the exact relationship between the song of the troubadours and the products of the Aquitanian clerics remains an open question.

It is a related question, then, that I will discuss in this paper, taking into consideration the contacts between the troubadour tradition and the conductus repertoire. The peculiar character of newly composed musical material that separates the *conductus* from its coeval genres is the reason why I have decided to focus my paper on this particular repertoire. The monophonic and polyphonic *conducti* that present music shared with other repertoires are the exception to the composition of original newly composed – music. Hans Tischler made a more-or-less complete study of the relationship between conducti and vernacular repertoires. Unfortunately, this quite recent work, published in 2001, mostly sheds light on northern-French and partly German repertoires, leaving the question of the Occitan tradition still open. Music borrowing between northern-French vernacular songs and *conducti* is in fact quite widespread, and reasons for such a solid interaction between Ars Antiqua composers and *trouvéres* can probably be ascribed to geographical reasons; but we might want to take into account the possibility that some *Magnus Liber Organi* composers wrote vernacular songs as well. Far less abundant are the Occitan correspondences. A total of four conducti can be considered to have some Provençal parallels. The first is Vite perdite me legi. It exists in both monody and two-voice polyphony and shares the same music with the monodic songs Per dan que d'amor m'aveigna by the troubadour Peirol and the French A l'entrant del tans salvage by Hue de SaintQuentin. The second, the well-known Can vei la lauzeta mover, remarkably records more than six contracta. The Occitan contrafactum is Seyner mil gracias ti rent, a song modelled after the Latin version, as its main source delivers in the rubric. The Latin contrafactum is instead the monody Quisquis cordi et oculi. Finally, 3 French songs.<sup>1</sup> The last examples are now considered by most scholars to be French-Occitan hybrids, however I have decided to include them to make my list as complete and thorough as possible. A l'entrada del tens clar is found in the Chansonnier St-Germain de Près,<sup>2</sup> which shares the same music with the *conductus Veris ad imperia*, and Gent menais del cais which is a contrafactum of Veritas equitas largitas and it is featured in two other Parisian sources – both are anonymous.<sup>3</sup> Some scholars have already taken into account the issue related with Can vei la lauzeta mover by Bernard de Ventadorn and its *contrafacta*: this piece is mostly considered as the original among the long list of songs that shares the same music. I am not going to go too deeply into this subject as my talk will focus on Peirol's Per dan que d'amor, but a brief discussion will make later points easier to understand. The lyrics of Quisquis cordi et oculi, Ventadorn's Latin parallel, have been attributed to Philippe the Chancellor, as delivered by Salimbene de Adam in his Chronicles. The Latin song can be safely dated between the 1170's (the first datable piece attributed to Philippe dates 1174) and 1236, the year conventionally accepted as his death. On the other hand, Can vei la lauzeta mover was probably written in the second half of the XII Century, since Bernard de Ventadorn was born between 1130 and 1147, and he probably died in the last decade of the 1100's. The span of time in which the two songs might have been written overlaps by about 25 years. In his Chronicles, discussing Quisquis cordi et oculi, Salimbene mentions a singer, Henricus Pisanus. Salimbene says that he could "scriber, miniare [...], notare, cantus pulcherrimos et delectabiles invenire [...]" and that he actually wrote the music for Quisquis cordi et oculi. Was it an original melody? Was it a *contrafactum*? In a previous paragraph Salimbene also says that Henricus used the melody of an Italian folk tune for the song Christe Deus, Christe meus. Consequently we might be able to consider the possibility that he was aware of folk traditions, that he probably performed some of it, and maybe re-used some of those melodies. According to this hypothesis, *Can vei* la lauzeta mover can reasonably be considered the original and Quisquis cordi the contrafactum. The following case is unfortunately less clear as Per dan que d'amor *m'avegna* has been written by a troubadour who belongs to a later generation.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaine d'ire et de desconfort, Li cuers se vait de l'ueil plaignant, Amis quelx est li mieuz vaillanz

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> F-Pn fr. 20050

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> F-Pn fr. 12615 (m) and F-Pn fr. 844 (W)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> IV generation

Peirol's birth date is considered to be around 1160, and we assume that he composed most of his works between 1185 (shortly before the 3<sup>rd</sup> crusade, about which he composed a *tenso*) and 1221 or 1222, after which date he appeared to be in Italy. The Latin author, Peter of Blois, whom the conductus (and Peirol's contrafactum) Vite perdite me legi is attributed to, appears to have been older since he lived between 1135 and 1211; nevertheless he still shares a period of musical activity with Peirol. Being well aware that the attempt to outline a definitive chronology of this music could be easily criticised, and in addition, that multiple scholars have already attempted to solve this issue, I would like to analyse the piece in more depth to raise new questions and possibly cast new light on the issue. I will start by giving a brief historical background to layout the relationship between the conductus repertoire and the troubadours. Between 1209 and 1229 the cruel Albigensian crusade may be considered the main event that saw northern and southern French cultures interacting and influencing each other. In particular, the city of Toulouse can be reckoned as the core of such a cultural ferment. There, Johannes de Garlandia (c.1180-c.1250) taught for a few years between 1229 and 1231. As the possible author of *De mensurabili musica*, a crucial treatise for anyone studying the Notre Dame corpus, Garlande could have been a plausible medium between the two traditions, especially when he returned to Paris in 1232. In a 1997 article, Elizabeth Aubrey pointed out that southern tradition affected the northern tradition more than vice versa, finding evidence of the production and geographical dissemination of troubadour and trouvére sources. The fact that most of the main Occitan sources have been copied in non-Occitan regions, while manuscripts with French lyrics have been mainly produced in the *lang d'oil* area should prove her claim. This statement may be agreeable since we are only focusing on the vernacular repertoire, but moving on to its relation with Latin productions, I would prefer to be more cautious in positing which tradition might have borrowed music from the other. Let's now move to the main focus of this paper. Per dan que d'amor is one of the 18 songs by Peirol that survives with music. The only source containing musical information is the manuscript housed in Milan, Biblioteca Ambrosiana with collocation R 71 sup. (from now on the Chansonnier G). Among the secondary sources, the only one that carries any further musical meaning is Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale Fonds Fr. 22543,<sup>5</sup> where the staves ruled for the music above the first stanza are left blank. As previously mentioned, two contrafacta of this song exist with both French or Latin verses. The French version is A *l'entrant del tans salvage* by Hue de Saint-Quentin, the Latin song is the conductus Vite perdite me legi, with a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> F-Pn Fr. 22543

poem by Peter of Blois. I am going to focus mainly on the comparative study of the Occitan and the Latin songs. The *Chansonnier* G was copied between 1300 and 1320. The well-known I-FI Pluteo 29.1 (from now on Florence) was copied towards the end of the first half of the XIII century. In both cases the featured songs are considered to have been composed much earlier. Finally, the *Codex Buranus*<sup>6</sup> dates from around 1230. It is the oldest manuscript among the group that I have taken into consideration for this talk. It also contains a repertory originating in the late XII century. First, let's have a look at how the songs have been graphically designed in these manuscripts. Each source presents a different *mise en page*. In the *Chansonnier* G [fig. 1] only the first two stanzas of Per dan que d'amor display the music, then the rest of them are text only. Considering that this song is musically strophic, this particular setting looks quite unconventional, as we would expect music either to appear once (for the first stanza) or throughout the piece (repeated for each stanza). This might lead us to the point that either: the copyist wanted to highlight the first two stanzas as they constitute a *coblas dobla*: litteraly *double stanza*, i.e. the rhymes change every two stanzas, the textual peculiarity of the song; or this manuscript had been copied from an older source. More conventionally, the French contrafactum offers just the first stanza with music and the rest is text-only. Vite perdite me legi [fig. 2 and 3], interestingly, delivers two different witnesses of the same song. The monody found in the Codex Buranus (that displays the whole poem) has been completely notated with staffless neumes. However this should not surprise us, since such idiosyncrasy is common throughout the whole manuscript. On the other hand Florence delivers just the first stanza, notated in two-voice polyphony. Concerning the textual analysis, Peirol's poem has an abab baba rhyme scheme; on the whole it represents a clear example of coblas doblas, as already said. [fig. 4] In the first two stanzas the rhymed syllables are in fact *-igna* and *-ai*. Moving on to the conductus, as previously mentioned it survived in two different versions, one polyphonic setting in Florence and as a monody, in the *Codex Buranus*. We cannot currently tell which version is the original, although some scholars have a propensity for the former. The conductus' rhyme scheme is far less established than its vernacular parallel. On one hand Gordon Anderson suggests a ten-line stanza reading. On the other hand Hendrik Van der Werf, in his critical edition The Extant Troubadour Melodies, gives to Vite Perdite an eight-line stanza interpretation. As a consequence we face two different stanza readings of the same song. One in ten lines, another one in eight lines. Such a difference is due to poetical and musical features that I will now

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> D-Mbs Clm. 4660

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> whilst in the 3rd and 4th stanzas –ia and –atz; finally in the last three stanzas –atge and –es alternate each other

describe. Concerning the poem [fig. 5], the main difference lies in the rhyme scheme. Vite perdite me legi displays an internal rhyme in the first line that does not appear proportionally in Per dan que d'amor. Vite perdite | me legi can be either read as a single line, rhyming *minus licite* | *dum fregi* or split into two different lines as they will still match (in both rhyme and number of syllables) the following verses [fig. 6]. If we try to split the same lines of *Per dan que d'amor* proportionally, we obtain no rhyme at all between the two split lines, therefore the tag of coblas doblas would be no longer appropriate for this poem. Further evidence of such a discrepancy comes from some notational characteristics. A first examination of Florence reveals a graphical division between the words *perdite* and *me legi*. There is a vertical stroke separating the two sections in both the upper part and the tenor. Whatever we consider this stroke – a breath, a rest or just a *Silbenstrich* – it highlights the importance of the rhyme between *perdite* and *licite*, giving them a sort of graphical recognition. Therefore we can reasonably separate the two lines at this point, accordingly with Anderson's textual edition [fig. 7]. Translated in musical terms, we obtain a regular sequence of 5/6 *neume*-phrases as shown in the picture above, which therefore follows the scheme abc-a'b'c'-defg-d'e'f'g'. Contrary to this interpretation, Aubrey suggests that Per dan que d'amor has an ABA'B' CDC'D' structure, where each letter corresponds to a line of the poem. These two musical readings clearly mirror the different interpretations of the poem. If we do not consider such different understandings, there is no specific musical reason to opt for either solution. Yet, there is a difference in the melody that Aubrey uses as clear evidence of Peirol's original authorship. The difference lies in the starting note: C in Per dan que d'amor, while in all of the other cases it is G [fig. 8]. She claims that, since the stanza ends on G and the music is strophic, that forms a melodic interval of a lower 5<sup>th</sup> when we start singing the following stanza, not uncommon - she says - in troubadour music. This G-C gap is featured in the troubadour version only, thus in none of the other contrafacta; neither in A l'entrant del tans salvage nor in Vite *perdite*. In Florence the first note in the tenor is G, and the first note in the duplum is D. The monodic, staffless version, obviously does not specify pitch, nonetheless it shows us the relationship between two consecutive notes. Focusing on the end of the first stanza we notice that the first *neuma* of the new stanza is a *punctum*; this tells us that the note is the same pitch as the last note of the previous stanza; consequently there is no gap between the two notes. I believe Aubrey's point is not sufficient to prove any Occitan antecedence, for two reasons. Firstly: such a melodic gap would not surprise us, if found in the troubadour repertoire as well as in a conductus. Secondly: if we put the troubadour version at the top of the stemma of this melody, then we would expect to find this C-variant in at least another source, in

another tradition. Now we know that none of the versions start on C except for Peirol's Per dan que d'amor. Among this group of contrafacta, Per dan que d'amor might therefore represent the exception, rather than the rule. On the other hand, if we are willing to agree that the composer of Florence's *Vite perdite* is borrowing the melody from Peirol to build a polyphonic setting, we should accept that he has deliberately changed the pitch of the very first note with the purpose to simplify the music for a tenor, who was able to sing within polyphony, but was not experienced enough to execute a jump of a lower 5<sup>th</sup>. At this point we would have a contradictory situation in which the authors of Vite perdite decided to: simplify the music borrowed from Periol but at the same time make the rhyme scheme more complex bringing the lines per stanzas from eight to ten. Which author then modified the song? And to which purpose? Perhaps to meet the needs of a different language, or maybe to remedy some performers' inadequacy. At this point it is necessary to summarise the main points that the comparative analysis has raised so far. We have found: dissimilar mise en page of the songs; a quantitative difference in terms of lines per stanza; a melodic qualitative disparity (first note of the melody). These arguments disprove that Peirol's Per dan que d'amor has to be considered the first appearance of this melody. Unfortunately the evidence we brought out cannot demonstrate the contrary either, although a few elements might suggest it. This evidence merely asserts the existence of two separated branches in the stemma of this song. This study is in its infant-stage, however I am convinced that troubadours and *conducti* composers influenced each other; furthermore, the application of this comparative method may help to describe the borrowing process between the Occitan tradition and the Ars Antiqua corpus.

> Jacopo Mazzeo University of Southampton J.Mazzeo@soton.ac.uk

## List of captions

Figure 1 - Milan, Biblioteca Ambrosiana R 71 sup. (Chansonnier G), ff. 49r-49v	7
Figure 2 - München, Bayerische Staatsbibliothek, Clm. 4660 (Codex Buranus), ff. 4r-4v	8
Figure 3 - Florence, Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana, Pluteo 29.1, f. 356r	8
Figure 4 - Per an que d'amor m'aveigna, text	9
Figure 5 - Vite perdite, different interpretations	9
Figure 6 - Vite perdite/Per dan que d'amor m'aveigna, comparison	9
Figure 7 - Florence, Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana, Pluteo 29.1, f. 356r	10
Figure 8 - Vite perdite, melodic discrepancies	10

6

¢ ,

nos fadus.

Fintenchre fasta amost

plagem galauba. Enleifger delar meillosf-

Don mal mögrat föfra. penaf edans edolozf Giga tos iost mozia.

Cardas feia grans foloss. Can ei pluf updia. Emeilis mi entenoia

fram fui Amefuras fregrai altra ina.

Fregen Attra III. Tan q fos ma notificas. Pluf. Att q no beina. ben Sgreffer chattra, Pet Aun gené uena. Augo et abbla foldas. Jonne qe nös chattra. Priorf conorf fa folda.

P qe fat gestera ben. Efoza coztefat.

A cla bom no amer ren. Orais cho qe lamarta.

pretarf fer talge es conue. dimefumiunetria. Oufapelun qi trop oten.

uen quino troba no trate qui pre



Figure 1 - Milan, Biblioteca Ambrosiana R 71 sup. (Chansonnier G), ff. 49r-49v

fi temere deincepf intendam ferus p utuf urtutef re ica Perdue 7 6 A O (pendam. me legt fubdideram . minut hete dam fregt quod uoueram et ad une uefpam corrigendum legi. quicio ante peram puerilif egi Rerum cettuf duin quero bif cutere ucrum pentrul a fallo difermere. fallo fallor ope brunium fi there me urturum merere inita dum tero. Jon fum duplica plexus umere ner addidia reflexus 71 15 a uenere.nec frauctau remere conuiguf amplevir. dalita plequere ne thauderur feruf Pamem fluqua porcou non abituar. que ad lubrica erroy non contulit. f; feriprum stutte una unerem morum que planga prater pabula de non Dum coufidero quid chane contigerit. finen coufe to rapine quif fuerir feto. un enafert ment corrupta fine. Sin quam contraverit maculam fentine Riverer meritum me neci non dedero . fi ad nomirum quem tea rediero. nec auerto affo literum me feci ferunt fi ferinerar unou feci. ry ueneris minuro nettura, uie uerent refuto p remama names regia currente in turo. fiqui cedut alea temp elt in huro. Ren foluim. fymonif afturiam . confer tullin Benonus prudennam - nil storre tentram bus abutent to uf ni fugiendo fugian dalica famfonif. Orgo ucuiam de rei miferra. ut inucuiam de dei clem entra. bee ? but fimilia que pegi de fola purcens grana miferere mer.

Figure 2 - München, Bayerische Staatsbibliothek, Clm. 4660 (Codex Buranus), ff. 4r-4v

a P B a 1 N a B a lag a and unfor feite mace rend moz feveriena quia mozai ba πιs Jepone melegi fubdidera.min' hene dufing puounnn f: สอาเกลาเอเนิล เอาาฐาตินี้ ได้รู้ ๆ quicipan 1, 1 4 7 4 4 4 4 appea puerliegt. Barer en soidanul mi-ue manul quonda publicanus nuito actor fanul moner

Figure 3 - Florence, Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana, Pluteo 29.1, f. 356r

- a Per dan que d'amor m'aveigna
- b Non laissarai
- a Que joi e chan no manteigna
- b Tan cant viurai
- b E si.m sui en tal esmai
- a Non sai que.m deveigna,
- b Quar cill, on mos cors m'atrai,
- a Vei qu'amar no.m deigna.
- a Neguna bon' entresseigna
- b De lieis non ai
- a Que ja merces pro m'en teigna
- b Del mal qu'ieu trai.
- b Pero si la preiarai
- a Que de mi.l soveigna!
- b Que, s'amors no la.m atrai,
- a Merces la.m destreigna.

## Figure 4 - Per an que d'amor m'aveigna, text

G. Anderson,H. Van der Werf,Notre-Dame and Related ConductusThe Extant Troubadour Melodiesvite perditevite perdite me legi

vite peraite	vite perdite me legi
me legi	-
subdideram	subdideram
minus licite	minus licite dum fregi
dum fregi	-
quod voveram	quod voveram
sed ad vite vesperam	sed ad vite vesperam
corrigendum legi	corrigendum legi
quidquid ante perperam	quidquid ante perperam
puerilis egi	puerilis egi

## Figure 5 - Vite perdite, different interpretations

vite perdite	Per dan que d'amor m'aveigna
me legi	-
subdideram	Non laissarai
minus licite	Que joi e chan no manteigna
dum fregi	-
quod voveram	Tan cant viurai
sed ad vite vesperam	E si.m sui en tal esmai
corrigendum legi	Non sai que.m deveigna,
quidquid ante perperam	Quar cill, on mos cors m'atrai,
puerilis egi	Vei qu'amar no.m deigna.

Figure 6 - Vite perdite/Per dan que d'amor m'aveigna, comparison

. . none melegrabbidera.min'have dutien quad menelpa corrigedu lega Barer en 1020anul ni-ue

Figure 7 - Florence, Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana, Pluteo 29.1, f. 356r

punctus **G** - **C** A temere deincepf intendam fer Sul duumanin gom fan. Romaueguer aronen pelvo mos core tres actir. See ter ongelfan Ce finamost fog eta. Eul gef loig Ston put. us putul urtutet re - 1 at 7 👁 ting any eraps of 169 Perdue man nom beignahieguna e opencam. me legt fuboiderant. minut heute dam fregt quod . rigendum legi. quicio uoueram et ad une uch pera part la cort del 115. Don anc bos no tornet chai. lon entrefeg. delei no ai geia ante peram puer de Berum cettet dum quero bit / Don and ison to traine chail. No creats gen popuel Lu-Rectorier mult pilduf. Tan au affir monuoler. Enna tols anna. ac fenel la in pera. Regul altere toit plaser. Chanson oi maif pos tener. ¢ .... curere. ucrum pentruf a fallo difernere. fallo fallor ope ofeil mpro tegna. del mal qeu brautum fi fpers me urtutum metere una dum fers. tran po ni i pieran qe 8 mil 10 vermicons ta uia. Leu fai ben gella uolria. 27.9 2 ..... 4 4 · · · · · · · · Trayor emilierer. . C. uegna. gelamost nolamattai . 2 3 4 - 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 2 - 7 y CTT fram ge annor mauegna-Je pone me lega fubdidera.min' have dufing - 1 . . . " mces La defregt 1 2 4 4 4 " a 3 " al a 3 la tal " 1 1 1 1 2 nolarenn.getoifechinoman \*\*\*\* puounun f; aoune nelisa corrigeou legi quicio an Bona tona fiuf plasta rost ma nuftas: eatt minauella s'asté mamanas; gat feit gi no uos plasticio muera. de fai gi maios gias fé taguera. Lanuoir mi trebatt eidua, non laffa enpas. Simigorifat cortes ta elafetas: Large main marge fas-troget-befir maucia. tegna tan ouuman chin fui 1-1 - ---· · · · · · · · C 1. encalermannota'gem deuegna. -----1. amazpuartien. Barer en cordanul ni ue care al onon cos citat. un ca

Figure 8 - Vite perdite, melodic discrepancies

- Aubrey, Elizabeth. "The Dialectic between Occitania and France in the Thirteenth Century." *Early Music History* 16 (1997).
- ------. The Music of the Troubadours. Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana University Press, 1996.
- Beck, Johann Baptist. Les Chansonniers Des Troubadours Et Des Trouveres : Publies En Facsimile Et Transcrits En Notation Moderne Par Jean Beck. Corpus Cantilenarum Medii Aevi. 2 vols Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1977.
- Bertran, W.D. Paden, T. Sankovitch, and P.H. Stäblein. *The Poems of the Troubadour Bertran De Born*. University of California Press, 1986.
- Burl, Aubrey. Courts of Love, Castles of Hate: Troubadours & Trobairitz in Southern France, 1071-1321. Chalford, Stroud, Gloucestershire: History Press, 2008.
- Carapezza, Francesco. Il Canzoniere Occitano G (Ambrosiano R 71 Sup.). Napoli: Liguori, 2004.
- Doss-Quinby, Eglal Grimbert Joan Tasker Pfeffer Wendy Aubery Elizabeth. "Songs of the Women Trouvères." New Haven; London: Yale University Press, 2001.
- Gallo, F. Alberto. *Music in the Castle: Troubadours, Books, and Orators in Italian Courts of the Thirteenth, Fourteenth, and Fifteenth Centuries* [Musica nel castello: Trovatori, libri, oratori nelle corti italiane dal XIII al XV secolo]. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1995.
- Gaunt, Simon, and Sarah Kay. *The Troubadours: An Introduction*. Cambridge, UK; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- Gennrich, Friedrich. *Troubadours, Trouvères, Minne- Und Meistergesang*. Das Musikwerk: Eine Beispielsammlung Zur Musikgeschichte (Neuausgabe). Laaber: Laaber-Verlag, 2005.
- Haines, John. "The First Musical Edition of the Troubadours: On Applying the Critical Method to Medieval Monophony." *Music & Letters* 83, no. 3 (2002): 351-70.
- Husmann, Heinrich. "Die Musikalische Behandlung Der Versarten Im Troubadourgesang Der Notre Dame-Zeit." Acta Musicologica 25, no. 1/3 (1953): 1-20.
- Kay, Sarah. Parrots and Nightingales: Troubadour Quotations and the Development of European Poetry. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2013.
- Mazzeo, Jacopo. "Interactions between *Conducti* and Troubadour *Contrafacta*: The Cases of *Vite Perdite Me Legi* and *Quisquis Cordi Et Oculi*." In *Music and Culture in the Age of the Late Capetians*, edited by Mark Everist and Catherine A. Bradley: Cambridge University Press, forthcoming.
- Monson, Don A. "The Troubadour's Lady Reconsidered Again." Speculum 70, no. 2 (1995): 255-74.
- Page, Christopher. The Owl and the Nightingale: Musical Life and Ideas in France, 1100-1300. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1990.
- Peirol d'Auvergne, Aston Stanley Collin. Peirol, Troubadour of Auvergne. Cambridge: University Press, 1953.
- Peraino, Judith Ann. *Giving Voice to Love: Song and Self-Expression from the Troubadours to Guillaume De Machaut.* New York: Oxford University Press, 2011.
- Quinlan, Meghan. "A Melody Lodged in the Heart: Contextualising Can Vei La Lauzeta Mover and Its Contrafacts." Oxford, 2012.
- Rosenberg, Samuel N. Songs of the Troubadours and Trouveres an Anthology of Poems and Melodies. Taylor & Francis, 1997.
- Switten, Margaret. "Versus and Troubadours around 1100: A Comparative Study of Refrain Technique in the 'New Song'." *Plainsong and Medieval Music* 16, no. 2 (2007): 91-143.
- Treitler, Leo. "The Troubadours Singing Their Poems." In *The Union of Words and Music in Medieval Poetry*, edited by Rebecca A. Baltzer, Thomas Monroe Cable and James I. Wimsatt, 15-48. Austin: University of Texas Press, 1991.
- Van der Werf, Hendrik. The Extant Troubadour Melodies. Rochester, New York1984.